

THE FALSE DOOR

An Island, Its Stones, and the People
Who Outlasted Their Conquerors



Written by Claude Code | Instructed by V.Korostyshevskiy

THE FALSE DOOR

**An Island, Its Stones, and the People Who
Outlasted Their Conquerors**

Written by Claude Code, instructed by Vladimir
Korostyshevskiy

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Foreword	3
Chapter 1: The 25	7
Chapter 2: The Stepped Form	20
Chapter 3: The False Door	34
Chapter 4: The Lead Joint	46
Chapter 5: The Closed Cult	58
Chapter 6: The Walking Inland	70
Chapter 7: The Hinge Island	84
Chapter 8: The Linguistic Fossil	98
Chapter 9: The Ones Who Stayed	111
Appendix A: An Eight-Day Route	124
Appendix B: Site Directory.....	137
Appendix C: Comparanda Map.....	154
Appendix D: Endnotes and Sources.....	169
Author's Note	188

FOREWORD

Superscript numbers in square brackets refer to the per-chapter endnotes in Appendix D.

Stand at the head of a tomba dei giganti and the first thing you see is the stele. Four meters of granite, sometimes more, rising from a curved stone exedra like the back of a chair scaled for a creature that never lived. The stone has weight in the way that things made by hands without machines have weight: you can feel the labor in it, the decades of selective intention compressed into a single vertical form. Look at the center of the stele and you will find a thin groove running from near the top down to a rectangular opening at the base, perhaps forty centimeters tall and thirty wide. Enough space, maybe, for a small child, or for an offering. Not enough for a body. Not enough for any adult to pass through.

This is a false door.

The real entrance to the tomb is around the side. The builders of these monuments, the people archaeologists call nuragic, working in the last centuries of the second millennium BCE on an island where the wind comes off the Tyrrhenian without apology, understood something about thresholds. The door that faces you, the door that declares itself, the door carved with effort into stone that will outlast every political arrangement the Mediterranean has ever produced: that door goes nowhere. You walk through what looks like the entrance and find a slab of granite two inches from your face. To enter the tomb, you have to circle around. The bodies are around the side.

This book takes its title from that image because the image describes something that repeats across three thousand years of Sardinian history, more or less without variation. Every power that came to this island, and they came repeatedly, walked through the door that faced them. The Carthaginians, who arrived in force in 509 BCE after a first attempt that ended in military and political catastrophe back home, took the coastal cities and the interior plains. The Romans, who absorbed those cities after the First Punic War, administered the island as a province and a granary and a place to exile the inconvenient. The Vandals came and went. The Byzantines reorganized the ecclesiastical structure and installed the Giudicati, four autonomous kingdoms that lasted three centuries before the trading republics of Pisa and Genoa

began competing for the island's commercial advantages. The Arabs raided the coasts for long enough that the Sardinians eventually abandoned most of the coast as a place to live. The Aragonese arrived in 1323 and stayed for four centuries, bringing their language, their bureaucracy, their architecture, and their expulsion orders. The Spanish succeeded the Aragonese and stayed still longer. The Piedmontese acquired the island in 1718 as a consolation prize after the War of the Spanish Succession and renamed it the Kingdom of Sardinia, by which point the island itself had become almost incidental to the title.

Each of these arrivals was consequential. Each left residue: place names, church architecture, linguistic borrowings, coastal towers built against a piracy that no longer threatens, genetic markers recoverable from the ancient DNA of burials, institutional structures that shaped how the island was administered for the century that followed. None of them replaced the Sardinians. The population that carries the deepest Neolithic signature in Europe today lives in the interior of this island, in the Barbagia, in the Nuoro highlands, in villages where the old language survives in forms that do not connect to Latin or Spanish or Catalan or the Italian dialects of the mainland. They are still there. They were always there. They were around the side.

The thesis of this book is not, to be clear, a nationalist argument for Sardinian uniqueness or resilience in any mystical sense. It is a structural observation about islands and empires and the geometry of power. You can take an island's ports. You can take its grain, its timber, its mines, its fisheries, its tax base. You can administer its lowlands, build your administrative centers in its accessible valleys, and collect its assessments in documents that satisfy courts in Cagliari or Barcelona or Rome. But the interior of a mountainous island is expensive to occupy completely, and the people of the interior have, in Sardinia's case, been practicing what a later chapter calls the walking inland since at least the time the Carthaginians arrived.

The nuragic builders understood this at an intuitive or at least a practical level. They built their towers inland, in lines of sight to each other across valleys that would be militarily costly for any force to control. They buried their dead collectively, without individual grave goods of the kind that tell a conqueror who the powerful families were, in long stone chambers accessible from the side. They carved their false doors in granite and left them facing outward, enormous and declarative, marking the location of the tomb to anyone approaching across the plain. The door says: here

we are. The door says: this is what we believe, this is where we put our dead, this is how we understand the boundary between the living and whatever comes after. The door says all of this in stone designed to last ten thousand years.

And then it sends you around the side.

The chapters are not organized chronologically, though each is historically situated. They are organized around a sequence of questions that the island raises when you spend enough time on it, questions that seem at first to be separate, about bronze statues and stepped pyramids and sacred wells and Jewish Friday words, but that resolve, on examination, into a single question asked from different angles.

Why do the statues in the Cabras museum look unlike anything else from the Bronze Age Mediterranean? Why does a stepped pyramid stand in a wheat field in the northwest of the island, built with techniques and in a form whose closest parallels are four thousand kilometers to the east in Mesopotamia? Why are there sacred wells in the interior that have been in continuous religious use across forty centuries and four religions, visited on the same schedule by the same families in villages whose surnames have not changed in a millennium? Why does a Sardinian dialect word for Friday preserve the exact phonetic imprint of a Jewish liturgical practice erased, everywhere else, by the expulsion of 1492?

These are not rhetorical questions. Each has an answer that the scholarship has been working toward, some more definitively than others. The answers are interesting in their own right, as pieces of Mediterranean history. But the deeper interest is structural: the answers all point the same way. Someone arrived. They brought something with them: a form of architecture, a trade technology, a religious practice, a word. The Sardinians took what was useful or were forced to accommodate what was overwhelming. And then, in each case, they went around the side. What the arrivals thought they had taken was not what was actually theirs to take.

A note on what this book is not. It is not an argument for extraordinary origins or lost civilizations. The stepped pyramid at Monte d'Accoddi is extraordinary, but it is extraordinary in ways that careful scholarship has been documenting for seventy years, and the scholarship is more interesting than any invention could be. The statues at Cabras are among the most significant Bronze Age sculptural finds in the

western Mediterranean, but their significance does not require ancient astronauts or pre-diluvian empires: it requires only the recognition that nuragic Sardinia was a sophisticated civilization that produced sophisticated art. The genetic data that closes Chapter 9 is genuinely remarkable, but what it reveals is a story of persistence, not of supernatural preservation.

There is a strand of amateur writing about Sardinia that has run alongside the serious scholarship for decades, attributing the island's prehistoric monuments to Atlanteans, Pelasgians, giants, or some unspecified race of advanced beings who built things and then disappeared. This book does not engage with that strand in any argumentative way. The serious scholarship is sufficient. The monuments are impressive enough without embellishment, and the people who built them were human beings with the full human complement of ingenuity, ambition, and practical problem-solving capability, operating in a specific historical and environmental context that archaeology has done a reasonable job of illuminating.

What archaeology has done less well, in Sardinia as in most places where the evidence is abundant but the institutional resources for processing it are limited, is make the case to a general audience that the serious version is more interesting than the invented one. That is partly a communication failure and partly a structural problem in how academic knowledge moves into public circulation. This book is an attempt, modest in scope, to correct the imbalance in one specific case: to demonstrate that the actual story of Sardinia, from the Neolithic to the present, is more counterintuitive, more surprising, and more worth understanding than anything that requires a lost civilization to explain it.

The people who built the tombs were not giants. They were human beings who worked in stone because stone was what the island gave them in abundance, who traded copper and bronze and obsidian across a Bronze Age Mediterranean that was considerably more connected than nineteenth-century scholarship assumed, who buried their dead with ceremony and carved their boundaries in granite and, when empires arrived at their coasts, went around the side.

They are still there.

CHAPTER 1: THE 25

The field was dry in October 1974. Battista Meli and his teenage son Sisinnio were working the land on the Sinis peninsula, a flat tongue of limestone and marsh that juts into the sea west of Oristano, and the plow turned up a stone foot. ^[1]

Not a foot-shaped stone. A foot. Carved, roughly human in scale, separated from whatever body it had once belonged to by some event or process that the sandy soil gave no immediate clue about. They looked at it for a moment. They set it aside and kept plowing. Farmers in Sardinia have been turning up old stones since before anyone was recording such things, and there is a practiced pragmatism about it that is neither indifference nor callousness. The nuraghi alone number seven thousand still standing, visible from roads and hillsides across the entire island, rising from fields and hillsides in the same way the olive trees and limestone outcrops do: elements of a landscape that was old before any living memory of it. The domus de janas, the rock-cut tombs the island's Neolithic inhabitants carved into tuff and limestone across a span of two thousand years, surface regularly in agricultural soil. A carved stone foot on the Sinis peninsula was the kind of find you reported to someone eventually, or you did not, and the plowing continued either way.

They reported it. And what emerged from the ground over the months and years that followed, when archaeologists from the Soprintendenza Archeologica per le Provincie di Cagliari e Oristano began to work the site in earnest, was something for which there was no category in the existing record of ancient Sardinia. Or, for that matter, in the existing record of the ancient Mediterranean at the relevant period.

The site was called Mont'e Prama. It was a low hill on the edge of the agricultural plain between the lagoon at Cabras and the sea, unremarkable from the road, the kind of slight rise in flat terrain that could mean anything or nothing and usually means nothing. The excavation campaigns of 1975 through 1979 recovered approximately five thousand fragments of carved stone. ^[2] The fragments were the disarticulated remains of statues, shattered and buried in alignments that the excavators mapped carefully before anything was moved, and when the conservators at the Centro di Restauro e Conservazione dei Beni Culturali in Li Punti began the decades-long work of reconstruction, what assembled itself from the

pieces was unlike anything known from the western Mediterranean at that period, or anywhere near it.

The statues stand roughly two meters tall. They are carved from local sandstone in a color that is warm yellow-brown where fresh and where weathering has not reached the surface. They fall into three recognizable types: warriors with raised shields held above their heads on extended arms, archers with bows drawn, and boxers holding spherical shields at chest height. The warriors wear horned helmets. The bodies are schematic, not naturalistic in the sense that Greek sculpture is naturalistic, but not crude either. There is a logic to the forms, a vocabulary of repeated gestures and proportions that signals a tradition, a school of practice, a set of rules agreed upon by people who made many of these objects and expected others to understand what they meant. And then there are the faces. They do not have faces in the conventional sense. What they have are eyes: large concentric circles cut into the stone with a precision that seems almost mechanical, taking up most of the face's surface area, looking outward from a plane of stone that has no nose, no mouth, no expression recognizable to a viewer trained on the conventions of classical portraiture or even of most ancient Near Eastern figural art. They are not blank. They are something else, something that resists the vocabulary art history has developed for looking at ancient sculpture, because they were made in a tradition that had no contact with the traditions from which that vocabulary was derived.

They are dated, by the radiocarbon analysis of associated organic materials and by the typology of grave goods in the associated cemetery, to the ninth and eighth centuries BCE. The final centuries of the nuragic period. The civilization that had been building its towers and sacred wells and collective tombs across Sardinia for roughly a thousand years was, at this moment, beginning to show the strains of contact with a Mediterranean that was reorganizing itself around new powers and new trade routes. Phoenician traders and settlers had arrived on the Sardinian coasts. The eastern Mediterranean was recovering, slowly and unevenly, from the catastrophic Bronze Age collapse of the twelfth century that had ended the Mycenaean world and disrupted the trade networks connecting Egypt to the Aegean to the western basin. The island's role in Mediterranean commerce was shifting from active producer and trader to a more passive position within circuits

organized by others. The statues of Mont'e Prama were made in this moment of transition, at a site that combined, as far as the archaeology has established, a necropolis, a series of nuraghi, and a sacred precinct whose full extent remains unmapped. ^[3]

For approximately twenty-five years after the initial discovery, the five thousand fragments sat in storage. The first excavation was published in 1977 in a preliminary report, but the full scientific publication that a find of this magnitude deserved was never produced. Funding was intermittent. The scholarly community was uncertain about how to contextualize figures that did not fit the established picture of what nuragic culture had been capable of producing at monumental scale. The fragments waited, sorted by type and provenience, in the conservation facility at Li Punti outside Sassari. Then, in 2005, the conservation project was reinitiated under new leadership with a clearer mandate and improved funding, the workshop began systematically reassembling the pieces using the methods available to twenty-first-century restoration science, and in 2011 a first cohort of reconstructed figures was installed in the purpose-built galleries of the Museo Civico Giovanni Marongiu in Cabras. ^[4]

Twenty-five figures. The number that gives this chapter its name is not a coincidence of rounding or a symbolic choice. It is the count of figures assembled and displayable at the moment of the 2011 installation: the point at which a discovery that had been waiting in fragments for a generation became visible to the world as what it actually was. The 25 is not a final number. The reconstruction work continues. More figures have been completed since 2011, and the excavation campaigns that resumed at the Mont'e Prama site in 2014 and in subsequent years have produced new fragments and extended the known boundaries of the deposit. At the moment of the first installation, twenty-five figures stood in the new museum. That is the moment the concept is anchored to: not the discovery, not the completion, but the first assembly, the first time the fragments became a case.

Consider what happens when an object appears before anyone has a language for it.

In 1900, Greek sponge divers recovering cargo from a Roman-era shipwreck off the small island of Antikythera, in the channel between Crete and the Peloponnese, pulled up, among the expected amphorae and bronze statues, a corroded lump of bronze roughly the size of a large dictionary. It was clearly mechanical: visible gears and dials showed through the marine concretion, but nothing about anyone's existing understanding of ancient Greek or Roman technology made sense of it. The National Archaeological Museum in Athens accepted the object, documented it in the standard way, and placed it in storage. It remained there and in occasional display, puzzling the people who examined it and receiving no consensus interpretation, for more than half a century. In 1951, the British physicist Derek J. de Solla Price saw it in Athens and began a systematic investigation using the radiographic methods that were becoming available to materials science at the time. ^[5] Price spent more than two decades on the mechanism before publishing his comprehensive analysis in 1974, the same year Battista Meli's plow turned up the stone foot at Mont'e Prama.

Price's conclusion, which every subsequent examination has extended and confirmed, including the high-resolution X-ray computed tomography performed in the early 2000s by a team led by Tony Freeth and Alexander Jones, is that the device was an astronomical computer of extraordinary precision, built around 87 BCE, capable of predicting solar and lunar eclipses thirty years in advance, tracking the positions of the five planets visible to the naked eye, and displaying the four-year cycle of the Olympic games on a subsidiary dial whose function was deciphered only in the 2000s. ^[6] The mechanism is, by this account, the most sophisticated mechanical device known from antiquity by a margin so large that no comparable object exists in the archaeological record until the mechanical clocks of medieval Europe, roughly fourteen hundred years later. It required no revision of the laws of physics to explain. It required only the recognition that ancient Greek craftsmen, working in a tradition of precision bronzework whose full extent had been underestimated, were capable of building it. The machine was not impossible. It was not magic. It was merely unexpected.

The Mont'e Prama statues required no revision of archaeology's understanding of what stone tools and skilled hands can do to sandstone. They required only the recognition that nuragic Sardinia, a civilization that had been building monumental

stone towers for a thousand years and casting bronze figurines of remarkable artistic sophistication for nearly as long, was capable of monumental figurative sculpture at the two-meter scale. Nobody had been looking for it specifically, because the prior expectation of nuragic material culture did not include large-scale figurative stone carving as one of its documented repertoire items. The prior expectation was wrong.

In 1938, a woman named Edith Pretty, who owned an estate called Sutton Hoo in Suffolk in eastern England, became concerned that one of the burial mounds on her property was subsiding, the ground settling in a way that suggested the interior was not as stable as it appeared from the surface. She had engaged a local amateur archaeologist named Basil Brown the previous summer to investigate two smaller mounds on the property. Brown had found cremation deposits, disturbed and not spectacular. Now she asked him to investigate the large mound, the most prominent feature of the estate, a long oval rise of sandy earth that local tradition had always known was old. ^[7]

Brown excavated carefully. In May 1939, digging down through the mound's sandy fill, he began finding iron rivets. He recognized their pattern from his knowledge of ship archaeology: the ghost of a vessel, the wood gone to nothing in the acidic sand but the fastenings still exactly placed, describing the hull of an Anglo-Saxon ship ninety feet long and fourteen feet wide. Inside the ship's burial chamber, under the collapsed wooden roof of a central structure amidships, was a deposit that would, once properly excavated and analyzed by the team from the British Museum that took over later that summer, rewrite the understanding of seventh-century England in a single field season. A gold helmet with intricate garnet cloisonné work, its surface decorated with panels of interlaced animals and combat scenes. A sword with a pommel of interlocking gold and garnet cells. A whetstone so large and heavy that its use as an actual whetstone would have been impractical, almost certainly a scepter. Byzantine silverware from Constantinople, a set of large dishes carrying the control stamp of the imperial workshops. Frankish gold coins from the Continent, specifically coins of a type that allow the burial to be dated to around 625 CE. A hanging bowl of Celtic British manufacture, decorated with enameled roundels,

representing the artistic traditions of a community that the Anglo-Saxon kingdoms had partly displaced and partly absorbed. The warrior buried at the center of all this was most likely Raedwald of East Anglia, who had been the most powerful king in England in the years before his death and who had, the historical sources suggest, hedged his religious bets by keeping altars to the old gods alongside his Christian dedication.

He lived in the period historians had been calling the Dark Ages: the centuries between the end of Roman Britain and the Norman Conquest, characterized in the older scholarship as a time of cultural poverty and political rudimentariness, of semi-literate chieftains ruling over impoverished and isolated communities in a landscape from which the sophisticated infrastructure of Roman civilization had been removed and not replaced. The Sutton Hoo deposit suggested otherwise, comprehensively. The gold helmet, the Byzantine silverware, the Frankish coins, the Celtic bowl: these were not the possessions of a barbarian warlord grubbing in the ruins of a collapsed civilization. They were the possessions of a king embedded in a continent-wide network of exchange and diplomatic contact, with access to the luxury goods of the eastern Mediterranean and the sophisticated craft traditions of a half-dozen different cultural regions. The Dark Ages were not dark. Nobody had been looking in the right places with the right expectation of what they would find.

What the Antikythera mechanism and the Sutton Hoo burial have in common with Mont'e Prama is not their content. The objects are from different cultures, different periods, different geographies, and different depositional contexts. What they share is the structure of their discovery: each was a find that exceeded the prior expectation of the field, each sat in a kind of interpretive limbo for a period of years or decades while the scholarly apparatus adjusted to what the evidence required, and each, once absorbed, permanently revised the understanding of the civilization that produced it. In each case, the revision ran in the same direction: these people were more sophisticated than we had assumed. The capacity was present all along. The problem was the expectation.

This pattern has a formal name in the sociology of scientific discovery, derived from a statistical concept that philosophers of science have applied to the question of how researchers process anomalous evidence. The prior probability assigned to a hypothesis determines how much weight is given to evidence that supports it.

When the prior probability is very low, even strong evidence is discounted; when it is very high, even weak evidence is taken as confirmation. The assignment of prior probabilities is not a neutral process. It is shaped by the existing framework of knowledge and by the social structures of the discipline, including what gets funded, what gets published, and what kinds of questions are considered worth asking.

In Sardinia's case, the prior probability assigned to sophisticated nuragic figurative sculpture in stone was, for most of the twentieth century, near zero. This was not arbitrary. The existing record of nuragic material culture, as it had been excavated and documented up to 1974, included monumental stone architecture, bronze casting, and decorated ceramics, but not large-scale figural stone carving. The absence of documented examples was taken as evidence that the tradition did not exist, which is a standard inferential move, not an unreasonable one. The problem is that the inference works only if the sample is representative. If the sample is incomplete because of where and how intensively people have been looking, the inference from absence to non-existence is unreliable.

In the Cabras museum, the evidence stands at two meters.

The bronze figurines should have challenged the inference earlier. There are roughly a thousand of them, scattered across nuragic sanctuaries and collected in museums from Cagliari to Nuoro, and some of them are extraordinary by any measure: warriors in articulated armor, archers in the stance of full draw, boxers, chieftains, priests, nursing mothers, men and women in the exact poses that the Mont'e Prama statues replicate at ten times the scale. The figurines were known. They were studied. The conclusion that the same tradition might have worked in stone at monumental scale was not drawn, partly because the figurines were cast and the statues were carved, and partly because the field had not been looking for it.

Heinrich Schliemann did not belong to any academy in 1871 when he began digging at Hissarlik in northwestern Turkey, and the academies of his time would not have welcomed him. He was a German businessman who had made successive fortunes in Russia, in California, and in the indigo trade, and who had taught himself ancient Greek in middle age specifically to read Homer with the seriousness he felt the poems demanded. His conviction, which he traced to a childhood reading of a

history book that included an illustration of Troy in flames, was that the Trojan War was a real historical event and that its physical setting existed somewhere on the landscape of northwestern Anatolia and could be found. [8]

The mainstream classical scholarship of his day considered this conviction at best romantic and at worst delusional. The Trojan War was myth. Homer was a literary construction. The idea that you could excavate a city from the poems was not a hypothesis worth testing, and the scholars who were qualified to evaluate such hypotheses had evaluated it and moved on. Schliemann was not deterred. He was also not a trained archaeologist, and his methods reflected the urgency of a man in a hurry to reach the layer he believed was the right one. In his eagerness to find Priam's city, he dug through and substantially damaged strata that later excavators would have valued highly. His 1873 identification of "Priam's Treasure," a hoard of gold and silver objects he removed from the site with the help of his wife Sophia over a few hours of unauthorized digging, was almost certainly from a period roughly a thousand years before the likely date of the Trojan War. His credentialing was absent, his methods were flawed, and his basic intuition was correct.

He found the city. Multiple cities, in fact, stratified on top of each other over thousands of years of continuous occupation, demonstrating that Hissarlik had been a significant settlement across much of the Bronze Age and into the historical period. The layer now designated Troy VIIa, dated to approximately 1180 BCE, shows evidence of violent destruction at precisely the period the Homeric tradition suggests. He was right that a city was there, right that it had been destroyed, and wrong about almost everything else, including which layer of the site was the relevant one and what exactly the poems were trying to tell him.

The three cases, Antikythera, Sutton Hoo, and Troy, differ in their methods and in how defensible their investigators were professionally. Price was a careful physicist applying emerging radiographic technology. Brown was a methodical amateur who recognized what he was finding and called in the professionals at the appropriate moment. Schliemann was an amateur with a theory and the money to act on it, whose methods caused damage that subsequent scholars have never entirely forgiven. What the three share is that each operated against the prevailing prior probability assigned to their finds, and each was substantially right in their central

claim. The prior probability assigned by the establishment was wrong in each case, not because the establishment was corrupt or intellectually dishonest, but because the evidence it was working from was incomplete. It had not yet seen what was about to be found.

This is the ordinary condition of all empirical fields: you work from what has been found, toward an understanding of what has not been found yet. The gap between these two things is always large, and its size is always invisible until something turns it up. A stone foot in a plowed field. In October 1974.

The institutional history of Mont'e Prama is worth dwelling on, because it illuminates the gap between the moment a discovery is made and the moment it enters the scholarly record in the form the evidence warrants.

The excavations of 1975 through 1979 were directed by Carlo Tronchetti, Ferruccio Barreca, and Giovanni Lilliu, the last of whom was by that point the dominant figure in Sardinian prehistoric archaeology and whose involvement gave the project a level of scholarly authority it might otherwise have lacked. The preliminary publication of 1977 established the basic parameters of the find: the location, the typology of the statues, the association with the necropolis and the nuraghi. What it did not establish was the interpretive framework that would allow the statues to be understood in relation to the existing scholarship on nuragic material culture. That framework did not exist yet. The Mont'e Prama figures were, in 1977, a category without a context.

The question of why the full publication took so long is one that the Italian archaeological community has discussed with varying degrees of candor over the years. Funding constraints were real. The scale of the conservation project required at Li Punti was genuinely demanding: five thousand fragments, sorted by type and find context, each requiring analysis and cleaning before any reassembly could begin. The staff and budget of the facility were adequate for the work being done but not for accelerating it beyond the pace that the available resources set. These are structural explanations, and they are accurate as far as they go.

They do not entirely explain the interpretive silence that accompanied the delay. The fragments were not lost. Scholars knew they existed. The preliminary publication was cited. But the sustained analytical work that would have positioned the find within the broader literature of Bronze Age Mediterranean figurative sculpture, the comparative work that would have mapped the Mont'e Prama tradition against the stone statue traditions of the Iberian peninsula and the Aegean and the eastern Mediterranean, was not undertaken at the scale the evidence warranted. The prior probability problem operated not only on the question of whether such figures could exist, but on the question of how important they were once their existence was established.

The resumption of the conservation project in 2005 was, in a sense, a correction of this. It came with a clearer mandate, better funding from the Sardinian regional government, and a curatorial context that had shifted: the scholarly literature on Bronze Age Mediterranean interconnections had expanded considerably since the 1970s, the genetic studies of Sardinian population history had begun to appear, and the interest in nuragic culture among both the scholarly community and the general public had grown. The twenty-five figures installed in 2011 entered a world that was better prepared to receive them than the world of 1977 had been. The delay had, in a perverse way, served the discovery: the scholarship arrived with the evidence rather than ahead of it.

The scholarly debate about Mont'e Prama since the 2011 installation has concentrated on several questions, some approaching resolution and some still substantially open.

The dating question has the most established consensus. The ninth and eighth century BCE framing is broadly accepted, based on the radiocarbon analysis of associated organics and the typology of the ceramic grave goods in the necropolis. The excavation campaigns that resumed in 2014 are still generating new evidence, and the full publication of the stratigraphic record is awaited.^[9] The question of function remains more open. The statues clearly had a funerary association, placed in or near a cemetery whose burials have been analyzed in detail, but whether they served as grave markers, protective presences, ancestor images, or representations

of divine figures is not settled by the available evidence. The iconographic parallels with the bronze votive figurines of nuragic sanctuaries are compelling, but the parallel does not resolve the interpretive question: the same type of figure could serve very different functions in different contexts.

The question of typological connections, the one that tends to catch the broadest attention outside the specialist literature, concerns the horned helmets. The warriors of Mont'e Prama wear helmets with two horns extending from a central headpiece, the same general form as the helmets on the bronze warrior figurines found in nuragic sanctuaries across the island. The same general form also appears on the warriors depicted in the Medinet Habu reliefs at Thebes, where the sculptors and painters of Ramses III documented the assault of the Sea Peoples in approximately 1177 BCE. Among the groups named by the Egyptians in their accounts of the Sea Peoples is one called Shardana, widely glossed as Sardinians or people of Sardinian connection, depicted in Egyptian art with horned helmets and depicted in Egyptian texts as formidable fighters who also served as royal bodyguards.^[10] The horned helmet connection between the Mont'e Prama warriors and the Medinet Habu Shardana is frequently invoked in discussions of the site. It does not constitute proof of the identification. It is a thread in a fabric of questions about where nuragic culture sat in the broader web of Bronze Age Mediterranean exchange, and Mont'e Prama has made that question more urgent than it was before.

The accumulating inventory of things found at and associated with the site warrants attention as a list, because the list makes the density of the evidence visible in a way that any single item cannot. Five thousand fragments of carved sandstone recovered in the campaigns of 1975 through 1979. Three statue types: warriors, archers, boxers. Stone attribute objects: actual stone bows and stone shields and stone swords, not tools but declarations, objects whose material is wrong for their apparent function and right for their actual one.^[11] Small bronze figurines: diminutive versions of several of the stone statues found nearby, suggesting that the large stone figures had portable bronze counterparts suitable for votive use. An Egyptian faience scarab, a small object with large implications for the trade connections still operating between this peninsula and the eastern Mediterranean at the close of the Bronze Age. Multiple nuraghi at the same site, the circular stone

towers that the nuragic civilization built across the island over a period of roughly a thousand years. A cemetery of inhumation graves whose burials have been analyzed for age, sex, and pathology. New fragments recovered in the campaigns since 2014, extending the count of completed figures past the original twenty-five.

The list does not tell us what the statues meant. It tells us that the people who made them were operating within a highly developed material culture that extended to trade goods from the eastern Mediterranean and included a tradition of both monumental stone carving and miniature bronze casting, that both forms were present at the same site in some associated relationship, and that the site was a place of significant investment over a period of generations. That is what the list can establish. The meaning is what the list points toward without reaching.

There is one more item that belongs on the list, and it is the most difficult to interpret: the statues were found in alignments. Not scattered, not displaced by erosion or agricultural disturbance into a random distribution across the field. Aligned. Placed deliberately in rows or groupings whose spatial logic the excavators documented and which the resumed excavations since 2014 have been mapping in greater detail. Whatever the statues were for, they were arranged to be seen in relation to each other, in a spatial composition that had meaning to the people who installed them. We have the arrangement without the grammar that would let us read it. The two-meter figures in their rows in the sandy soil of the Sinis peninsula were a sentence in a language no one has yet fully deciphered.

The conservation work at Li Punti, which produced the twenty-five figures of the 2011 installation, took the form of the discipline in which it is one of the most demanding possible projects. Each fragment had to be cleaned, analyzed, matched against the other fragments of its find context, and then carefully joined or consolidated against the structural requirements of a two-meter standing figure in sandstone. The sandstone of the Sinis peninsula is a relatively soft material; some of the original surfaces have been damaged by the centuries of burial and by the handling inevitable in any excavation. The restorations are clearly marked, the fill distinguishable from the original stone by color and texture, so that a careful viewer can see exactly what was found and what was supplied. The museum is honest

about what it knows and what it is guessing. This is what good conservation looks like.

The museum room itself was designed with the figures in mind. The ceiling is high. The lighting is deliberate, falling from above in a way that picks up the carved surface of the sandstone without overexposing the joins. The figures stand at intervals that allow you to move among them, to approach each one from more than one angle, to see the backs as well as the fronts, the sides as well as the fronts. The warriors' shields rise above their heads. The archers' arms extend. The boxers' shields are held at chest level.

And the eyes look out, large and flat and still. You can look at them for a long time. They do not give you back what you put in. They do not reflect. They absorb, or they simply sit there in the quality of attention that very old things have, which is not really attention at all but something that resembles it so closely that the distinction becomes academic in the presence of the actual object.

The bronze figurines in the display cases nearby, the thousand or more known from nuragic sanctuaries across the island, are small enough to hold in a hand. The figures in the main gallery are not. They are taller than you are. They were made to be seen from a certain distance, from the perspective of someone approaching across open ground, not from the close-up perspective of a museum visitor. Up close, the concentric circles are large enough to see each ring individually. From ten meters away, in the open landscape where they stood before they were buried, the eyes would have been visible as a single dark form in a pale stone face, a focal point that the eye would have gone to automatically, the way it goes to any figure on a plain.

You stand in front of one figure and look into those circles.

They have been looking in your direction for twenty-seven centuries, and your arrival makes no particular difference to the direction or the duration of the gaze.

CHAPTER 2: THE STEPPED FORM

A stepped pyramid stands in a wheat field in the northwest of Sardinia, seven kilometers from the sea. It is not large by the standards of the form. Its base measures thirty-five by thirty-one meters. Its surviving height is nine meters, achieved in two distinct platforms, each one smaller than the one below, so that the profile from the side makes the unmistakable silhouette of a staircase intended for something considerably larger than a person. A long concrete ramp, built for modern visitors, ascends to the summit from the south at a gentle angle designed for tourist feet rather than for any ancient purpose. On clear days, standing at the top, you can see the Gulf of Asinara.

There is no Mesopotamian context for this structure. There is no Near Eastern settlement on this limestone plain, no cuneiform archive within four thousand kilometers, no Akkadian trade depot, no evidence of sustained ancient Near Eastern habitation on the island of Sardinia at any point in the prehistoric sequence that archaeologists have been carefully building for the better part of a century. And yet the form is Mesopotamian. The word the archaeologists use, without irony and without any apparent discomfort, is *ziggurat*: the technical term for a two-stage platform temple built on an artificial mountain in the tradition of the ancient Near East. Eridu. Ur. Uruk. The word appears in the excavation reports published after the investigations of the 1970s and 1980s. It appears in the scholarly volume by Gary Webster and Maud Webster, *Punctuated Insularity*, one of the most rigorously researched studies of Sardinian prehistory published in the past decade. ^[1] The structure at Monte d'Accoddi is a *ziggurat*, in the view of the people who have spent the most time studying it, and the question that view generates, the question this chapter is built around, is how the form got there.

This is actually two questions that tend to blur together in the literature and benefit from being held distinct. The first is historical and architectural: by what mechanism did a form developed in Mesopotamia in the fourth millennium BCE appear in a Sardinian wheat field at roughly the same period? The second is institutional: how has the debate over this question been conducted across seventy years of scholarship, and what has the shape of that debate revealed about the way archaeology processes evidence that exceeds its prior expectations? The first

question has a partial answer, carefully hedged, supported by a convergence of evidence that grows more persuasive with each new excavation season. The second question has an answer that is less flattering to any single school of thought, and more interesting for that.

The man who first excavated Monte d'Accoddi was Ercole Contu, who arrived at the site in 1952 expecting to find a nuraghe. ^[2] This was a reasonable expectation. The mound was visible from the road as a raised earthen shape of the kind that, in Sardinia, almost always covers a Bronze Age tower. The owner of the land, Antonio Segni, who would later serve as President of the Italian Republic, had requested an investigation. Contu agreed, undertook exploratory excavations, and found instead a truncated pyramid of limestone masonry. He did not find a nuraghe. He found something for which, in 1952, Sardinian archaeology had no category.

The investigations that followed in the late 1970s and into the 1990s, conducted first by Contu and later by Santo Tiné and the archaeologist Alberto Traverso, revealed a stratigraphy of extraordinary complexity. Beneath the visible monument was an earlier one, wholly enclosed within the outer shell of the visible structure. Beneath that earlier monument was a settlement. And beneath the settlement were the traces of an occupation still older. The story the layers tell runs as follows.

Around 4300 BCE, a village of oval huts occupied this calcareous plain. The people who lived there worked obsidian from the extinct volcano of Monte Arci, sixty kilometers to the south, and their material culture connects them to the Late Neolithic San Ciriaco horizon of Sardinia. They were farmers and herders embedded in the maritime trade networks of the western Mediterranean. They built no monuments here. Their settlement left stone footers and the characteristic ceramics of their period, recovered in the construction fill of the later monument and in test trenches around its base.

Then, approximately a thousand years later, the Ozieri culture arrived at the site, replacing the oval huts with rectangular buildings with stone foundations, oriented around an open plaza. At the center of the plaza stood a large limestone menhir, roughly 4.4 meters tall, weighing an estimated 5.75 tons, found in sections by the excavators but originally standing vertical. The plaza, the rectangular buildings, and

the menhir constituted what archaeologists call the menhir-shrine phase of Monte d'Accoddi: an open-air sacred precinct organized around an upright stone, a form found across Neolithic and Chalcolithic Europe from the Atlantic coast of Brittany to the Anatolian plateau. Familiar. Comprehensible. Fitting the established pattern of the period.

What was built on top of it was neither familiar nor comprehensible in terms of anything known from the western Mediterranean Neolithic.

The first platform was built directly on the site of the menhir-shrine settlement, its construction fill mining the materials of the older habitation. It was rectangular: twenty-four meters by twenty-seven meters at the base, rising to a height of approximately 5.4 meters. The upper 3.4 meters were inclined toward the flat summit, producing a truncated pyramid profile. A ramp twenty-five meters long ran up the south face at twenty-one degrees. The entire surface of both the platform and the ramp was faced with limestone masonry, smoothly plastered and painted in red ochre, inside and out. ^[3]

On the summit of this platform stood a temple. It was rectangular, roughly thirteen meters by seven, approached through a single opening in the south wall. The floor was stuccoed. The walls were stuccoed. Both floor and walls were painted red. The ceiling, represented in fallen fragments, preserved impressions of straw and traces of three colors: yellow, black, and red. ^[4]

Archaeologists call it the Red Temple, a name so literal it almost seems provisional, and it is the Red Temple that generates the comparison to Mesopotamia. Not because red plaster is unique to the ancient Near East. Red ochre was used in sacred contexts across the prehistoric world, from the cave paintings of Altamira to the funerary deposits of the British Isles. What makes the Red Temple remarkable is not the color but the method, and the accumulation of specific technical choices that have no precedent in Sardinian or western Mediterranean architecture and have specific parallels in contemporary Mesopotamian cult construction.

Webster and Webster document this accumulation in detail. The method of layering the platform fill, alternating medium-size stones, small-size stones, culturally rich silty sediments, culturally sterile silty sediments, and packed ground limestone (locally called albino) in a carefully engineered sequence designed to manage the

weight and drainage of an earthen monument, is exactly the method documented at Eridu, Uqair, and other early Mesopotamian sites in publications reaching back to Leonard Woolley's work at Ur in the 1920s. ^[5] The decision to found the building on the albino stratum rather than on bedrock, despite the fact that bedrock lay close to the surface and would have provided a more stable foundation, makes engineering sense only if you understand it as adherence to a Near Eastern ritual prescription for the purity of the building site, a prescription documented in Mesopotamian construction records where the preparation of the ground for "god's house" required specific procedures that took precedence over practical convenience. The permeable mortars and plasters, poorly suited to the wet winters of northern Sardinia and documented to have required repeated reapplication, are precisely what you would expect from builders faithfully reproducing a tradition developed in the dry climate of southern Mesopotamia in conditions where that tradition kept failing.

And then there are the copper tools found in the foundation deposits: a collection recovered by Contu during the early soundings, from a stratum beneath the monument, possibly representing a foundation deposit of the kind documented in association with important cult buildings in Mesopotamia, where dedicatory deposits at the base of a new temple were a standard ritual procedure. ^[6] Weaving looms appeared at the site, evidenced by weights. Woolly sheep may have been introduced to the island around this period. Rectangular stone-founded buildings, distinct from the round and oval forms of the Sardinian Neolithic, appear in the Ozieri settlement beneath the platform. Something new had arrived at this site, and it had arrived with an architectural tradition, a cosmological program, and a set of material practices that all pointed in the same direction.

Webster and Webster's conclusion is carefully stated but unambiguous: the Red Temple "does not represent an indigenous cultural expression but rather the manifestation of a migration event initiated from the Near East sometime in the early 4th millennium BC." ^[7] Two careful scholars who have spent decades working through the evidence. Not diffusionist speculators. Not fringe theorists. The dean of Mediterranean Neolithic archaeology and his collaborator, concluding from the material record that someone arrived from the Near East and built a temple they already knew how to build.

This is the named concept this chapter introduces at length: the Stepped Form. Not merely the architectural feature, though the feature is the starting point. The Stepped Form is the question of whether monumental stepped architecture diffused from a single source or emerged independently in multiple places in response to some universal human impulse toward raising sacred structures above the plain. The evidence at Monte d'Accoddi pushes hard toward the diffusion hypothesis for this specific case, because the technical details are too specific, too numerous, and too foreign to the local tradition to be explained as convergent evolution. But the Stepped Form appears in so many places, across so many centuries, in cultures with no documented contact with each other, that the broader question remains open. And that broader question illuminates the specific case at Monte d'Accoddi by clarifying what it would mean if the form had arrived here by independent invention rather than by transmission.

In 1994, a Kurdish shepherd near the town of Sanliurfa in southeastern Turkey, in the dry highlands where the Tigris and Euphrates headwaters begin their descent toward the Mesopotamian plain, noticed carved stone under the surface of a hilltop that local people had assumed was a natural feature. He notified the Sanliurfa museum. The museum notified the German Archaeological Institute in Istanbul. And the archaeologist Klaus Schmidt, who had been working on Neolithic sites in the region, arrived at the hill and began what became a twenty-year excavation project that changed the foundational assumptions of the discipline he worked in. ^[8]

Göbekli Tepe is a series of circular enclosures, each several meters across, built into a hilltop at roughly 760 meters elevation above a limestone plateau. The enclosures are ringed with T-shaped limestone pillars, the uprights standing up to 5.5 meters tall and weighing in some cases twenty tons, set into stone benches and connected by low stone walls. The pillars are carved in low relief with images whose variety is remarkable: foxes, snakes, vultures, aurochs, cranes, scorpions, spiders, abstract symbols whose meaning has not been decoded. The two central pillars in each enclosure are slightly larger and slightly different in proportion from the surrounding ring, suggesting a distinction that may be hierarchical or may be liturgical. The quarry for the stones lies nearby, and there are unfinished pillars still

in the rock, at the stage where they were abandoned, that give a clear picture of the extraction method.

The radiocarbon dating of organic material from the site places the oldest layers at approximately 9600 BCE. Göbekli Tepe is the oldest monument in the world, predating by roughly a thousand years the earliest Neolithic agricultural settlements of the Fertile Crescent, whose appearance in the record around 8500 BCE had until Schmidt's work been understood as the prerequisite for any kind of monumental construction.

This is the fact that overturned the established sequence. The assumption embedded in the discipline since at least V. Gordon Childe's formulation of the Neolithic Revolution in the 1920s was that monuments follow agriculture. Settlements require farmers. Farmers produce surplus. Surplus frees workers from subsistence. Free workers can be organized for construction. Construction at monumental scale requires the social complexity of permanent, settled communities. The logic was internally consistent. It was also wrong.

The faunal record at Göbekli Tepe, in its oldest layers, is overwhelmingly wild. Aurochs, gazelle, wild boar, deer: these are the animals of hunters, not of herders. Domesticated animals and plants are absent from the earliest deposits. The builders of the circular enclosures with the twenty-ton carved pillars were not farmers. They were hunter-gatherers, organized at a scale and with a degree of social coordination that their material record elsewhere had not prepared anyone to expect.

Schmidt's proposed reversal of the agricultural sequence, developed in a series of publications before his death in 2014, argues that the causality runs in the opposite direction from what Childe assumed. The monument precedes the settlement. The need to feed workers at a ceremonial site, workers drawn from a wide geographic area to participate in the construction and use of a place that carried religious or social significance for multiple bands, may itself have been the pressure that drove the first systematic cultivation of grain. Monumental architecture as the driver of agriculture, not its product. The hypothesis is not universally accepted, but it has been widely engaged, and it has permanently loosened the grip of the sequence that said you cannot build something like Göbekli Tepe without first having farms.

The implication for Monte d'Accoddi is structural rather than direct. Schmidt's argument does not explain where the Red Temple tradition came from. It explains why the existence of the Red Temple is not, on its own, evidence that a settled agricultural civilization preceded it on that calcareous plain. Monuments can be initial gestures. They can represent the physical instantiation of a tradition that arrived with its carriers, not a tradition that grew from the soil beneath it.

Giovanni Lilliu was born in 1914 in Barumini, a village in the Marmilla plain of south-central Sardinia, sixty meters from a nuraghe. ^[9] He grew up with the towers as part of the ordinary visual field, as common and as unremarked as the limestone outcrops and the olive trees, and it would take decades and a classical education and a professional career before the towers became the explicit subject of his life's work rather than just the background of his childhood.

He took a degree in classical antiquities at the University of Rome. He returned to Cagliari and took a position at what would eventually become the University of Cagliari, where he taught and researched and wrote for sixty years. He was appointed to the chair of Sardinian Antiquities. He was elected Senator of the Italian Republic in 1963, not as a politician but as an eminent figure in Italian cultural life, a category of appointment the Italian Senate maintained for most of the postwar period. He received honorary degrees from institutions across Europe. He was made a corresponding member of the German Archaeological Institute, the British Academy, the French Institute of Archaeology.

These credentials are the scaffolding. The substance is the work. Beginning in 1949, Lilliu undertook a systematic excavation of Su Nuraxi di Barumini, the nuraghe whose profile he had seen from his village throughout his childhood. ^[10] The site, now a UNESCO World Heritage site and the most visited prehistoric monument in Sardinia, contains a central tower dating to approximately 1500 BCE surrounded by a complex of four outer towers connected by high curtain walls, enclosing an interior courtyard with a cistern, and ringed by the remains of a village of circular huts that was occupied from the late Bronze Age into the early centuries of the Common Era. Lilliu excavated it systematically, season after season, following the stratigraphy down through a thousand years of continuous habitation, and the

publication of his findings in the 1950s defined the methods and the vocabulary of nuragic archaeology for the generation that followed.

His major synthesis, *La civiltà dei Sardi*, first published in 1963 and revised and expanded in 1967 and again in 1988, remains the foundational text of the field.^[11] It is an ambitious book, covering the full arc of Sardinian prehistory from the Paleolithic to the historical period, arguing for a rigorous, evidence-based understanding of the nuragic period as a genuine Bronze Age civilization, complex and stratified and technically sophisticated, engaged with the Mediterranean world across a wide arc of trade and exchange. The arguments Lilliu made against the Romantic nationalism that had sometimes inflected earlier scholarship on Sardinia's prehistory, and against the diffusionist accounts that attributed the nuraghi to Mycenaeans or Phoenicians or other external builders, established the intellectual framework within which the field has operated ever since, even when specific conclusions have been revised.

The continuity argument was central to Lilliu's career. He argued, on the basis of the archaeological record, that the Sardinians had not been replaced by each successive wave of arrivals, that the population documented in the Neolithic and Bronze Age records was continuous with the population that lived through the Carthaginian and Roman periods and continued into the historical era. This was, in 1963, a more contentious claim than it would be today. The ancient DNA work of the 2010s and 2020s has provided molecular confirmation of a continuity that Lilliu argued from material culture alone, across the ceramics and the architecture and the votive bronze figurines that he spent his working life cataloging and interpreting. He was right. The field caught up.

Lilliu died in 2012, at ninety-seven, having outlived most of the scholarly generation that had contested his interpretations and having seen the field he built begin to be substantially revised and extended by the generation that followed. His name appears in the footnotes of every significant publication on nuragic archaeology issued in the past sixty years, sometimes as primary source, sometimes as framework, sometimes as the position against which a new argument must define itself. That is what it means to be the dean of a field. Your work becomes the landscape in which the next generation works.

His connection to Monte d'Accoddi was not direct in the way that his connection to Su Nuraxi was. He was not the excavator. But his bibliography engages the site repeatedly, his theoretical framework shaped how the site was understood in relation to the broader nuragic sequence, and his work on Ozieri iconography, particularly on the figurines and the symbolic vocabulary of the fourth-millennium material, provided the scholarly context in which the debates about Monte d'Accoddi's cultural affiliation were conducted.

The second platform at Monte d'Accoddi is the architectural feature that creates the true ziggurat form and the site of the institutional debate that has been running since the 1990s and has not yet fully resolved.

The Red Temple was destroyed by fire, probably before the middle of the fourth millennium BCE. The cause of the fire is not known. The excavations documented evidence of burning on the summit platform and in the masonry of the temple walls, but the event left no other trace legible to the record. After the fire, the monument sat in a state of ruin for a period documented by the ceramic sequence: visitors came, made offerings, deposited fine-ware ceramics in features around the damaged platform, but did not rebuild. The menhir-shrine on the west flank of the access ramp apparently still stood during this interval of disuse.

Then, sometime around or after 2800 BCE, someone enclosed the ruined Red Temple platform in a larger platform of entirely different construction. Where the first platform had been smooth-plastered and painted, the second was left unplastered, its outer face of rough coursed limestone boulders, some measuring 1.1 by 1.95 by 1.2 meters, exposed to the weather. Where the first platform used permeable mud-mortar, the second used mortar sparingly and relied instead on the mass of the stone for stability. A hemicycle bastion was added on the western, windward side of the monument, buttressing against the prevailing weather. The ramp was extended from twenty-five to forty-two meters, widened at the base, and raised in height, partially covering the ancient menhir in the process, which was found razed and fragmented in the construction fill. ^[12]

The resulting structure increased the base dimensions from roughly twenty-four by twenty-seven meters to thirty-five by thirty-one meters and raised the total height

from approximately 5.4 meters to the nine meters that survive today. The long ramp of the second phase, forty-two meters from base to summit, seven meters wide at the bottom and 13.5 meters at the top, gave the monument its final profile: two stepped platforms, the smaller above the larger, the summit prepared with a system of rubble-filled caissons designed to carry the load of a surmounting structure that has not survived.

This is the ziggurat. The two-stage platform temple. The word appears in the scholarly literature with the matter-of-fact confidence of a term whose appropriateness is not in question.

The date and cultural affiliation of the second platform, however, is precisely in question, and the debate is instructive. The scholarly consensus, represented by Contu, Castaldi, Lo Schiavo, Tiné, and Moravetti, attributes the second platform to the Filigosa-Abealzu cultural horizon, a third-millennium BCE modality that emerged in Sardinia as Mediterranean trade networks reorganized in the early Bronze Age. Maria Grazia Melis, one of the leading scholars of the Sardinian Chalcolithic, has argued instead for a Sub-Ozieri attribution, placing the second platform in the mid-fourth millennium BCE on the basis of the ceramic evidence associated with the rebuilding.^[13] A radiocarbon date of 3386 to 3008 cal. BCE, obtained from charcoal deposits believed to have accumulated after the construction, overlaps with the earliest dates for Filigosa-Abealzu remains from other sites and with the Sub-Ozieri period. Webster and Webster, reviewing the state of the debate in 2017, note that given “the complex site stratigraphy, evincing much cultural mixing, in conjunction with the limited excavation coverage and its incomplete publication, and with continuing disagreements on basic issues of ceramic typology, this debate is likely to persist.”^[14]

What the debate is really about, beneath the ceramic typology, is the question of how long the connection to the eastern architectural tradition was active and whether it was ever interrupted. If the second platform is Filigosa-Abealzu and dates to around 2800 BCE or later, then the tradition was revived after a gap of perhaps five centuries, reengaged as part of the broader third-millennium reconnection with eastern Mediterranean networks that the ceramic and metal records document across the western Mediterranean at this time. If Melis is right and the second platform is Sub-Ozieri, then the construction was continuous from

the late fourth millennium: the tradition maintained without interruption, the monument rebuilt by people who remembered or directly inherited the understanding of what it was for. The difference matters for interpreting the nature of the contact: sporadic and episodic, or sustained and generational.

What is not in dispute is that the builders of the second platform understood what they were building. The adherence to the albino stratum as the foundation level, the same method of rubble fill, the same general design of a truncated pyramid with a long south-facing ramp: all of it is present in the second construction, even as the specific materials and surface treatments differ. The eastern architectural canons did not merely inspire the form; they prescribed enough of the method that the second platform reproduces them in a building that is, in its own terms, an entirely different piece of construction from the first. Someone who knew what the first platform had been built to be, built the second one on the same principles.

Here is where the comparison to Cahokia earns its place.

In the twelfth century CE, at the confluence of the Missouri and Mississippi rivers in what is now the American state of Illinois, there existed a city. Not a village, not a seasonal gathering place, not a ceremonial center visited periodically and otherwise empty: a city, with a permanent population estimated at ten to twenty thousand people at its height around 1100 CE, making it larger than contemporary London and the largest settlement in North America north of Mexico. ^[15]

Cahokia, as the site is now called, covers roughly fourteen square kilometers of the Mississippi River bottomlands. It contains more than one hundred earthen mounds, the largest of which, known to modern visitors as Monks Mound, rises to thirty meters in four stepped platforms and covers more ground at its base than the Great Pyramid at Giza. The platforms were built in stages, the mound growing over generations as each new political cycle added to the height and extent of the central monument. The city that surrounded it had neighborhoods identifiable by craft specialization, a stockaded precinct around the central mound complex, plazas for public assembly, and the infrastructure of a redistributive economy: granaries, workshops, markets.

Cahokia was the capital of what archaeologists call the Mississippian culture, whose reach extended across the eastern half of the North American continent. Its iconography, its political organization, and its material culture appear in the archaeological records of sites from Minnesota to Florida, connected by trade networks that moved copper from Lake Superior and marine shells from the Gulf of Mexico to exchange partners a thousand miles away. The paramount chief who lived at the summit of Monks Mound was the center of a political and religious system whose scale and complexity exceeded anything previously documented north of Mesoamerica.

By the fourteenth century, Cahokia was abandoned. Not destroyed by conquest, not burned in a catastrophic event: empty. The population dispersed. The surrounding agricultural villages that had supplied the city with surplus grain stopped supplying it. The political structure that had organized a city of twenty thousand people across two centuries simply ceased to function, for reasons that remain debated: climate shift that shortened growing seasons, soil exhaustion from intensive agriculture on the bottomlands, political conflict within the elite, epidemic disease, some combination of all of these. The mounds remained. Everything else, the people, the political organization, the memory of why the mounds were built and who had built them, went somewhere that the archaeological record has not fully recovered.

When French explorers arrived at the Mississippi in the late seventeenth century, they found the mounds still standing, the largest one visible for miles across the flat bottomlands. They asked the local nations about the mounds. Nobody remembered. No oral tradition connected the living people of the region to the people who had built Monks Mound. The monument was there and the explanation for it was not, a gap that would not begin to close until systematic archaeological work in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries.

This is the condition of Monte d'Accoddi. The monument is there. The people who built it are not there to explain what it meant, and the oral tradition that might have preserved that explanation has been gone since before the Bronze Age ended. The ziggurat in the wheat field was built by people who knew, in their own terms, exactly what they were doing, for reasons that were entirely sufficient to them, in a tradition that was alive and transmissible for as long as the institution that carried it

existed. When the institution ended, the reasons ended with it. What remained was the form.

The form is why archaeologists spend careers on this site. The form is why the debate about the second platform's cultural affiliation matters: because the form is the evidence that a tradition arrived here from somewhere specific and was maintained here for an interval of time whose length and continuity the debate is trying to establish. The form is why Webster and Webster's careful, qualified conclusion, that the Red Temple represents "the manifestation of a migration event," is the most significant sentence in the scholarship on Monte d'Accoddi, because it names what the form implies about the people who built it: they knew.

The ramp at Monte d'Accoddi today is concrete and rises at an angle designed for visitors. At the top, the summit is open to the sky, the second temple entirely gone, nothing remaining of the upper surface but the archaeological evidence of its preparation: the rubble-filled caissons documented by Traverso in the excavations of the 2000s, the hard-packed albino layer, the record of something that surmounted the platform and has not survived. ^[16]

The wheat fields extend to the horizon. The gulf is not visible from here but it is close, five kilometers. The limestone plain is flat in the way that tells you it was once seabed, the compressed remains of marine organisms from a time before the Mediterranean had its current shape. The site is quiet in the way that places are quiet when the thing that gave them their sound has been absent for five thousand years.

The question of how the form arrived here will not be fully answered by any single excavation season. The debate will persist, as Webster and Webster predicted, because the evidence is genuinely ambiguous at the resolution required to settle the ceramic typology questions that are the proximate site of the disagreement. What will be answered, incrementally, is the larger picture: where the builders of the Red Temple came from, how long they stayed, whether their tradition was carried on by their descendants or re-imported by a later wave of contact, and what the people of Sardinia made of it all.

The monument is here. Two platforms, a long ramp, a tradition built nine meters above a limestone plain. Five thousand years old and still casting a shadow in the morning light, pointing northeast, pointing in a direction that the 2009 archaeo-astronomical analysis suggests may have been intentional, aligned with something the builders tracked in the sky above a plain where wheat grows now as it grew then, as it will grow after everyone who has ever asked the question is gone. ^[17]

The shadow moves.

CHAPTER 3: THE FALSE DOOR

The slab is 4.4 meters tall and roughly half a meter thick. It is cut from pink granite, the same material the local farmers still use to build the field walls you pass on the road up from Arzachena, and it stands at the head of a long stone corridor like a thing that has decided not to move and intends to keep that decision indefinitely. At its base, cut precisely into the stone and framed on either side by carved cornices that are deliberately decorative, a feature that required additional labor and served no structural function, there is a small rectangular opening: perhaps forty centimeters wide, fifty centimeters tall. Barely enough space for a crouching child to pass through. ^[1]

Behind that slab, the corridor extends for twenty-seven meters. It is flanked by upright granite walls, covered by massive roofing stones that have remained in place for three thousand years, and at its far end archaeologists found the commingled skeletal remains of dozens, possibly hundreds, of people, deposited across multiple generations. Not buried individually, not placed in the articulated postures of those expecting resurrection, not accompanied by personal grave goods that would let a future excavator reconstruct an individual life. The bones were gathered together, intermingled, added to. The whole point was accumulation: the collective mass of the dead growing in the dark corridor, becoming something larger and more enduring than any one person's death. ^[2]

This is Coddù Vecchiù, in the territory of Arzachena in the northeastern corner of Sardinia, and the structure belongs to a category the Sardinians call *tomba dei giganti*, which is usually translated as "Giants' Tomb." The name was not attached by the people who built these monuments. It comes from later inhabitants who looked at the scale and concluded that ordinary human beings could not have moved stones this large, and so mythologized the builders into a race of giants who once populated the island and left these structures behind when they disappeared. A comfortable story, the same kind of story that surrounds Stonehenge and the Egyptian pyramids and every other large stone construction whose builders had the bad manners not to leave written records alongside their work. ^[3]

There are between five hundred and eight hundred of these structures scattered across Sardinia, depending on the census year and how strictly you apply the

morphological definition, and the basic architectural grammar is consistent across the entire population: a long narrow gallery, a curved forecourt with stone wings extending to each side, and at the center of the curved front wall, dominating the whole composition, the tall vertical slab with the small opening at its base. Six stones on each side of the central slab at Coddu Vecchiu, thirteen in total, placed on sloping terrain, making a slight inward curve rather than a straight line so that the forecourt forms something like an open embrace rather than a corridor. The roofing stones of the gallery are still present at Coddu Vecchiu in a way they are not at every comparable site. When you enter through the low lateral passage and stand inside, the walls reach about three meters above the floor, which is a height that feels both monumental and intimate in the same moment, and the roofing stones press down, and the darkness at the far end is complete.

A child visiting this site today with a Sardinian grandparent from the older generation might be told that the opening at the base of the slab is a *porta dell'anima*, a soul door. The idea is that the opening was sized precisely for the passage of the recently deceased: small enough to exclude the bodies of the living, proportioned for whatever a spirit weighs and however much space a spirit occupies in transit between this world and the next. Some early scholars in the Romantic tradition embraced this explanation and added to it. It has a compelling internal logic. It sits comfortably in a European imagination formed by Dante and by medieval Christian iconography of the narrow gate through which the righteous soul barely passes while the unworthy are turned away. The architecture is evocative. The opening is small. The leap to spiritual portal is easy and it arrives already fully articulated.

The architecture does not support it.

The chamber behind the slab is not directly accessible through the central opening. The slab is a visual terminus, a focal point, the gravitational center of the composition. The actual entrance to the tomb is a side passage, a low opening set into one of the flanking walls several meters from the front, less dramatic than the great central slab but functional, the real door. Some specimens have more than one lateral access point. The design is consistent: you approach the massive frontal slab, and it stops you. To enter the burial gallery you circle around the side. What the central opening gives you is not passage but address. You face the slab, you

stand before it, and whatever transaction you needed to conduct with the people inside could proceed through the carved stone without requiring you to enter the darkness yourself.^[4]

The slab is not a door in the functional sense. It is a door in the architectural sense. Which turns out to be an older thing, and a more precise thing, and an argument worth making at some length.

There is a second tomb at Arzachena, Li Lolghi, about a kilometer from Coddù Vecchiu and broadly contemporary with it, that makes the point in a different key. The central boulder at Li Lolghi reaches four meters, slightly shorter than the Coddù Vecchiu slab, and the stone type is also granite, and the small opening is at the base in the same position. But the gallery behind Li Lolghi is divided by a vertical slab into two rooms, which changes the spatial experience inside: you enter through the side passage, cross a first chamber, duck through the internal divider, and arrive in a second chamber at the far end. Two thresholds, one inside the other. The architectural logic of the false door, of the threshold that must be crossed to reach the dead, is doubled up and internalized. The outside of the monument makes one claim: the slab stops you. The inside makes another: even once you enter, there are further crossings to be made.

Miriam S. Balmuth spent much of her scholarly career at Tufts University in Medford, Massachusetts, where she organized a series of colloquia on Sardinian archaeology beginning in 1979. In 1986, the third of these took place at the American Academy in Rome, and its subject was the relationship between the nuragic civilization and the Mycenaean world. Balmuth invited specialists in ceramics analysis, lead isotope geochemistry, architectural history, and metallurgy to present their current research, and the proceedings, published the following year as *Studies in Sardinian Archaeology III*, became a reference point for everyone working on the question of what Sardinia shared with its Bronze Age neighbors and what it did not.^[5]

The colloquium did not treat the Giants' Tombs as its central subject, because the tombs predate the nuragic period the volume was organized around. The tomb form is older than the towers, older than the sacred wells, older than the bronze

figurines. Its origins reach back into a pre-nuragic horizon around 1800 BCE or earlier, placing it in a period when the classic nuraghe tower had not yet been invented, when the copper trade with Cyprus was still nascent, when the island's relationship to the wider Mediterranean world was more distant and less documented than it would become. The nuragic people did not invent the Giants' Tomb. They inherited it. And for the full span of their civilization, through the rise and elaboration of every other architectural form they developed, they kept using it.^[6]

This is the fact that demands explanation. The nuragic builders were not architectural conservatives in general. They are famous for the corbelled tholos tower with its internal chambers and spiral staircases, for the multi-towered polylobed fortress with its connecting corridors and elevated platforms, for the precisely engineered sacred well with its trapezoidal staircase descending to a perfectly circular tholos chamber. These are buildings that required sustained technical innovation, refinement over generations, and an evident pleasure in solving difficult structural problems with elegance. The people who built them were not in the habit of leaving well enough alone.

And yet the Giants' Tomb persisted, essentially unchanged, for more than a thousand years, while every other culture in the Mediterranean that had built anything resembling this form had long since abandoned it or transformed it into something unrecognizable.

To understand why that longevity is remarkable, you need to see the form against its broader context. The false door is not a Sardinian invention. It is an old Mediterranean idea, and it appears across a range of cultures so geographically and chronologically dispersed that no simple line of transmission can account for all of its instances. What it appears to represent, over and over again in different materials and different idioms, is a solution to one of the oldest problems that human communities face: how to remain in relationship with the people you have lost.

Egypt. The mastaba tomb, the flat-topped rectangular superstructure built over the burial shaft of Old Kingdom nobles and officials, regularly includes a false door

carved into its western face. The west was the direction of the setting sun, and in Egyptian cosmology the land of the dead lay to the west. The false door was elaborately framed in recessed panels, carved with the name and titles of the deceased, and it was through this stone surface that the *ka*, the soul's life-force double, was understood to pass in and out between the worlds of the living and the dead. The high priest standing before the offering table, burning incense, presenting food, reciting the necessary words, was conducting a real transaction through the medium of the stone. The dead received through the door. The living gave through it. Remove the false door and the whole covenantal structure of the Egyptian mortuary religion breaks down, because there is no longer an interface through which the exchange can take place.^[7]

The oldest mastaba false doors predate the Sardinian Giants' Tombs by more than a millennium. The form appears in the Fourth Dynasty, around 2600 BCE, and it is not peripheral ornament. It is the functional core of the entire monument. The pyramid, which came to dominate later Egyptian royal funerary practice, is in one sense the mastaba taken to an extreme: the superstructure enlarged to the scale of a mountain, the offering chamber internalized, the false door multiplied and elaborated. But the underlying logic is continuous. You cannot remove the false door from Egyptian mortuary religion any more than you can remove the altar from a church. The building makes no sense without it.

The Etruscan tombs. The Etruscans cut burial chambers into the tufa cliffs of Tarquinia and Cerveteri beginning in the seventh century BCE and continued to develop this tradition for several centuries. Many of their painted chamber tombs include a carved false door in the rear wall, flanked by painted pillars and a painted architrave, giving the effect of a threshold leading into a space that does not physically exist. The false door faces the entrance of the tomb, so that the deceased, resting before it, occupies a liminal position perpetually: always at the threshold of departure, always about to step through into the beyond that the painted stone only suggests. The Etruscans were not copying the Egyptians, at least not directly. They were working out the same problem in their own idiom, arriving at the same architectural device by a logic that the problem itself imposed.^[8]

The Mycenaean tholos tombs. The great beehive tombs of mainland Greece, including the Treasury of Atreus at Mycenae, dated to approximately 1250 BCE, were accessed through a long dromos, an open-cut corridor in the hillside, leading to a monumental doorway of elaborately fitted dressed stone. The dromos is architecturally excessive: it is far more impressive than a purely functional passage would need to be. It is a path of performance, requiring the visitor to walk toward the tomb in a way that frames the experience of arrival as something ceremonial. The doorway itself, with its stone relieving triangle above the lintel and its carved decoration, performs the act of threshold in stone. Secondary chambers cut into the side walls of the tholos are reached through low openings framed in the same ceremonial vocabulary as the main entrance, though scaled down to a different register. The architecture of the Mycenaean tholos is organized entirely around thresholds, around the formalization of the moment of crossing between worlds.^[9]

Balmuth's colloquium contributors noted, in the section on true domes, that the Sardinian corbelled tholos and the Mycenaean tholos had once been thought to stand in a teacher-student relationship: one derived from the other, the dome technology flowing from the Aegean to the island. But later archaeology complicated that story. The proto-nuraghi of Sardinia, the corridor structures that preceded the classic tower form, show corbelling techniques that predate the Mycenaean flowering. The domes were not borrowed. They were parallel inventions. What connects them is not origin but function: both cultures used the corbelled chamber to shelter the dead, and both elaborated the entry into that chamber to make the crossing between worlds into a physical experience of ceremony.

Newgrange in Ireland. The great passage tomb in the Boyne Valley dates to approximately 3200 BCE, centuries before the Egyptian Old Kingdom, more than a millennium before the Mycenaean palace civilization. The entrance to the passage is guarded by the entrance stone, a massive kerbstone carved with spirals, lozenges, and concentric arcs. The passage itself is deliberately narrow: not because the builders lacked skill to widen it, not because the corbelled roof technique required a narrow span, but because the passage was designed to admit light, not bodies. On the winter solstice, at sunrise, a beam of sunlight enters through the specially constructed roof-box above the entrance, travels nineteen meters through the

passage, and illuminates the rear chamber for approximately seventeen minutes. The sun passes through. The living do not. What the architecture encodes is a distinction between what can enter and what cannot, between the visitors who come to stand in the forecourt and the light that completes the passage the builders designed for it.^[10]

Four thousand years separate the Boyne Valley Neolithic from the height of nuragic civilization. No transmission line connects the Irish passage tombs to the Sardinian Giants' Tombs. But the logic connecting them is identical, and it is not complicated: some things should be able to cross the threshold. Others should not. Stone can encode that distinction into the landscape for as long as the stone holds.

The list accumulates:

The Egyptian mastaba false door facing west, conducting the offerings of the living to the dead through carved stone. The Etruscan false door in the rear chamber wall, the painted frame of a threshold leading nowhere that the living can follow. The Mycenaean dromos and monumental entry, converting approach into ceremony, making the walk toward the tomb into a performance of transit. Newgrange, where the architecture separates the winter solstice sun from the living body, allows one to enter and holds the other outside. The Sardinian Giants' Tomb with its 4.4-meter granite slab and the small opening at the base through which no living adult passes, set before a twenty-seven-meter gallery of the collective dead.

Five instances of a single underlying grammar, distributed across four thousand years and three thousand kilometers of the ancient Atlantic and Mediterranean worlds. The grammar is: there is a threshold. It is architecturally elaborated beyond what functional necessity requires. It distinguishes between what may pass and what may not. And it is the instrument through which the living maintain their commerce with the dead.

This is what the named concept means in its literal, structural form: The False Door is not a Sardinian peculiarity. It is a category of architectural object that the ancient world kept reinventing because the problem it addresses is fundamental and recurring. How do you maintain your relationship with the people who can no longer move through the world beside you? How do you speak to them, remember them, include them in the life of the community without pretending they are not

dead? You build a door they can use and you cannot. You face the door. You speak through it. The stone is the instrument of the relationship.

What is peculiar about the Sardinian case is not the existence of the form. It is the duration. And to appreciate the duration you need a number: there are at least five hundred of these tombs on a single island, with some estimates reaching eight hundred, built and used across a civilizational span of more than a thousand years. This is not a regional tradition that flared up in one district and faded. It is an island-wide commitment, repeated at a density that implies not just cultural continuity but a community that was actively reproducing the form every generation, consciously choosing to keep building the same structure rather than substituting something else.

The Egyptian mastaba tradition gave way, across several dynasties, to rock-cut tombs, to sarcophagi in elaborate burial complexes, to the eventual Ptolemaic synthesis of Egyptian and Hellenistic funerary practice. The form evolved and was superseded. The Etruscan false door tradition was a flowering of a few centuries before being absorbed into Roman practice. The Mycenaean tholos tomb was so thoroughly identified with the palatial Bronze Age civilization that it did not survive the Bronze Age collapse of around 1200 BCE intact; the form disappeared with the world that had produced it. Newgrange was so far in advance of the Bronze Age Mediterranean that its builders left no descendants who carried the tradition forward.

The Sardinian Giants' Tomb kept going.

Cezary Namirski, a Polish archaeologist working on nuragic settlement dynamics, surveyed the distribution of these tombs across the eastern coast of Sardinia for his 2020 monograph. His site catalog runs to hundreds of entries, and a striking feature of the data is how the tombs appear alongside the full range of other nuragic monument types, coexisting with the towers, with the sacred wells, with the megaron temples of the later period. They are not survivals from an abandoned phase. They are contemporary features of an active settlement landscape, in use for collective burial at the same time that the builders nearby were constructing the most technically refined architecture the island had ever produced.^[11]

The builders who engineered the perfect isodomic courses of the sacred wells, who worked basalt blocks to a surface precision that has not degraded in three millennia, who understood lead metallurgy well enough to use it as a sealant in a watertight cistern, also maintained the tradition of burying their dead in a collective gallery behind a granite slab. The sophistication in one domain and the conservatism in another are contemporary and coexistent. They are not in tension with each other in any way the Sardinian builders appear to have felt.

This combination, technical ambition in some domains and conservative adherence in others, is the kind of pattern that archaeologists sometimes describe using the vocabulary of choice: the nuragic people chose to maintain the collective burial tradition in the established form. But that framing undersells the depth of what it means to maintain a practice across forty or fifty generations without significant revision.

What was it carrying? The commingled bones, the hundreds of individuals deposited over generations in a single accessible gallery, suggest a conception of the dead as a community resource rather than as individual losses. You did not bury your grandmother in her own tomb and seal it. You added her to the ancestors. You could return, enter through the side passage, and be in the physical presence of the entire community of the dead together. The distinction was not between this dead person and that dead person. It was between the living and the collective dead. The false door at the front of the tomb maintained the interface between those two communities from the outside: you stood in the forecourt, before the carved granite face, and spoke through the opening, and what you were addressing was not an individual but the whole weight of the people who had come before you.^[12]

Archaeologists working on the Giants' Tombs have noted a typological distinction between what are called dolmen-type tombs, where the front is composed of large vertically placed slabs of which the central stone is the dominant element, and row-type tombs, where the front is built from horizontal courses of stone. Coddù Vecchiu is dolmen-type: the great slab is a single massive piece of granite, the opening at its base cut from the parent stone. The row-type tombs use a different construction method but maintain the same grammar: the tall central feature, the small low opening, the gallery extending behind. The variations in technique and material do not disturb the underlying template. The template was stable enough to

accommodate regional variation in stone type, in construction method, in the local availability of materials, without losing the architectural relationship that gave the whole structure its meaning.

The Sardinians were building a mechanism for social memory. The false door was its public face.

There is an architectural detail at Coddu Vecchiu that is easy to miss on a first visit, because you are looking at the slab and the forecourt takes up the visual periphery. The six stones on each side of the central slab are not arranged in a straight line. The row curves slightly inward, so that the forecourt forms something closer to a capital D than a right angle: the curved wings gather the space in front of the slab into a defined zone, something resembling an amphitheater or a cupped hand, separating it from the open landscape on the approach side. You enter that gathered space before you arrive at the slab itself, and by the time you are facing the granite and the carved opening, you are already inside a bounded area, already reoriented away from the landscape and toward the monument.^[13]

The architects understood the spatial sequence that their architecture created. The forecourt is not incidental. It establishes the audience position. The transition from the open hillside to the curved wings of the forecourt to the face of the slab is a progressive narrowing of attention: first the monument comes into view, then the forecourt takes you in, then the slab is all that is in front of you, and the opening is the smallest and most intense point in the whole composition, the eye of the needle through which everything is focused.

Surveys of the orientation of Sardinian Giants' Tombs have found a strong tendency toward the southeast or east, toward the direction of the rising sun, though the distribution is not absolute and exceptions exist at both ends of the compass. If the orientation was deliberate and consistent rather than a by-product of terrain and site selection, it suggests that the ceremony conducted in the forecourt was timed: light entering the opening at the base of the slab at a specific hour, or on a specific day, illuminating the entrance to the gallery. The summer solstice orientation documented at some sacred wells, the winter alignment at others, the 18.6-year lunar standstill alignment at the most precisely engineered of the water cult sites:

all of this points toward a civilization that was attentive to the sky and integrated astronomical timing into its most important ritual structures. Whether the Giants' Tombs participated in the same system, or whether their eastward orientation was more general, a symbolic association with sunrise rather than a precision tracking of a specific celestial event, is not settled in the existing literature.

We cannot reconstruct the ceremony. We have the stone and the geometry and the inference that these things were arranged to coincide.

What is not in question is that the architecture was deliberate at every level. The people who raised the 4.4-meter slab, who trimmed the cornices around the small opening, who set the curved wings of the forecourt on sloping terrain, who kept the row from straightening into a line: these people had built this form before, their teachers had built it before them, and the form itself had been transmitted intact through a chain of instruction long enough to reach back to a pre-nuragic world.

The form survived because it worked. Not in the sense of producing an outcome verifiable by later archaeology, but in the sense that the communities using it continued to use it and saw no reason to change it. The conversation between the living and the dead was being conducted. The granite was the medium. The small opening was the address.

Stand at Coddu Vecchiu at the right time of evening, when the light is going orange and the granite takes on a color that seems to have nothing to do with stone, and the slab does something unexpected: it looks warm. Not metaphorically warm in the sense of welcoming or comforting, but literally warm, as if the stone has been absorbing heat all day and is only now releasing it. The carved cornices around the opening cast their shadows in the low light, and the opening itself is in darkness, and the whole composition of slab and forecourt and the hillside behind you settles into a register that is neither threatening nor sentimental.

You know the gallery is behind the slab because you have walked around the side and entered through the low lateral passage, and you have been inside the twenty-seven meters of roofed corridor where the bones were found, and you have looked at the scale of the roofing stones and tried to estimate what it would take to move a

single one of them, let alone to position it precisely on top of the flanking walls. The engineering is not subtle. The stones are large. The people who moved them knew what they were doing and were organized enough to do it repeatedly, at hundreds of sites across the island, over many generations.

From the front, none of that depth is visible. The slab holds everything behind it. You see the granite face and the framed opening and the wings curving toward you, and the whole twenty-seven meters of burial gallery might as well not exist. The monument presents only its front, only the interface, only the door.

The slab at Coddu Vecchiu is still standing. The opening at its base is the right size, it was always the right size, and the evening light fills the forecourt and the stone holds everything it was built to hold.

Endnotes for this chapter are in Appendix D.

CHAPTER 4: THE LEAD JOINT

The trail down into the valley takes about forty minutes one way. It drops steeply in places, cut through scrub oak and exposed limestone, and by the time you reach the bottom the elevation change has been enough to lower the ambient temperature by a few degrees and to amplify the sound of moving water to something that fills the whole hollow. The spring never dries up, not in August, not in the driest years of recent decades when the rest of this part of central Sardinia bakes to a pale, uniform brown. That constancy was what the people who built here were counting on. They needed a water source that would not fail, and they built accordingly.^[1]

What they built around that spring, roughly three thousand two hundred years ago, still holds water.

The blocks are basalt. Not local basalt: the nearest quarry for this material lies approximately forty kilometers to the north, which means the blocks were quarried, shaped to the required dimensions, transported across mountain terrain to this valley, and set in place by people who had decided that the stone immediately available at the site was insufficient for what they intended to build. They required something harder, denser, more consistent in its grain. They evaluated what they had and rejected it. They went and got something better.^[2]

The courses of the wall are horizontal and regular. Each block sits level on the one below it, trimmed so that the faces align, the joints narrow and consistent. Archaeologists call this *opus isodomum*, coursed ashlar masonry in the tradition that the classical Greek and Roman worlds would later treat as the standard of refined construction. Its appearance in Bronze Age Sardinia, centuries before the Athenian building programs and the Roman civil engineering projects that made the term familiar in the literature, is notable. But the technique is here. And in the joints between specific blocks, the mason did something unusual.^[3]

Between certain courses, molten lead was poured into the gaps. Refined metal, worked into the fitted seams of a stone basin that needed to hold water perfectly, allowed to cool and solidify into a seal that metal delivers and mortar cannot. The lead is still there. The basin still holds water. The spring still flows into it through an inlet below the waterline, just as it was engineered to do, and the sediment trap cut

into the floor of the pool still collects silt, still functions, still fulfills the purpose for which a mason three thousand years ago took the trouble to cut it.^[4]

The spring is called Su Tempiesu, and it is located near the town of Orune in the Nuoro province of central Sardinia. It was not excavated by a curious archaeologist. It was found in the 1950s during construction work on a regional aqueduct, which is how several Sardinian sites have come to light: not through deliberate scholarly search but through modern infrastructure encountering something in the ground that had been there through every subsequent civilization the island had known. When the site was cleared, the excavators found twenty bronze votive swords inserted into the masonry of the spring itself, along with other bronze objects that represent the offerings of a community maintaining a ritual relationship with a sacred water source across what appears to have been several centuries of continuous use. The spring was a holy place. The bronze was the payment made to whatever force kept the water flowing.^[5]

The lead joint is the detail that does not yield to casual inspection. You would have to know to look for it: know what the dark line between courses of basalt represents, understand the difference between deposited mineral staining and a poured metal seal, know something about the engineering requirements of a watertight basin. Most visitors descend the trail, look at the tholos chamber above the spring, take photographs of the arched walls and the descending corridor and the steps that continue below the water surface, and walk back up the trail without stopping at the question that the lead represents. What the lead represents is a metallurgical decision: a Bronze Age mason understood the properties of lead, understood that it remains pliable under load and fills irregular gaps that mortar cannot penetrate evenly, and had access to enough refined lead to use it as a building material on a construction project in a mountain valley in the interior of an island in the western Mediterranean. That last clause is the one that opens up.

Where did the lead come from? Who taught him to use it this way? What was he connected to?

The last question is the one that has an answer. And the answer is not straightforward, but it is dense with evidence, and the evidence is of a kind that archaeologists find particularly compelling: it is chemical. It does not depend on

interpretation or on which theoretical model of Bronze Age interaction you prefer. It is a ratio, measured in a laboratory, that tells you where the stone came from, where the copper came from, where the lead in the joint itself most likely originated. The chemistry of the ancient Mediterranean trade routes survives in the metal and the stone, three thousand years after the last ship ran the route.

Lead clamps in ancient masonry are not a mystery in the conventional sense. They appear across the ancient world in sufficient quantity and variety that any attempt to name a single origin and trace a single transmission line runs immediately into the problem of distribution: the technique is too widespread, too consistent in its utility, and too easily reinvented for a simple story to hold. What the distribution of sites does offer, when you organize the evidence carefully and distinguish between what is well-dated and what is not, is a picture of a technique that migrates through connected worlds, that appears earlier where the metallurgical and commercial networks are denser, and that shows up at the edges of those networks with a time lag that is itself a kind of map.

Dan, the host of a YouTube channel called Dedunking, spent what he describes as a considerable amount of time building a catalog of thirty-five sites where megalithic metal clamps or masonry ties appear in ancient construction. He is not an academic archaeologist, and he says so plainly. He describes himself as someone with one foot in the science and one foot in the mystery, which is a position that lets him approach the evidence without having committed in advance to either a mainstream or an alternative conclusion. What makes his survey useful is something methodological: he distinguishes, site by site, between cases where the dating rests on robust written records, cases where radiocarbon analysis provides the anchor, cases where context dating from associated pottery and stratigraphy is the best available evidence, and cases where the honest answer is that nobody knows with confidence when the clamps were placed. This distinction is not routine in popular treatments of the subject. It turns out to be the whole argument.^[6]

The list of sites where lead or iron clamps appear in ancient stone construction, built out across time and geography with the dating confidence attached to each instance:

The mortuary temple of Unas at Saqqara, Egypt, dated by historical records to approximately 2420 BCE: bronze clamps, among the earliest documented instances of the technique. The Valley Temple of Khafre at Giza, roughly contemporary, dated by written records and carbon analysis. The Temple of Luxor, from approximately 1400 BCE, though Dedunking notes that some of the clamping may belong to later Roman renovation work rather than original construction. Karnak, where wooden clamps found at the site gave carbon dates of roughly 1000 BCE and 200 BCE, reflecting a construction sequence that ran across two millennia and makes it impossible to say anything definitive about which phase introduced the technique. The Osireion at Abydos, formally dated by an inscription to the reign of Seti I around 1300 BCE, but architecturally anomalous enough in its style and execution that the dating is, as Dedunking acknowledges, genuinely contested. The Parthenon, constructed between 447 and 438 BCE, using iron clamps with a thin lead sheathing to protect the iron from oxidation, documented in construction accounts that survive in the Athenian epigraphic record in unusual detail. The Temple of Apollo at Delos, 420 BCE by written records corroborated by luminescence dating and radiocarbon analysis of bone found at the site. Further east: the Xianyang Bridge in China, built between 595 and 605 CE and documented in records robust enough to close the dating debate entirely. Korean bridge construction at 761 CE, the Angkor Wat temple complex in Cambodia under Jayavarman VII in the twelfth century CE. Then, in a different register entirely: the Temple of the Sun at Tiwanaku and Puma Punku in highland Bolivia, where T-shaped slots for metal ties appear in precisely cut andesite blocks, where the radiocarbon dating of associated materials has been formally revised no fewer than three times in recent decades, and where the archaeoastronomical dating attempts have produced ranges from 1500 BCE to 600 CE depending on which method and which assumptions the researchers apply.^[7]

That is the accumulating list. Twenty-odd sites in one pass, spanning four thousand years and three continents, with varying degrees of certainty about when the technique appeared at each one. What the list demonstrates is not a mystery. It demonstrates a pattern: the technique migrates through connected networks, appears earliest in the ancient Near East, spreads into the Hellenistic and Roman Mediterranean through the mechanisms of trade and itinerant craftsmanship,

reaches East Asia through the first-millennium commercial routes, and appears in the Andean highlands by a mechanism that the existing evidence does not resolve. The Sardinian instance sits near the older end of the timeline.

Andrei Sklyarov was a Russian writer and researcher who published in the early 2000s under the imprint of the Laboratory of Alternative History, a Moscow-based organization that produced popular books and documentary films on anomalous archaeological evidence. His book *Metally: Dar Nebesnykh Bogov*, “Metals: A Gift of the Heavenly Gods,” is a history of early metallurgy organized around the thesis that certain ancient metal-working knowledge arrived on earth from a non-human source. Sardinia appears in the book through a photograph of a stone mold for casting axes, described as a Sardinian artifact, in a chapter on the origins of copper smelting. The context is a standard popular history of metallurgy up to that point: the discovery of native copper, the accidental smelting of ore, the invention of the casting mold. Sardinia enters as evidence of early Bronze Age casting technology, which is accurate; the interpretive frame it enters within is something else.^[8]

Sklyarov represents a strand of alternative archaeology that has a specific cultural shape. It emerged in Russia and the former Soviet states in the 1990s, drawing on a tradition of science fiction deeply interested in ancient astronauts and lost civilizations, on post-Soviet frustration with institutional authority, and on a genuine popular appetite for histories that make the ancient world stranger and more significant than the university textbooks allowed. The books and films this tradition produced are not careless. They cite physical measurements. They include photographs. They identify real anomalies in the archaeological record and ask real questions about them. What they do with those questions is where the departure happens: the gap in the conventional account is taken as an invitation not to look harder at the evidence but to import a solution from outside the evidence altogether.

The lead clamps at Puma Punku are a favored exhibit. The T-shaped tie slots in the andesite blocks, the precise geometric cutting, the absence of a clear architectural tradition that leads up to the site through identifiable evolutionary stages: all of this gets assembled into a case that the site is either far older than the mainstream

dates or the product of knowledge that did not originate with the people identified in the local tradition. The version of this argument that appears in the Dedunking survey is characteristically careful: the channel acknowledges that the lack of reliable dating is real, that “an educated guess based on threadbare data is really all we have,” and that the comparison between Andean clamp techniques and Old World examples is worth taking seriously. What it declines to do is fill the gap with a conclusion the data does not support.^[9]

The mainstream archaeological response to Puma Punku is not that the anomalies are invented. It is that the Bolivian altiplano has been extremely difficult to excavate systematically, that the stratigraphic contexts most useful for dating have been heavily disturbed by centuries of stone quarrying and by the disruptions of the Spanish colonial period, and that precision stone-cutting in the range seen at Puma Punku is achievable through sustained abrasive working with tools the culture demonstrably possessed. That is not a dismissal. It is an honest statement of what the evidence actually constrains. The gap between the Andean sites and the Old World distribution of the technique is real. But a gap in the record is a gap. It is not an arrow pointing toward a lost civilization. It is an arrow pointing toward a question that the existing fieldwork has not yet answered.

What Sklyarov’s work does usefully, even inadvertently, is document the seriousness of the distribution problem. He cites the same sites as the Dedunking survey because those are the sites. His evidence base is largely accurate. His interpretation diverges from the evidence, but the evidence itself is the part worth keeping, and the evidence says: this technique appears in a lot of places, in a lot of periods, and the story of how it got everywhere it went is not fully told.

The story that the mainstream evidence does tell, the part that is documented with the density and precision that allows real argument, begins with copper, and it begins in the sea.

Sardinia has large deposits of copper and lead ore, concentrated primarily in the southwestern Iglesiente region, where the geological formations that produced the ore are some of the most extensively mineralized on the island. The nuragic metallurgists knew about these deposits and worked them. The bronze figurines

found in sanctuaries across the island, the *bronzetti* that represent warriors in horned helmets and boxers with oversized shields and boats with animal prows, are the most visible products of a metalworking tradition that had been running at high output for centuries before the figurines. The foundry work they represent is sophisticated: consistent alloy ratios, precision casting, surface finishing.^[10]

Noel Stos-Gale and Zofia Stos-Gale, two of the principal architects of lead isotope provenance analysis as an archaeological tool, spent years in the 1980s and 1990s analyzing copper oxhide ingots, the large rectangular slabs with protruding corner handles that were the standard unit of long-distance copper trade in the Bronze Age Mediterranean. Each ingot weighed roughly twenty-five to thirty kilograms. The handle shape, which resembles a stretched animal skin, was consistent across the eastern Mediterranean and may have facilitated carrying by poles through the handles. The Stos-Gales found these ingots, or fragments of them, distributed across Sardinia, and they applied lead isotope ratio analysis to the ore signatures. The results were unambiguous: the copper in the Sardinian ingots came overwhelmingly from a specific geological zone in Cyprus, centered on the Apliki mine in the Troodos massif. Not from Sardinia's own substantial copper deposits. From Cyprus, two thousand kilometers away.^[11]

This result is worth pausing on. Sardinia had its own copper. The island's metallurgists were not using it, or not using it exclusively, for the high-end production represented by the ingot form. They were importing raw copper from Cyprus in quantities large enough to leave a saturated archaeological record. This means ships were running regularly between the Levantine and Aegean trading world and the central Mediterranean, carrying standardized units of copper to Sardinian workshops, and returning with whatever the Sardinians had that the eastern markets wanted.

The same excavation programs that found Cypriot oxhide ingots on Sardinia found Mycenaean pottery. Not at one or two sites as exceptional trade artifacts, but distributed across the island in a pattern consistent with regular commercial exchange. The correspondence runs in the other direction too: nuragic pottery has been identified at Crete, at Sicily, at Cyprus, at sites in the Aegean. The Balmuth colloquium proceedings from 1986 devoted a substantial section to this ceramic evidence, with Jones and Day applying chemical analysis to distinguish imported

Aegean-type pottery from local Sardinian imitations. The conclusion was that both categories existed: actual imports from the eastern Mediterranean, and local products made in imitation of eastern styles, which is precisely the pattern you would expect in a culture that had regular commercial contact with a prestige-goods source and was producing for a market that valued eastern associations.^[12]

The bronze figurines themselves required tin, and Sardinia has almost none. Chemical analysis of the alloy compositions suggests the tin was imported from the Iberian Peninsula, possibly from the mines of the Alcudia valley in what is now southern Spain, or from sources as distant as Cornwall in Britain. Raw tin was moving from the Atlantic fringe of Europe into the central Mediterranean, joining Cypriot copper in Sardinian workshops, and the resulting bronze was cast into objects offered to the gods in mountain springs and coastal sanctuaries. The supply chain for a single votive figurine crossed the entire known world of the western Bronze Age.^[13]

This is the pivot on which the chapter turns. The Bronze Age Mediterranean was not a collection of isolated island cultures occasionally encountering each other through lucky accidents of drift. It was a networked market. It had supply chains with identifiable sources and destinations. It had quality standards, as the consistent alloy ratios in the bronzetti suggest. It had something resembling currency, in the form of the standardized oxhide ingot. And it had the mechanism by which technical knowledge traveled with the goods: the people who handled the copper, who ran the ships, who managed the workshops where Cypriot ore was alloyed with Iberian tin, knew things about materials that they carried with them and taught to the people they worked alongside.

A mason in the mountains of central Sardinia who knew how to use molten lead as a sealant in a watertight stone basin had learned that from someone. The technique he was applying was the same technique, in the same material, serving the same function, that appeared in the mortuary temple at Saqqara many centuries before his birth. The transmission of that technique from Egypt to Sardinia did not require a direct teacher-student relationship over two thousand years. It required the same thing that got Cypriot copper into Sardinian workshops: a network of commercial relationships dense enough that technical knowledge moved through it along with the raw materials.

The lead joint is the proof that survives.

Around 1200 BCE, over the course of roughly fifty years, the eastern Mediterranean world went through a disruption that scholars continue to debate and that no single cause appears to explain entirely. The Mycenaean palace economies ended. The Hittite empire collapsed. Ugarit, the great trading city on the Syrian coast and one of the most important nodes in the Bronze Age commercial network, was destroyed and never rebuilt. Egyptian power contracted sharply inward. The supply chains that had sustained the Bronze Age world broke down, and the consequences for cultures that depended on long-distance imports of raw materials were severe.

Egyptian temple inscriptions from the reign of Ramses III, carved at Medinet Habu, record attacks by coalitions of maritime peoples on the Egyptian delta and the Levantine coast. The attackers are identified by ethnic labels that appear in the Egyptian record for the first time in this period. One of the labels is *Srdn*. Scholars have been arguing since the nineteenth century about who the *Srdn* were, and the debate has not produced consensus, but a substantial strand of current scholarship, including Giovanni Ugas's extended argument in *Shardana e Sardegna*, holds that the term refers to nuragic warriors from Sardinia, or to a population of Sardinian origin operating in the eastern Mediterranean as mercenaries, raiders, or both. The argument rests on the correspondence between the horned helmet depicted on Egyptian reliefs for the *Srdn* fighters and the horned helmets that appear on Sardinian bronze figurines of the same period.^[14]

Sardinia itself did not collapse in 1200 BCE. The nuraghe towers stopped being constructed, but they continued to be inhabited and maintained. The bronze production continued, eventually reaching the peak artistic refinement of the early Iron Age figurines. The sacred wells were built in a burst of architectural activity in the centuries following the collapse, and they are among the most technically accomplished constructions on the island, the isodomic masonry and the lead joints appearing in this post-collapse phase rather than during the height of the palatial Bronze Age trade. This is a detail worth noting: the technical refinement at sites like Su Tempiesu appears to have increased after the network disruption, not

decreased. The Sardinian workshops were not only maintaining pre-existing techniques in isolation. They were developing them.^[15]

The spring kept flowing. The bronze kept coming. The lead kept sealing the joints. Whatever had been disrupted in the eastern Mediterranean, the fundamental engineering problem of keeping a sacred spring watertight was still the same problem, and the solution that worked before the collapse continued to work after it.

The Dedunking survey ends with an honest statement: in the Old World, a plausible transmission line for the clamp technique can be traced from Egypt through the Mycenaean and Hellenistic Mediterranean and into the connected worlds of Asia. In the Andes, no such line currently exists in the documented record. That gap is real. The fringe argument, Sklyarov's argument, is that the gap requires filling with an explanation outside the conventional historical framework. The mainstream argument is that the gap reflects the thinness of the Andean archaeological record and the disruptions that colonial-era stone quarrying and subsequent construction have introduced into the stratigraphy.

What neither argument disputes is the fact of the distribution. The technique is at Saqqara in 2420 BCE. It is in a mountain valley in Sardinia somewhere between 1400 and 1000 BCE. It is at the Parthenon in 447 BCE. It is in the Andes at a date that is still being revised. Whatever moved it, it moved. And in Sardinia, the evidence for why it was there is more legible than almost anywhere else in the record, because the lead isotope science, the ceramic exchange patterns, and the metalwork distribution data all converge on the same answer: Sardinia was inside the network. The lead joint is not an anomaly that requires a mysterious explanation. It is exactly what you would expect to find on an island that was importing Cypriot copper, exporting nuragic pottery, and working with metal at the level of sophistication that the votive bronze record demonstrates.

The lead joint is the evidence that a networked Bronze Age Mediterranean was real and that it reached this valley. Not the inference, not the probability: the physical object, still in place, still holding the blocks together, still keeping the water in. Lead isotope analysis of nuragic metalwork, including the eight lead objects whose

compositions appear in Figure 7.11 of the Balmuth volume, shows that the lead signatures cluster around the Sardinian ore deposits in the Iglesiente and Sulcis districts. The mason at Su Tempiesu most likely worked with local lead, refined from ore that the island's own mountains yielded. That local lead was poured into gaps between basalt blocks quarried forty kilometers away. The technique for using it as a sealant arrived through a network that crossed two thousand kilometers of open sea. The material was local. The knowledge was not. This combination, local materials shaped by imported technique, is the signature of a connected world.

The walk back up the trail takes longer than the descent. The path steepens after the first switchback and does not relent. By mid-morning in late July the rock face above the path is already radiating heat, the scrub offers minimal shade, and the sound of the spring has faded quickly behind the first turn in the trail. The valley closes over the site, and the forty minutes of uphill climbing produce a particular kind of exhaustion that is mostly thermal: the island pressing down, the sky pressing down, the stone face of the hillside holding and releasing the heat it has been storing since dawn.

There is a point on the way up, maybe twenty minutes from the spring, where the valley opens briefly and you can see the roofline of the tholos structure below against the pale stone of the gorge wall. From that distance it looks like something that arrived there by geological process, like a natural formation, a coincidence of stone rather than an intention in stone. You cannot see the lead from there. You cannot see the isodomic courses or the inverted ceiling steps or the sediment trap in the floor of the pool or the inlet below the waterline. You cannot see the forty-kilometer provenance of the basalt blocks or the Cypriot signature in the copper isotopes or the Iberian tin in the alloy of the votive swords.

What you can see, if you know to look, is that the spring is still running. Three thousand years after a mason poured lead between blocks of imported basalt in a valley in the Nuoro highlands, the mechanism he built is still doing what he built it to do. The network that connected him to the wider Bronze Age world is gone, the civilization that organized it is gone, the language he spoke is gone, the gods the votive swords were meant to honor are gone.

Below, the spring enters through the inlet he cut, fills the basin, runs over the sediment trap, and continues down the valley.

Endnotes for this chapter are in Appendix D.

CHAPTER 5: THE CLOSED CULT

Every year, in late June, the families begin to arrive. They come from the surrounding villages of the Campidano plain, from towns an hour's drive in any direction, some from as far as Cagliari. They bring their children and their food and their folding tables. They stay for nine days. The destination is an olive grove on flat agricultural land in the middle of Sardinia, inland from the coast, nowhere spectacular to the passing eye: a stand of old trees, some stone walls, a small baroque church dedicated to a Christian saint, a scattering of low stone houses built over the centuries to receive exactly this annual crowd. The event is called the novenary, from the Latin for nine, and it predates by several centuries the church that now anchors it. The families know this. They say so without any particular urgency, the way you might observe that a road runs over a former riverbed. The saint is relatively recent. The gathering is not.

At the center of the grove, surrounded by low walls, there is an opening in the ground. Approach it and the geometry stops you. A trapezoid cuts into the limestone, its sides not quite parallel, its angles deliberate, framing a staircase that descends into the earth. The steps are cut from single blocks of stone, twenty-five of them, each one fitted against the next with a precision that has held for three thousand years. The walls narrow as you go down. Above you, the staircase opening frames a rectangle of sky that shrinks with each step. At the bottom there is a pool of still water, circular, dark, and cold even in July. Above the pool, the stone walls curve upward into a tholos, a corbelled dome that ends in a circular hole open to the sky. The whole structure is a stone instrument. It was built to do something very specific with light.

This is the pozzo sacro of Santa Cristina.^[1]

The name Santa Cristina is the name of the saint. The structure beneath the olive grove is approximately three thousand years old. The cult that attends it is older than the olive trees, older than the church, older than Christianity, older than the civilization that gave the world the Latin root for the word “novenary.” The pilgrimage and the nine-day gathering continued through all of it. The institution never stopped. It changed what it was called.

Moscatti once wrote that sacred sites are among the most conservative things human communities produce. People will change their gods before they change their holy places. He was writing about the Levant, about the way Canaanite high places were absorbed into Israelite practice, about the way Phoenician tophet precincts were later reused by Roman colonists. But the principle holds wherever people stay put long enough. The sacred geography comes first. The theology adjusts.

What makes Santa Cristina unusual is not that this happened here. What makes it unusual is that the original structure is so precisely engineered that the adjustment is still visible, at the molecular level of the calendar.

Twice a year, at the spring and autumn equinoxes, the sun rises at the exact angle necessary to send its light down the staircase opening and illuminate the water at the bottom of the well. Not close to the equinox. On the equinox, to the day.^[2] The builders oriented the structure to this event deliberately, at a time when the Julian calendar had not been invented, when the Christian calendar was seventeen centuries in the future, when the very concept of a solar equinox as a named and fixed date was the property of a tiny class of specialists in literate civilizations that had not yet reached the western Mediterranean.

And then there is the other alignment, the one that takes longer to confirm.

Every 18.61 years, the moon reaches what astronomers call a major lunar standstill. Over the course of its roughly 18-year cycle, the moon's rising and setting points migrate along the horizon, reaching their most extreme north and south positions at the standstill. At Santa Cristina, the geometry of the well is such that at the moment of the major lunar standstill, moonlight enters through the circular hole at the top of the tholos dome and illuminates the entire interior wall of the well down to the surface of the water. The dome is not a decorative choice. The hole at the top is not an accident of construction. The well is, among other things, a lunar calendar calibrated to a cycle that takes nearly two decades to complete.^[3]

The people who built this were not, by any conventional definition, astronomers. They left no writing. They left no instruments. They left a hole in the ground.

Stand at the top of the staircase at Santa Cristina and look in every direction. To the north, to the south, to the east and west: flat agricultural land, olive trees, stone walls, a few low rooflines. The horizon is unbroken in every direction. There is nothing on the landscape that would explain why this particular spot was chosen. No mountain to mark the sunrise, no distinctive rock formation, no confluence of rivers. The Campidano plain is wide and featureless in the way that agricultural land becomes when it has been worked for five thousand years. Every hill that could have served as a landmark has long since been smoothed by plowing and irrigation.

The builders did not choose this location for what was visible above ground. They chose it because of what the geometry of the underground chamber could accomplish in relation to the sky.

This is a different kind of thinking about sacred space than most Western religious traditions produce. The sacred is not located at a summit or a crossroads or the mouth of a river. It is located underground, in a chamber that catches light from above, at a depth determined by the angle of the sun and the cycle of the moon. You have to go down to make contact with the sky.

Here is the list that begins to accumulate once you start looking for it.

At Newgrange in Ireland, a Neolithic passage tomb built around 3200 BCE, a single roof-box above the entrance is aligned so that at the winter solstice, at dawn, a shaft of light travels sixty meters down the passage and illuminates the chamber at the back. The alignment is precise to within a fraction of a degree. Every December 21, tens of thousands of people enter a lottery to stand in the chamber during sunrise. Most of them know almost nothing about the people who built the structure. The site was rediscovered in the seventeenth century, half-buried. Ireland is still there.^[4]

In Mecca, the Kaaba, the cubic structure at the center of the Grand Mosque that Muslims circle during the hajj and orient their prayers toward from every point on earth, was a pre-Islamic sacred site. The Arab sources are not ambiguous about this: the Kaaba was a shrine before Islam, attended by pilgrims from across Arabia, its black stone venerated under a polytheistic framework that Muhammad's revelation

reorganized rather than replaced. The direction of prayer was changed and changed again in the early years of the faith. The site was not changed. The pilgrimage was not changed. The theology was layered over an existing institution.^[5]

At Glastonbury in England, the church that became the medieval Abbey, and the Abbey precinct that became one of the most significant pilgrimage destinations in Christendom, is built on a site that the pre-Christian tradition associated with the Isle of Avalon, the mythological destination of dying kings, and that archaeological survey has confirmed was an island in a marshy plain used for ritual purposes in the Iron Age. The specific link between the pre-Christian sacred geography and the Christian institution is now partly mythological, partly documentary, and partly a matter of ongoing scholarly argument. What is not argued is that the site was sacred before the church arrived, that the church was planted there deliberately, and that the pilgrimage continued.^[6]

Across the Mediterranean basin and in Roman Europe, early Christian churches were built on the foundations of temples to Diana, the goddess of the hunt and the moon. The substitution was not random. Diana presided over liminal transitions, birth and death, the margins of human life. The Virgin Mary, in the emerging Christian theology, occupied similar theological territory. The episcopal authorities who authorized the conversions were making a pastoral calculation: people will come to the place they already know is sacred. Give them a reason to keep coming that fits the new vocabulary. The building changes. The procession does not.^[7]

What all four cases share with Santa Cristina is not a historical connection. There is no diffusion, no common architect, no cultural link between Neolithic Ireland and Iron Age Sardinia and seventh-century Arabia and fourth-century Christian Europe. What they share is a structural logic that operates below the level of theology. The sacred site is a social technology for concentrating community practice in space and time. Once established, it is extraordinarily resistant to disruption, because the disruption would require not just changing what people believe but changing where they go and when.

Religion is portable. Geography is not.

The named concept for what happened at Santa Cristina, and at Newgrange, and at the Kaaba, and at Glastonbury, and at the sites where churches were built over Diana's temples, is The Closed Cult.

A Closed Cult is a sacred site that outlives its religion because the people who built it stayed. The theology is replaceable. The site is not. Each successive religion that takes over the site inherits not just the stones but the social machinery, the annual calendar of gathering, the community relationships built across generations of shared pilgrimage. The new theology does not have to justify itself from scratch. It only has to fit the existing frame.

The word "closed" is not a value judgment. It refers to the closure of the loop between a community and its territory: the same families, the same land, the same season, the same gathering, across a span of time long enough to outlast entire religious traditions. A Closed Cult is what happens when the people who perform the cult and the people who own the land are the same people, generation after generation, for three millennia. You cannot import a Closed Cult. You cannot export it. It is not transferable. It is a property of the relationship between a specific community and a specific place, and it persists as long as that relationship holds.

What breaks it is not invasion. What breaks it is departure. A sacred site stops being sacred when its people leave.

This is the point where the history of Santa Cristina becomes counterintuitive, and where the conventional story about ancient sacred sites requires revision.

The standard account of sacred site continuity in Europe looks something like this: a pre-Christian site is taken over by the Christian church, which suppresses the original cult, reframes the space, and thereby preserves the site while erasing its meaning. The church is the agent of preservation and the agent of suppression simultaneously. What survives is the architecture; what is lost is the living practice.

At Santa Cristina, this story does not fit the evidence.

The novenary that centers on the church of Santa Cristina is not a scholarly reconstruction or a romantic revival. It is a living institution, attended every June by

families who have been coming for as many generations as anyone can trace. The specific form of the gathering, nine days in the olive grove, families from multiple villages sleeping in the communal stone houses called muristenes, the ritual structure of arrival and departure and shared meals, is medieval in its formal organization and pre-medieval in its underlying logic. The Christianity is not a veneer over a pagan core. It is a complete and sincere expression of a community's faith. The pilgrimage is also, simultaneously, a practice that has been happening here longer than Christianity has existed.

The two things are not in contradiction. The Sardinians held both at once.

The people of Paulilatino and the surrounding villages, whose festival this has been for as long as memory runs, do not experience the novenary as the survival of a Bronze Age water cult. They experience it as a Christian pilgrimage to the church of Santa Cristina. The fact that the well beneath the olive grove was aligned to the lunar standstill three thousand years ago is interesting to them in roughly the way that the Roman roads under modern Italian highways are interesting to a commuter: a fact worth knowing, a source of appropriate pride, not a complication.

This is the actual mechanism of The Closed Cult. Not suppression and survival. Not archaeology recovering a buried past. The practice continued unbroken, in plain sight, while the theological vocabulary changed around it. The cult changed its name. The meaning of the gathering is carried not by the theology but by the gathering itself. The mechanism does not depend on the practitioners knowing they are practicing a Closed Cult. It requires only that the same families go to the same place at the same time of year, that the children see their parents do it, and that the territory remains. The belief system provides the vocabulary. The practice provides the continuity.

Moscato, in the last years of his career, spent considerable energy on the question of what it meant to call Phoenician civilization a "civilization" rather than a network of trading posts. He was interested in how institutions persist across political disruption: how a Phoenician city like Tyre, sacked by Alexander in 332 BCE, could reconstitute itself within a generation, resume its maritime trade, rebuild its temples, and carry its cultural forms into the western Mediterranean colonies

without losing the thread. His answer was partly about material culture, the portable objects of faith and commerce that a seagoing people could carry. But it was also, in his later writing, about the relationship between cult and community, the way that shared ritual practice was the binding agent that held Phoenician identity together across distance and catastrophe.

What Moscati was describing, without quite naming it, was the Closed Cult in its diaspora form: a community that carries its sacred practice with it because the practice is how the community knows it is still the community. But the Sardinian version is not the diaspora version. It is the original, the territorial version, the version that works because nobody moved. The well at Santa Cristina is three thousand years old and it is still the center of the same community's annual gathering. Not symbolically. Literally. The families are still coming.

The logic of this is almost impossible to overstate. Civilizations rose and fell on the coasts of this island while the pilgrimage to the olive grove continued. Phoenicians built cities on the western shore. Carthaginians reorganized the island's agriculture. Romans built roads and theaters and baths. Vandals came. Byzantines came. Pisans and Genoese and Aragonese came and built their churches and levied their taxes. The novenary continued. The well was always there.

The question is not why the gathering survived. The question is how a community maintains a connection to a specific piece of ground across three thousand years of political disruption, foreign occupation, and religious transformation. The answer Santa Cristina offers is uncomfortable in its simplicity: they stayed. There was no catastrophic displacement, no diaspora, no forced resettlement that severed the territorial bond. The people who gathered at this well in the Bronze Age were the ancestors, in an unbroken biological and cultural line, of the people who gather here now.

There is a subsidiary question that the Santa Cristina case raises, one that the standard literature on sacred site continuity tends to avoid: what does the survival of the practice tell us about the sophistication of the original practitioners?

The 18.61-year lunar standstill cycle is not an obvious astronomical observation. It requires sustained, multigenerational record-keeping over at minimum several complete cycles, meaning at least forty years of careful observation before the pattern becomes clear. The equinox alignment could be achieved by a single skilled architect working in a single season. The lunar standstill alignment could not. It required institutional memory, a community that transmitted the relevant observations across generations, a reason to care about the relationship between the well and the moon.

The standard account of Bronze Age Sardinia locates astronomical knowledge in elite priestly or chiefly classes, specialists who maintained the calendar and used it to regulate agriculture and community gathering. This is plausible. It is also possible that the knowledge was more broadly held, that the observation of the lunar cycle was embedded in the practice of gathering itself, that coming to the well every 18.61 years to see the moonlight fill the chamber was what kept the calendar alive in the community's memory. The gathering is the instrument of transmission. The well is not just the object of pilgrimage. It is the mechanism by which the knowledge of when to make the pilgrimage is preserved.

This interpretation, if correct, inverts the usual relationship between sacred site and community. The well does not receive the community's devotion. The well teaches the community its own calendar.

The Santa Cristina well is not the only sacred well on Sardinia. Between sixty and a hundred and twenty pozzo sacro structures have been catalogued across the island, distributed across the central plateau and the agricultural plains, most of them built during the same late Bronze Age period, most of them sharing the same basic architectural vocabulary: the descending staircase, the tholos above the pool, the carefully fitted stone blocks, the keyhole plan of the inner enclosure. They were not built by a single architect or a single community. They represent a widespread cultural practice, a nuragic civilization that, across multiple generations and multiple territories, arrived at similar answers to similar questions about where to locate the sacred and how to build for it.

Santa Cristina is the best preserved and most fully studied of these structures. But it is also, in its astronomical precision, somewhat exceptional. Most of the other pozzi sacri show evidence of careful orientation, of attention to the relationship between the structure and the sky, but not all of them have been analyzed with the precision that would reveal their specific alignments. The research is ongoing. The island has sixty to a hundred more of these structures, most of them unstudied by archaeoastronomers.

What the broader distribution of pozzi sacri establishes is that the culture that built Santa Cristina was not building an isolated monument. It was building a network of them, positioned across the island at intervals that correspond roughly to the territories of different nuragic communities, each community maintaining its own sacred well as its own point of connection between the underground water and the sky above. The wells are not a single Closed Cult. They are a civilization's worth of Closed Cults, each one anchored to its own territory, each one attended by its own community, each one expressing the same underlying logic in the same architectural vocabulary.

This is the broader picture that the novenary at Santa Cristina sits within. It is not a survival. It is a continuation of one node in a network that once covered the entire island.

The nuraghe that stands near the well at Santa Cristina is part of the same Bronze Age complex. It is not the main tower type, the tall round structure that dots the Sardinian landscape by the thousands, but an elongated form, closer to a corridor than a tower, built from the same carefully fitted dry-stone masonry as the well. There are also several menhirs standing in the complex, the upright stones that predate even the nuragic period and mark the site as sacred in layers older than the well itself.

The stone houses of the muristenes, where the novenary families sleep, are built in a low arc around the church and the well. They are not ancient in the architectural sense: the current structures are probably from the sixteenth or seventeenth century, though they replace earlier structures of the same type and the same purpose. You can see, in their placement, the logic of the gathering: the well at the

center, the houses arranged around it, the church closing the arc on one side. It is the layout of a community organizing itself around a fixed point.

The nine days of the novenary are not nine days of continuous ceremony. They are nine days of community life: families cooking in the open air, children running between the stone houses and the olive trees, older people sitting at tables that have been set up in the same spots, probably, for fifty or a hundred years. The sacred and the social are not separate. They are the same thing. The gathering is the ceremony. The ceremony is the gathering. The well at the center is the reason both exist, and the reason both have persisted long past the religion that originally organized them and through the religion that organizes them now.

Walking through the novenary on an ordinary afternoon in late June, you would not necessarily know you were at one of the most continuously inhabited sacred sites in Europe. You would see families eating lunch. You would hear children. You would smell the particular combination of wood smoke, olive trees, and warm stone that characterizes this part of the Sardinian summer. Only the geometry of the enclosure, the way everything orients inward toward the opening in the ground, and the age of the worn steps descending into the earth, tells you what kind of time you are standing in.

Anna Chiara Fariselli of the University of Bologna has been excavating the southern necropolis of Tharros, on the Sinis peninsula forty kilometers west of Santa Cristina, since 2015. In 2018 she published a paper in the *Rivista di Studi Fenici* describing a Punic sculpture recovered from the new excavations, a limestone figure whose style bridges the gap between Phoenician and nuragic visual languages. What she noted, almost in passing, was that the necropolis she was excavating was in continuous use from the seventh century BCE through the fourth century CE: a thousand years of burial practice on the same ground, across Phoenician, Punic, and Roman political transitions. ^[8]

The Tharros necropolis is a different kind of evidence for the same phenomenon. Not a sacred well. Not a pilgrimage. A burial ground, which in every culture is among the most conservative of social institutions. You return to bury your dead where your family has always buried their dead. The dead anchor the living to the

territory. Fariselli's excavation is turning up the physical evidence of what continuity looks like in the ground: the same hillside, the same rock-cut shaft forms, the same ritual deposit patterns, across a span of political and cultural change that saw the city above change its official language twice, its religion multiple times, and its administrative allegiance to four successive powers.

The Closed Cult, in the Tharros necropolis, is not a pilgrimage. It is a commitment to the dead.

The well at Santa Cristina was officially dated when systematic excavation began in the twentieth century. The construction of the pozzo sacro is assigned to the late Bronze Age, roughly the ninth or tenth century BCE, based on the ceramics and votive objects recovered from the fill around and below the chamber. The novenary above ground is medieval in its formal organization, probably reaching its current form sometime between the eleventh and thirteenth centuries CE. The interval between the construction of the well and the formal organization of the Christian festival is somewhere between two and three thousand years.

In the language of archaeology, this is called "cult continuity." In the language of the families who come to the olive grove every June, it is simply the feast of Santa Cristina. Neither description is wrong. They describe the same thing from different temporal distances.

The families, on the whole, find the archaeological dating interesting. A few find it inspiring. None of them finds it disturbing. The continuity they are participating in does not require their conscious knowledge of it to function.

The Closed Cult is not a form of religious conservatism. It is a form of social technology. The specific theology of the novenary has changed substantially over the centuries: from a Bronze Age water cult that we cannot fully reconstruct, through whatever practices attended the site during the Phoenician and Roman periods, to the medieval Christian festival organization that it has now had for at least a thousand years. What has not changed is the social institution: the annual gathering, the nine days, the families from the surrounding villages, the children

who will grow up to bring their own children. The institution is older than any of the theologies it has housed. It will probably outlast the current one too.

Descending the twenty-five trapezoidal steps at Santa Cristina, you notice first the temperature change: the air at the bottom is eight or ten degrees cooler than the surface, even at midday in July. Then you notice the silence, which is not the absence of sound but the presence of a different acoustic, the stone walls and the water surface creating a space where your own breathing becomes audible. Then you notice the water itself, black and still in the circular pool at the bottom of the well, reflecting the rectangle of sky framed by the top of the staircase above you.

The steps under your feet are worn smooth at their centers in the way that stone wears under millennia of foot traffic. Not chipped or cracked. Worn. The wearing is itself the record. Three thousand years of feet going down and coming up again, the same twenty-five steps, the same narrowing walls, the same pool at the bottom.

You are not visiting a site. You are inside an instrument. The dome above the pool, the hole at the top, the dimensions of the chamber, the angle of the staircase opening to the sky: all of it is functioning exactly as it was built to function, catching the light it was built to catch, holding the water it was built to hold. The builders are gone. The gathering continues. The equinox still comes.

Endnotes for this chapter are in Appendix D.

CHAPTER 6: THE WALKING INLAND

He is standing on a beach, and he is thinking about wind.

The peninsula stretches south in front of him, a narrow finger of land no more than a kilometer wide at its widest point, dropping into the sea at its tip. On his left, the gulf opens to the northwest, wide and sheltered when the mistral blows from the north. On his right, a smaller inlet faces south and east, calm on the days when the northwest wind makes the gulf rough. He has a cargo ship. He needs anchorage that works in two wind directions. He is looking at a place that gives him both, depending on the day. He speaks Phoenician, the language of the Levantine coast, and he has probably been at sea since he left a port in what is now Lebanon or Israel or the northwest tip of Africa. He is not a pioneer in any romantic sense. He is a merchant solving a logistical problem, and the problem he is solving has a very clean answer.

He builds.

The date is somewhere around 750 BCE, give or take a generation. The place is the Sinis peninsula, on the western coast of Sardinia. The city that grows from that pragmatic calculation on the beach will be called Tharros. It will endure, without interruption, for approximately 1,800 years.^[1]

The Phoenicians are one of the most misunderstood peoples in Western historical consciousness, partly because we know them almost entirely through the testimony of their enemies. The Greeks called them Phoinikes, the purple people, for the murex-dye industry that made them wealthy. The Romans spent two centuries trying to destroy their most successful colony. The Hebrew Bible casts them as tempters and idolaters. Their own literature, if it ever existed in substantial form, is gone. What survives is their material culture: the cities they built on every navigable shore of the Mediterranean, the objects they traded, the inscriptions they left on stone, and the genetic signatures of their movement across the ancient world.

Sabatino Moscati changed the way scholars think about all of this.

Moscatti, born in Rome in 1922, spent his career at the Sapienza University of Rome, where he held the chair of Semitic philology and eventually directed the Institute for Civilizations of the Ancient Near East. He was not primarily a field archaeologist. He was a synthesizer, a scholar who read Akkadian, Aramaic, Hebrew, Phoenician, and Ugaritic, who could move between the cuneiform tablets of Mesopotamia and the carved ivory panels of Nimrud without losing the thread, and who in the 1960s and 1970s assembled the scattered evidence for Phoenician civilization into something that could be taught and argued and built on. He founded the *Rivista di Studi Fenici* in 1973, which became the field's principal journal. He organized the landmark 1988 exhibition in Venice, "I Fenici," the first major museum show to present Phoenician civilization to a general audience as a coherent subject. He wrote more than a hundred books. He is the person who made Phoenician studies a discipline.^[2]

Moscatti's particular contribution to Sardinian archaeology was not excavation. It was insistence. In the 1960s and 1970s, the Phoenician and Punic phases of Sardinian history were still largely treated as an interruption in the island's "real" story, a colonial episode before the Romans arrived and the proper historical record began. Moscati argued, with systematic evidence, that the Phoenician and Punic presence on the island was not an interruption but a formative period, that the cities the Phoenicians built were as sophisticated as any in the contemporary Mediterranean, that the material culture of Punic Sardinia deserved the same scholarly attention as Carthage or Tyre, and that the indigenous nuragic population was not a passive substrate but an active participant in what he called the "entanglement" of the island's cultures. He was right on all counts, and the field took approximately thirty years to catch up to him.^[3]

In 1992, Moscati co-authored the principal monograph on Monte Sirai, the Phoenician hilltop settlement in the southwest of the island that served as an inland military and administrative outpost for the coastal city of Sulci. He was seventy years old. He died in 1997. The *Rivista di Studi Fenici* continues.

Tharros was not the most important Phoenician city on Sardinia. That distinction belongs to Sulci, on the island of Sant'Antioco in the southwest, where the first

substantial Phoenician settlement took root around 770 BCE and where the earliest tophet, the controversial open-air sanctuary associated with the Phoenician cult of Baal-Hammon and Tanit, has been dated to the mid-eighth century.^[4] But Tharros was probably the most strategically situated, and for reasons that take a map to understand.

The Sinis peninsula juts into the Tyrrhenian Sea at the point where the Gulf of Oristano opens to the north and the Sardinian Sea opens to the west. Any ship moving between the Iberian silver mines at Gadir and the markets of the eastern Mediterranean had, in the centuries before reliable offshore navigation, to hug the coasts and stop frequently for water and repair. Sardinia was in the middle of that route. The Sinis peninsula was the best natural harbor on Sardinia's western coast. Tharros was the port you would build if you were optimizing the Mediterranean trading network of the first millennium BCE.

Which is exactly what the Phoenicians were doing.

The evidence for the first settlement at Tharros is concentrated on the hill of Su Muru Mannu, a slight elevation at the northern end of the peninsula, where excavation has found traces of a nuragic village underlying the Phoenician remains. The Phoenicians did not arrive at an empty shore. They arrived at a place where people already lived, and where people had been living, probably, for the better part of a millennium before the Levantine traders appeared. The nuragic presence at Su Muru Mannu is the oldest layer. The Phoenician tophet, the sanctuary on the hill that is among the characteristic features of all western Phoenician colonies, sits on top of it.^[5]

What happened when the Phoenicians and the nuragic inhabitants first made contact is no longer described by scholars as conquest. The evidence from Tharros and from the broader Sinis peninsula, mapped systematically by Peter van Dommelen of Brown University and his colleagues in the Sinis Archaeological Project, points toward what van Dommelen calls "entanglement": a process of exchange so thorough and so bidirectional that by the sixth century BCE, the material culture of the region was neither purely Phoenician nor purely nuragic but a hybrid that borrowed from both. The pottery shapes used Levantine forms. The

clay was local. The people who made the pottery were probably local. The people who bought and traded it were both.^[6]

Now consider three endings.

In 146 BCE, Roman armies under Scipio Aemilianus completed the siege of Carthage. The city had held out for three years. When it fell, the Romans burned it. The fire, according to ancient sources, burned for seventeen days. What the fire could not reach, the Romans demolished. Polybius, who was present, described the destruction of the greatest city in Africa with a kind of weary administrative precision, noting that Scipio wept. The salt-sowing story, that the Romans cursed the ground and made it literally infertile, is almost certainly a later myth: the Romans, who were practical people, would not have wasted the agricultural potential of the North African coast. But the destruction of the city itself was real and systematic. Of the Carthage that had menaced Rome for a century and a half, nothing was allowed to stand.^[7]

In 332 BCE, Alexander of Macedon besieged Tyre. The city occupied an offshore island, and the Tyrians had spent centuries developing the naval technology to defend it. Alexander built a causeway. He dragged siege towers along the causeway. He brought Egyptian and Cypriot ships to blockade the harbor. After seven months, the walls came down. Alexander killed eight thousand Tyrians in the final assault, crucified two thousand more on the beach, and sold thirty thousand into slavery. He then continued east toward Persia. The causeway he built to reach the island silted up over the following centuries and became a peninsula. Modern Tyre is built on it. The ancient city is under the modern one, and under the sea.^[8]

In 1453 CE, Mehmed II of the Ottoman Empire brought his army and his navy to Constantinople, the city that had been the capital of eastern Christianity for over a thousand years. The defenders had the walls, which had held for a millennium. Mehmed had cannon. On May 29, the walls failed. The last Byzantine emperor, Constantine XI Palaiologos, died in the breach, or possibly in the final street fighting, or possibly escaped and was never found: the accounts conflict, which is itself a measure of how complete the catastrophe was. The city was looted for three days,

as the terms of conquest permitted. The great church of Hagia Sophia was converted to a mosque before the week was out. The empire was over.^[9]

What these three endings have in common is violent catastrophe: the city destroyed, the population killed or enslaved or scattered, the physical record obliterated or repurposed, the historical thread cut. They are what happens when one power decides that another power's existence is incompatible with its own interests, and has the military means to act on that decision. They are, in the broad sweep of Mediterranean history, the normal ending for great cities that ended.

Tharros did not end this way.

The Romans arrived in Sardinia in 238 BCE, when they seized the island from a Carthage already weakened by the loss of the First Punic War and the subsequent revolt of its mercenary army. The takeover was not violent in the sense that Carthage's destruction was violent. There was no siege of Tharros, no burning, no systematic demolition. The Romans absorbed the Punic administrative infrastructure largely intact: the coastal cities continued to function, the road network was expanded rather than rebuilt from scratch, the local magistracies (the *sufetes*, the Punic elected officials) continued in several cities for another two centuries.^[10]

Tharros under Rome became a Roman town, with the public architecture that Roman towns required: baths, a temple to the Roman gods, a road laid with basalt paving stones that you can still walk on today. The Punic residential quarters were not cleared. They were built over, slowly, generation by generation, the new construction following the existing street grid because the existing street grid was where the roads and the drains and the property boundaries were. The city's material culture became Roman in the way that provincial cities throughout the empire became Roman: a surface layer of Latin and marble and public monuments over an underlying structure of local practice and local memory.

The archaeological record at Tharros shows this stratification with unusual clarity because only about a third of the site has been excavated. Fariselli's excavations since 2015 in the southern necropolis have added specific texture to the picture.

The shaft and chamber tombs in the necropolis were in continuous use from the seventh century BCE through the fourth century CE. The same burial ground served the Phoenician community, the Punic community, and the Roman community of Tharros across eleven centuries. The tombs shift in style and ritual practice through the centuries, reflecting the changing theological vocabulary of the city's population. The location does not shift.^[11]

The dead anchored the living to the territory. But Tharros's story has an additional chapter that makes it singular.

In the eleventh century CE, somewhere around 1070 CE, the population of Tharros moved.^[12]

Not the whole population at once, probably. Migration of this kind tends to happen in stages, family by family, over a generation or two. But the collective decision, whenever it crystallized, was definitive: the city was abandoned. The residents relocated approximately twenty kilometers inland, to the site of the town that would become Oristano, the capital of the medieval Giudicato of Arborea and one of the few Italian states to successfully resist both Pisan and Aragonese domination for an extended period.

The move was not forced. There is no record of conquest, no destruction layer in the archaeological record from the eleventh century, no siege or plague or famine documented in the sources. The hypothesis that commands the most scholarly assent is the most prosaic: the coastline had become dangerous. Arab raiders had been operating in the western Mediterranean since the eighth century CE, and the Sinis peninsula, which for a thousand years had been a commercial asset, was now a liability. You do not defend an exposed coastline against raiders. You move away from it. Tharros had been built to face the sea. When the sea became threatening, its people simply turned around.

The Sardinians, in other words, did what Sardinians do. They walked inland.

The word for this in Sardinian history is not defeat. It is not failure. It is a strategy that has been deployed against every empire that ever occupied the island, with a consistency and a success rate that is, when you look at it clearly, remarkable. The

Romans controlled the coasts and the main roads and the plains. The interior nuragic communities, the ones the Romans called *Ilienses* and *Balares* and *Diagesbes*, maintained their autonomy in the mountains for centuries after the official annexation. The revolt of Hampsicora in 215 BCE, a joint uprising of coastal Punic communities and interior nuragic tribes against Roman authority, failed militarily. But the interior communities that refused to fight and simply withdrew further into the mountains were never pacified. The Romans built a limes, a fortified border, not to keep foreign enemies out but to keep their own interior Sardinians in.^[13]

The Vandals came in the fifth century CE and controlled the coastal cities. The Byzantines replaced them in the sixth century and controlled the coastal cities. The Arabs raided the coasts. The Pisans arrived and controlled the trading ports. The Aragonese came in the fourteenth century and built their Spanish cities over the Pisan and Byzantine ones. At each transition, the communities of the interior continued on largely unchanged, their mountains and their language and their pastoral economy intact. The conquerors got the coast. The Sardinians kept the island.

Named concepts need their simplest possible form. The Sardinian response to imperial collapse is not uniquely Sardinian in its geography; other island peoples have retreated from exposed coasts when threatened. What makes it recognizable as a distinct strategy, rather than a random sequence of evacuations, is the pattern: it happens repeatedly, across millennia, in response to different threats, and each time the withdrawal is followed by a return when the threat recedes. The Sardinians do not abandon the coast permanently. They lend it to the conquerors, temporarily, while keeping the interior.

The Walking Inland is the name for this strategy: not destruction, not submission, but withdrawal. You take what is yours, which is your land and your community and your practice, and you move it to where the empire cannot follow you efficiently. You let the empire have the harbor, the port revenues, the administrative satisfaction of having a provincial capital. You wait. Empires end. You come back.

Carthage did not practice The Walking Inland because Carthage had nowhere to walk. North Africa's coast was its entire strategic depth. When Rome destroyed Carthage, there was no interior to retreat to, no mountains where Punic civilization could continue out of reach of the legions. The Sardinians had the interior. They used it, every time.

The contrast with the three imperial endings listed above is the point of the comparison. Tyre under Alexander, Carthage under Rome, Constantinople under Mehmed: all three were destroyed or permanently transformed by violence, their populations killed or scattered, their cultural continuity severed. Tharros was not destroyed. It was quietly vacated. The people who left Tharros in the eleventh century took their community with them to Oristano. The Antiquarium Arborense in Oristano holds many of the objects recovered from Tharros: the jewelry, the ceramics, the votive figurines, the worked ivory and gold that the craftsmen of the city produced across a thousand years, inherited, not looted.

There is a specific way that the Nora Stone, the oldest written document found on Sardinia, illuminates this dynamic from the other side.

The Nora Stone is a slab of limestone, roughly forty centimeters high, found near the ruins of the Phoenician city of Nora on Sardinia's southern coast in 1773. It is now in the Museo Archeologico Nazionale in Cagliari. The inscription is in Phoenician script, and it is dated palaeographically to the late ninth or early eighth century BCE, making it one of the oldest Phoenician inscriptions found anywhere in the western Mediterranean. Its first line includes the words *BSHRDN*: "in Sardinia." It is the earliest written attestation of the island's name.^[14]

The debate about what the Nora Stone says is still active. Frank Moore Cross, the Harvard Biblical scholar who produced the most influential modern reading, interpreted it as a military commemorative inscription: a Tyrian general named Milkyton, sent by King Pummay of Tyre, fought a campaign against Sardinian forces, won, and erected the stone to record his victory. If Cross is right, the Nora Stone is evidence that the Phoenician arrival on Sardinia was not entirely peaceful, that there was a moment, early in the colonization period, when the newcomers and the

nuragic inhabitants tested each other's military capacities and the newcomers demonstrated that they had the means to impose their presence.

What is remarkable about this, if it happened, is what did not follow from it. There was no conquest in the territorial sense. There was no subjugation of the interior. The nuragic communities in the highlands and the central plateau continued to function on their own terms, maintaining their own social structures, their own economic practices, their own sacred sites. The Phoenicians built their cities on the coast and traded with the interior communities. They did not try to rule them. Whether this was because they did not want to, or because they tried and found the mountain communities impossible to hold, or because the commercial relationship made political domination unnecessary, is a question the archaeological record cannot yet answer definitively.

But the outcome is clear. When the Carthaginians arrived in the mid-sixth century BCE and established the more organized territorial control that the Phoenicians had never attempted, they too found that the interior was a different country. The Punic administrative and agricultural system was concentrated in the coastal plains and the Campidano, the broad agricultural corridor that runs down the island's middle. The mountain communities remained at the margins of Punic control, just as they remained at the margins of Roman control after 238 BCE. The pattern was set at the beginning. The coast was negotiable. The interior was not.

The Nora Stone is also evidence of something else: the speed at which the western Mediterranean was integrated into a single commercial network in the ninth and eighth centuries BCE. A Tyrian king sending a general to Sardinia, a Phoenician community established firmly enough to erect commemorative inscriptions in what was still technically the far edge of the known world, bronze-age trade routes carrying copper ingots from Cyprus and tin from Britain and amber from the Baltic to a peninsula on the west coast of a large Mediterranean island: the scale of the operation is startling when you hold it in mind all at once. The Phoenicians were not explorers in the romantic sense. They were logisticians. They had mapped the resources of the Mediterranean basin with a commercial precision that would not

be equaled until the Portuguese began their systematic Atlantic surveys fifteen centuries later.

Sardinia was a node in this system because of what it had and where it sat. The island's west coast had the best natural harbors between the Strait of Gibraltar and the Tyrrhenian. The island's interior had silver, lead, and copper deposits that were already being worked by nuragic metallurgists, some of the most sophisticated bronze-workers in the contemporary Mediterranean. And the island sat at the geographic center of the sea routes connecting all of it: the Iberian silver to the east, the Levantine luxury goods to the west, the North African grain to the north, the Italian and Aegean markets to the south. Tharros was not built in a good location by chance. It was built there because every serious merchant in the ninth-century Phoenician network understood that this particular peninsula was the place from which you could reach everything else.

The British Museum in London holds a substantial collection of Tharros material, including some of the finest Phoenician-Punic jewelry ever recovered from a western Mediterranean site: gold pendants, filigree earrings, granulated beads, scarabs set in gold mounts, necklaces whose workmanship is so precise that nineteenth-century jewelers who examined them could not replicate the techniques. Most of this material entered the museum in the mid-nineteenth century, acquired through the systematic excavations of the Cagliari-based antiquarian Giovanni Spano and through the activities of dealers who worked the site in the 1840s and 1850s, before modern archaeological legislation existed to prevent it.^[15]

The British Museum's Tharros collection is genuinely beautiful. It is also evidence of the site's wealth and the sophistication of its craftsmen. Tharros was not a minor trading post. The goldsmiths who worked there in the seventh and sixth centuries BCE were producing objects that circulated across the entire Phoenician network, from Sardinia to North Africa to the Levant. The city was a manufacturing center as well as a port. The amber pendants in its tombs came from the Baltic. The ivory came from Africa. The gold itself was probably Iberian, from the same mines that the Phoenicians had been extracting since the ninth century.

What the British Museum collection cannot show, because it was removed from its context before context was a methodological concern, is the stratification that Fariselli's excavations are now documenting: the way the objects in the tombs changed across the centuries, the way the forms shifted from Phoenician to Punic to Roman without a break in the continuity of the community that used the ground. The museum has the objects. Fariselli has the story.

Anna Chiara Fariselli holds the position at the University of Bologna that makes her the current director of excavations at Tharros's southern necropolis. She is not a grand synthesizer in the Moscati mode. She is a field archaeologist, and the picture she is building is built one trench at a time, one season at a time, one tomb at a time.

The question that drives her work is not romantic. It is technical: how do you read a necropolis that was in continuous use for eleven centuries, across multiple different burial traditions, when the later burials disturbed the earlier ones and the earlier ones were incompletely excavated by their nineteenth-century predecessors? The answer is that you excavate very carefully, you document everything, you apply isotopic analysis to the bones to determine where the individuals were born and raised, and you build a picture of the population over time: who was local, who was immigrant, how the community composition changed across the Phoenician, Punic, and Roman phases.

What she has found, so far, confirms the "entanglement" model at the biological level: the population of Tharros across the site's active history was diverse, mobile, and mixed. People born in North Africa were buried alongside people whose strontium isotopes indicate they never left the Sinis peninsula. People with Near Eastern mitochondrial lineages were buried in the same shaft tombs as people whose genetics are indistinguishable from the local nuragic population. The city was not a colony in the sense of an ethnically segregated outpost. It was a community that assembled itself, over generations, from the full demographic spectrum of the Phoenician network.^[16]

This finding has a direct implication for how we understand the abandonment of Tharros in the eleventh century. The people who walked inland to Oristano were

not Phoenicians, or Punic survivors, or Romans, or any other single ethnically defined group. They were Sardinians: the descendants of a population that had been forming, through admixture and cultural exchange, for the better part of a millennium. They carried with them a community identity that was, by the time of the move, entirely indigenous to the place. The community's origin was Phoenician. Its identity, by the time it left, was Sardinian.

Fariselli's 2018 paper on the Punic sculpture from the southern necropolis describes a limestone figure, approximately thirty centimeters high, recovered from one of the shaft tombs. It is carved in a style that is recognizably Punic in its formal conventions but uses a frontal pose and a proportional system that reflects the local nuragic sculptural tradition. The craftsman who made it, whoever he or she was, had internalized two visual languages and produced something that belonged fully to neither and completely to both. It is, Fariselli argues, a material embodiment of the entanglement process: not an imported object and not a local imitation of an import, but a new thing produced at the meeting point of two traditions by someone for whom both traditions were native.

The sculpture is also, she notes, a small object for a small tomb. Not the burial of an elite. Not a public monument. A personal object, placed with its owner in the ground, in a necropolis that had been receiving the dead of this community for several centuries before this particular tomb was cut. The continuity is not only in the ground plan and the ritual deposit pattern. It is in the way the object itself reflects the accumulated exchange of the centuries.

This is what Moscati was trying to describe when he talked about Punic civilization as a living thing rather than a colonial imposition: not a monolithic culture transplanted from Carthage, but a process of continuous local synthesis, producing objects and practices and identities that were genuinely new even while they drew on recognizable traditions from both sides of the exchange.

Moscati, in his last years, was working on a question that he never quite resolved to his own satisfaction: how do you explain the persistence of Punic identity after the Roman conquest? The Roman annexation of Sardinia in 238 BCE was followed by a slow assimilation: Latin replaced Punic in the inscriptions, Roman temple

architecture replaced Phoenician forms, the public face of the cities became recognizably Roman. But the private face, the face visible in the necropolies and the domestic shrines and the votive deposits, remained stubbornly Punic for two centuries and more. The *sufetes* continued to hold office. The goddess Tanit continued to receive offerings. The funerary rites that distinguished Punic from Roman practice continued in the tombs.

Moscatti described this as “persistence,” a word that implies a kind of passive surviving-against-the-odds. But the Tharros evidence suggests something more active. The community was not simply enduring Roman power. It was managing it, absorbing the aspects of Roman administrative and commercial culture that were useful, maintaining the practices and identities that bound the community together, and doing so for long enough that by the time the walking inland happened, the distinction between Punic and nuragic and Roman identity had dissolved into a single community that was none of those things and all of them.

The Walking Inland is not, finally, a story about Phoenicians. It is a story about what happens to a community that has been in the same place long enough. The Phoenicians came, and they brought the city. The Sardinians absorbed the city, slowly, over a thousand years, until the city was theirs. Then the sea became dangerous, and they picked up the city and moved it twenty kilometers inland, and kept it.

The empire that came next found a provincial capital at Oristano. It did not find Tharros.

Capo San Marco is the tip of the Sinis peninsula, the southernmost point of the finger of land where the first Phoenician merchant stood and thought about wind. The ruins of Tharros are distributed along the peninsula from Su Muru Mannu in the north to the cape at the south: Punic street grids, Roman baths, a small temple to the Dioscuri with two columns still standing, the remains of the city walls, the submerged foundations of the ancient harbor now visible at low tide as dark shapes in the clear water below the surface.

At the very tip of the cape, on the highest point of the headland, there is a Spanish watchtower. It was built in the seventeenth century, as part of the defensive system that the Spanish viceroys imposed on Sardinia's coastline to guard against Ottoman and Barbary corsair raids. It is square and austere, built from blocks of stone quarried from the same geological formation as the Punic and Roman structures below it.

The watchtower sits on top of everything. Under its foundation courses: the Roman city. Under the Roman city, the Punic city. Under the Punic city, the Phoenician settlement. Under the Phoenician settlement, the nuragic village on the hill of Su Muru Mannu, the people who were here before anyone arrived by sea. Five cultures, five languages, five sets of gods, five different political orders, all stacked on this two-hundred-meter headland above the blue-green water of the Tyrrhenian.

The tower faces the sea. It was built to watch for the next arrival. From up here, on a clear afternoon, you can see the shipping lanes that have been in use since before the Phoenicians named them. The cargo moves the same direction it always moved. The headland has been watching it for three thousand years.

The people who built the tower are long gone. The people who built the city under it walked away first, on their own terms, at a time of their own choosing. They took the city with them. The tower keeps its watch over the headland, the five layers of stone beneath it, and an empty harbor.

Endnotes for this chapter are in Appendix D.

CHAPTER 7: THE HINGE ISLAND

The man had been digging in the garden when his visitor arrived. He preferred it that way, the physical labor of the morning, the soil under his hands before any politics had to be discussed. He was in his late fifties now, gray-bearded and still broad through the shoulders from a lifetime of soldiering and sailing and farm work, and the island he lived on was small enough that you could walk its perimeter in an afternoon. He had buried his second wife here. He had buried most of his children here. There were horses in the paddock and chickens in the yard and long rows of vegetables he had planted himself, and when the Mediterranean wind came in hard from the west he could hear it work the granite headlands above the cove. His nearest neighbors were across a short channel of water. Even that settlement was so small it barely registered on military charts. On certain mornings this place felt like the end of the navigable world.

The visitor's name was Mikhail Alexandrovich Bakunin, Russian nobleman turned anarchist philosopher, the most famous revolutionary in Europe who was not Karl Marx and who would spend the next decade in systematic theoretical combat with Marx over the direction of the International. Bakunin had traveled with his young wife to this remote northern archipelago specifically to spend three days with the man who lived on this island. The host was Giuseppe Garibaldi. The year was 1864. The most famous Italian alive was growing cabbages.

(I grew up speaking Russian at home, in a family that had left the Soviet Union, and this chapter is the one I was always going to write.)

The visit is documented. Bakunin wrote about it afterward in a letter to Countess Elisabeth Salhies de Tournemire, a Russian noblewoman and writer who collected correspondence from the leading intellectual figures of her era. ^[1] In the letter he described the domestic life of the island with evident tenderness, dwelling on the simplicity of the General's arrangements, the absence of servants, the conspicuous refusal of grandeur. Garibaldi had conquered the Kingdom of the Two Sicilies four years earlier with a volunteer army of a thousand red-shirted irregulars and handed the territory to a king he did not entirely trust. He could have had a palace in Turin.

He could have had a ministry. He had come back to this place instead, to the stone farmhouse he had largely built with his own hands, to the kitchen garden and the animals and the view of the channel through the scrub oak.

What Bakunin made of this, philosophically, was something worth pausing over. Here was the demonstrating case for a proposition Bakunin had held theoretically for years: that it was possible to will the transformation of the world through committed individual action, that history was not merely the movement of impersonal forces but could be bent by a man who refused to stop bending it. Garibaldi had done exactly that. He had bent it. He had then come back to an island and bent back to his garden. Bakunin left Caprera persuaded that he had encountered something genuinely incorruptible, which in a century producing an extraordinary number of men who had started as revolutionaries and ended as something else entirely was not nothing.

Garibaldi himself was no stranger to the condition of permanent displacement. He had spent most of the 1830s and 1840s in exile, condemned to death in absentia by the Kingdom of Sardinia for his participation in a failed Mazzinian uprising in 1834, earning his living as a sailor and eventually a naval commander in Uruguay and Brazil, fighting other people's wars on two continents in service of republican principles that he could not yet apply at home. He came back to Italy in 1848 for the revolutionary year and fought in the brief Roman Republic before that too collapsed, fleeing across the Apennines under Austrian pursuit with his dying wife Anita, who died in his arms in a farmhouse near Ravenna. He went back into exile, to Tangier, to New York, to a candle factory on Staten Island where he worked for a year and a half before sailing again. When he finally settled on Caprera in the late 1850s, the island was not a refuge from his history. It was an achievement of the kind of life his history had made possible. The man Bakunin visited in 1864 had earned his garden with a biography that would have broken most other men before the first chapter was finished.

What Bakunin either did not know or chose not to examine in the three days of conversation was that nine years before his visit, the kingdom that owned this island had gone to war against Russia. A real war. Artillery, cavalry, cholera, a river crossing at dawn into rifle fire, men dying in quantities that the official dispatches

could not quite keep pace with. The Kingdom of Sardinia had sent an expeditionary force to the Crimea, had fought alongside France and Britain against the armies of Tsar Nicholas I and then of his son Alexander II, and had come home with a diplomatic prize that would lead, within seven years, to the unification of Italy. The war that shattered Russia's position in the Black Sea had produced the country where Bakunin was now sitting with his host in the garden, drinking coffee and discussing the abolition of the state.

This is the sentence the chapter is built around. Not stated at the opening, but demonstrated across the length of what follows.

The island in question, the island that went to war against Russia, was not Caprera. Caprera is a small granite outcrop in the Maddalena archipelago, twelve kilometers long and three wide at its widest point, home to Garibaldi and his family and very little else. The island in question was the Kingdom of Sardinia, a political entity that in 1855 extended from the northern tip of the actual island of Sardinia through Liguria and up into the Piedmontese Alps to the city of Turin. The capital was Turin. The prime minister was Count Camillo Benso di Cavour, who worked in Turin. The army spoke Piedmontese dialects and was recruited from Piedmontese valleys. The king, Vittorio Emanuele II of the House of Savoy, hunted in Piedmontese forests. The island of Sardinia itself, the rocky, malarial, linguistically autonomous body of land sitting in the western Mediterranean, was the name on the flag and not much more. It had been attached to the Piedmontese crown in 1718 as a diplomatic consolation prize following the War of the Spanish Succession. No one on the island had been consulted about the attachment. No one on the island would be consulted about the war.

Lieutenant General Alfonso Ferrero La Marmora departed from Genoa harbor in April 1855. He had under his command 18,061 men and 3,963 horses and mules, assembled on the docks in a choreography of embarkation that the Piedmontese staff officers had been planning for months. ^[2] The corps was organized around brigades bearing the names of Piedmontese towns: Casale, Acqui, Savona, Regina. The Bersaglieri were drawn as the first two companies of each of the ten regular Bersaglieri battalions, elite light infantry originally designed for mountain warfare in

the Alpine passes, famous for their distinctive hats and their habit of running rather than marching. The units were Piedmontese. The financing was Piedmontese. The strategic calculation was Cavour's.

The actual island regiment, the Brigata Sassari, did not yet exist. It would not exist until the First World War, when men from Oristano and Nuoro and Sassari and the remote interior villages of the Barbagia would earn a reputation for tactical courage on the Isonzo and the Asiago plateau that astonished Italian and Austro-Hungarian commanders alike. The Brigata Sassari would eventually become the most decorated Italian infantry unit of the First World War, and its formation would lead directly to the creation of the Partito Sardo d'Azione, the Sardinian Action Party, in 1921, the first serious political expression of the island's distinct identity within the Italian nation. But that was sixty years in the future. In 1855, the highlands of Sardinia watched the Crimean expedition the way they had watched every previous empire's adventures: from the same distance they had maintained for centuries, with no input and no stake and no soldiers. The regiments named Casale and Acqui marched under a Sardinian flag to a Sardinian war, and not one man from Cabras or Orune or Bosa was among them. The soldiers were Piedmontese. The kingdom borrowed the name of the island the way a shopkeeper borrows the name of a street, as an address rather than an identity.

The transports crossed the Ligurian Sea, rounded Sicily, and entered the eastern Mediterranean. The corps disembarked at Balaklava between the ninth and fourteenth of May 1855, in the second year of a siege that had already consumed tens of thousands of lives from multiple armies. Balaklava harbor in the spring of 1855 was a controlled chaos of supply ships, naval vessels, Russian prisoners being transferred to transport, and the accumulated logistical infrastructure of a war that had stopped moving. Sevastopol was still standing, its fortifications strengthened throughout the winter by Russian engineers working under fire. The French and British forces had been besieging it since September 1854. They were exhausted and depleted, and the spring had brought with it the usual improvement in the weather and the usual degradation in public health.

The disease was cholera.

Of the 18,000 men La Marmora brought to Crimea, 2,728 would contract cholera and 1,230 would die of it. ^[3] That is a case-fatality rate of approximately forty-five percent. It is also more than twice the number of men who would be killed or wounded in the one significant battle the corps would fight. Cholera in the mid-nineteenth century was not a mystery in the way it had been a generation earlier, but the mechanisms of transmission were still poorly understood by most military medical officers, and the conditions of a siege camp, with its trench latrines and its crowded water supplies and its constant traffic between front lines and rear areas, were ideal for the pathogen. Men who had marched down from the Alpine valleys in good health, who had shipped out through Genoa harbor in the spring sunshine, who had survived the transports and the disembarkation and the first weeks of acclimatization, began dying of dehydration in canvas tents at a rate that the official dispatches had difficulty representing accurately. The records became bureaucratic, the language of casualty reports substituting for the experience of the dying. Two thousand seven hundred and twenty-eight cases. One thousand two hundred and thirty deaths. The Piedmontese officers who survived would remember the cholera years after they had forgotten the specifics of the battle. The battle was one morning. The disease was every morning.

The battle came on 16 August 1855. ^[4] The engagement would be remembered in Italian as the Cernaia, named for the Chernaya River that ran through the valley below the outer fortifications of Sevastopol. The Russians called it the Battle at the Black River, the Сражение у Чёрной реки, a name that translates with the grim literalism that Russian military nomenclature often employs for places where specific things happened to specific numbers of people. The Chernaya ran shallow and fast at the Traktir Bridge, the main crossing point below the Fedyukhin Heights, and in the hours before dawn on August 16 there was dense fog in the low ground that compressed the visible world to fifty meters in any direction.

Prince Mikhail Gorchakov commanded the Russian forces, somewhere between 47,000 and 58,000 men depending on whose order of battle you accept, divided into two corps. General Pavel Liprandi held the Russian right, positioned opposite the Sardinian positions on Telegraph Hill and the high ground extending to its north.

General Nikolay Read commanded the Russian left, with orders to cross the Chernaya at the Traktir Bridge and drive up onto the Fedyukhin Heights, where the French were entrenched. The combined Franco-Sardinian force under French General Aimable Jean-Jacques Péliissier and La Marmora numbered between 28,000 and 36,000 men.

Gorchakov had chosen to attack on the Feast of the Assumption, August 15 on the Julian calendar. The reasoning was specific: the Sardinian troops were Catholic, and the assumption was that they would be tired and inattentive after the previous day's religious celebration. It was the kind of tactical hypothesis that seems reasonable before an operation and absurd afterward. The Sardinians were not tired. They had not been worn to the edge of their nerves by months of trench warfare the way the French had been. They had been in Crimea for three months and had spent most of that time in camp dealing with cholera, which is terrible for morale and health but does not produce the particular kind of battlefield exhaustion that comes from constant shelling. The men who were still standing in August were, in a grim sense, the survivors of a selection process.

The attack began before dawn. Read's corps moved toward the Traktir Bridge without the cavalry cover or the artillery preparation that standard tactical doctrine called for. Military historians have been debating the reasons for this since the day it happened: Gorchakov's orders were ambiguous, there were communication failures between the corps commanders, the fog complicated the coordination. What is clear is that Read's infantry crossed the Chernaya at the bridge, began climbing the southern bank toward the Fedyukhin Heights, and ran into French rifle fire from prepared defensive positions above them. The attack stalled on the slope. Read rode forward into the middle of the confusion and was killed, shot from his horse while attempting to manage the situation personally, and his body was recovered from the northern bank of the river during the retreat. His second-in-command could not reorganize the corps under fire and the attack on the left collapsed.

Liprandi had more initial success on the right. His forces pushed the Sardinian outposts back from Telegraph Hill in the opening exchanges, a genuine tactical gain on what had been a strongly held position, and Gorchakov, reading the situation from his command post, sent reinforcements to the right wing to exploit the

advantage. The reinforcements arrived and were met by Sardinian rifle fire. The Sardinian infantry did not withdraw further. Then the Sardinian units counterattacked, moving back up the slope through the same fog that had obscured the initial assault, and Liprandi's troops could not hold Telegraph Hill against a force that had decided to take it back. By ten in the morning, with Read dead on the left bank and the right wing stalled below Telegraph Hill, Gorchakov ordered a general retreat.

The battle had lasted approximately three hours. Russian casualties numbered around 8,000, a significant loss for an operation that had gained nothing. Sardinian general Rodolfo Gabrielli di Montevecchio was wounded during the fighting on Telegraph Hill and died of his wounds in the days following the battle, the highest-ranking Sardinian officer to fall in the campaign. The Chernaya was not a decisive engagement in the scale of the larger siege. Sevastopol had been resisting for nearly a year before August 16 and would fall three weeks after it. What the Chernaya produced was not a tactical victory but an advertisement.

Every foreign observer at Balaklava, every correspondent sending dispatches to London and Paris and Turin and Vienna, every military attaché whose job it was to assess the fighting quality of the expeditionary corps, watched the Sardinian units on Telegraph Hill and sent home the same assessment: these men were competent, disciplined, and willing to advance into rifle fire. Cavour had not sent them to Crimea to defeat Russia. He had sent them to be seen not running away. The performance was the point.

Sevastopol fell on 11 September 1855 when French troops stormed the Malakoff redoubt under General Pélissier and the Russian defenders set fire to what remained of their Black Sea Fleet rather than surrender it. Alexander II, who had become Tsar only months before the fall of the city, sued for peace through the winter of 1855 and 1856. The Congress of Paris convened in February 1856 in the gilded rooms of the Quai d'Orsay, with the foreign ministers of France, Britain, Russia, Austria, Sardinia, and the Ottoman Empire assembled to formalize the war's outcome. ^[5]

Cavour was there. In his black coat, with his quiet manner and his comprehensive understanding of every delegate's leverage and every great power's anxieties,

Cavour used the seat he had purchased on the river outside Sevastopol to raise, before the assembled representatives of Europe, the question of Italian political organization. This was not on the agenda. No one had come to Paris to discuss the governance of the Italian peninsula. Cavour made it a topic anyway, with the dry persistence of a man who had spent years calculating exactly how to make this moment possible. The Italian Question, as it became known in the diplomatic correspondence of the following years, entered formal European discourse in 1856 because 1,230 men from Casale and Acqui and Savona had died of cholera in Crimea and their deaths had earned a man from Turin a speaking position at the table where the map of Europe was being redrawn.

Italy was unified by 1861. The actual Sardinians received their incorporation into the new kingdom as a delivered fact, their fusione perfetta with Piedmont having been arranged in 1847 when crowds in Cagliari and Sassari persuaded the House of Savoy to link the two territories in a single constitutional framework. Nobody from the island had sent soldiers to Crimea. The island had sent its name. The name came back attached to a unified nation whose capital was Turin and whose laws were written in the administrative tradition of Piedmont. The walking inland had already happened long before this.

What the actual island of Sardinia received in exchange for lending its name to a kingdom, a war, a congress, and a unification was something its people had been negotiating for centuries before Piedmont acquired it: the indifference of distant administrators, the periodic extraction of resources, and the continued existence of a way of life that had survived every previous empire's attempt to reorganize it. Not a good deal. Not an unusual one. The island's name had purchased Italy. Italy, for most of the island's history, would not be entirely sure what to do with the island in return.

Before arriving at the pivot, it is worth naming the concept the chapter has been assembling. The Hinge Island is what this geography is. Not a doorway, which implies a passage from one defined space to another. A hinge: the mechanism by which two surfaces pivot around each other without either surface having to move far. Sardinia, and specifically the Maddalena archipelago, has served this function

repeatedly across the modern era, and the pattern is not accidental. It is structural. The archipelago sits in the western Mediterranean at the precise intersection of the Franco-Italian coast and the Tyrrhenian Sea, close enough to both to be accessible from either, remote enough from both to offer the political quality that people in motion have always needed: temporal distance from the place pursuing them, and the appearance of having stopped somewhere unremarkable.

The appearance of having stopped somewhere unremarkable. That is the key phrase. Mazzini did not stop in Sardinia; he stopped in London, which is the English-language version of the same principle. Napoleon stopped at Santo Stefano, briefly, and found it unremarkable enough to abandon. Nelson found the archipelago so unremarkable, in the strategic sense, that he could wait in it for two years without attracting the attention of the fleet he was hunting. Bakunin found it remarkable enough to make the journey, and unremarkable enough that the journey did not appear in the diplomatic correspondence of any European power that year. The island was a hinge precisely because everyone was looking at the door.

The pivot in this chapter comes where pivots always come: immediately after the thing the reader expected to be the ending.

In 1858, two years after the Congress of Paris and three years before Italian unification, the Kingdom of Sardinia leased a naval support point to Imperial Russia. ^[6] The location was Villefranche-sur-Mer, on the Ligurian coast west of Nice, then within the territory of Sardinian Nice, in the angle where the Maritime Alps meet the sea. Three to five Russian naval vessels maintained regular anchorage at Villefranche through the 1860s and into the 1870s, serviced by Russian naval logistics operating from what the French and Russian archival studies describe as a “naval support point” in the western Mediterranean. In 1860, Nice and Villefranche were ceded to France in exchange for Napoleon III’s support of Italian unification, and Napoleon III, in confirming the cession, confirmed the Russian lease as well. The same kingdom that had sent 18,000 men to fight Russia outside Sevastopol had, within three years, handed Russia a Mediterranean anchorage, then handed the anchorage to France as part of the diplomatic transaction that completed Italian unification, then given Garibaldi, as a kind of national consolation prize, an island.

The archipelago was always someone else's strategic calculation. The island on the flag was always the last thing considered.

The hinge quality of this geography was not new in 1864. It had been accumulating for centuries, one visitor at a time, and Bakunin and Garibaldi were simply the most recent in a sequence that the Maddalena archipelago had been hosting since before anyone thought to write it down.

The accumulating list: Giuseppe Mazzini, the father of Italian republican nationalism, spent the most productive years of his political and organizational life in London, operating out of rented rooms in Hatton Garden and Clerkenwell, running a network of revolutionary correspondence across the Continent from a city where the British government was content to watch and rarely interfere with political exiles of foreign causes. Karl Marx, who had no patience for Mazzini's romantic nationalism and whose patience for Bakunin's anarchism would be exhausted by the early 1870s, spent thirty-four years in London as well, conducting the International from his study and writing in the British Museum Reading Room for decades, building the theoretical architecture of a revolution he would not live to see. Alexander Herzen, the Russian liberal émigré who founded the Free Russian Press and edited the journal *Kolokol*, The Bell, which circulated clandestinely inside Russia and which Lenin would later describe as the first serious Russian revolutionary publication, did his work from Geneva on the theory that Switzerland was more reliably neutral than any other available European geography. Lenin himself, in the early years of the twentieth century, worked from Zurich, a few minutes' walk from the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, reading Herzen and dismissing him as insufficiently materialist, waiting for a war to provide him with a sealed train and a route back to Russia.

All of them were waiting on islands of political space rather than geographical ones. The interesting thing about this list is that none of these figures were waiting in Sardinia. They were waiting in the great capitals of the exile circuit: London, Geneva, Zurich, Capri. Sardinia was not on the exile circuit. It was too far from the centers of revolutionary communication, too poorly connected, too peripheral to the postal and telegraph networks that sustained the émigré world. If you needed

to receive a pamphlet from Paris within three days, Sardinia was the wrong choice. You needed a city with a good post office and a railway station and a community of sympathetic intellectuals who could discuss the pamphlet with you afterward. The island had none of this.

What the island had instead was the other list. And the two lists illuminate each other by contrast: the exile as a node in a political network, waiting in a city where waiting is productive; and the hinge as a pass-through, a place where people arrive for three days or two years or one bombardment and leave, taking their politics with them, leaving the island with the memory and nothing more.

But the geographical island has its own parallel list, and the two lists run alongside each other without ever quite touching. Horatio Nelson, Vice-Admiral of the Mediterranean fleet during the Napoleonic Wars, used the harbor at La Maddalena as his primary western Mediterranean base for nearly two years between 1803 and 1805. ^[7] He was hunting the French Toulon squadron under Admiral Villeneuve, waiting for Villeneuve to come out of port and offer the engagement that Nelson had been preparing for since his first Mediterranean command. He described the waiting, in his correspondence, as maddening, the weeks in the archipelago between La Maddalena and Palau stretching into months while Villeneuve sat in Toulon with orders he did not want to execute. The granite channels and the scrub-covered islands became as familiar to Nelson as any harbor in England. Villeneuve eventually slipped west in 1805, not toward Nelson but toward Trafalgar, where Nelson finally caught him and was killed in the catching. The rocks of La Maddalena saw none of it. They were already on to the next visitor.

Sixty-two years before Nelson settled into his Maddalena routine, the same archipelago had seen a different kind of arrival. In February 1793, a French revolutionary expedition under the Sardinian-born republican officer Colonna-Cesari attempted to seize La Maddalena and the surrounding islands, landing forces on several of the smaller outcroppings and bringing artillery to bear from the island of Santo Stefano, which lies directly across the channel from La Maddalena town. ^[8] The bombardment was directed by a young lieutenant-colonel of artillery serving in the French republican army. His name was Napoleone di Buonaparte. He was twenty-three years old, Corsican by birth, formation, and accent, and his cannonade from the heights of Santo Stefano failed to dislodge the Sardinian militia defending

La Maddalena. The defense held. The expedition re-embarked with its artillery and returned to Corsica and the mainland. Bonaparte went back to France, where the revolutionary government had other uses for his particular set of skills. He would not return to the western Mediterranean as an artillery officer. The next time he appeared in Mediterranean strategic calculations he was First Consul, then Emperor, and the Kingdom of Sardinia was scrambling to keep its court functional as his armies swept across northern Italy.

The militia who held La Maddalena in 1793 were defending the kingdom that bore their island's name, and they were defending it against a man from the island next door, and they were successful, and history noticed none of this because within twelve years the man they had repelled would control most of Europe. The archipelago had been the scene of a confrontation between two island-born men and an abstraction called France and an abstraction called the Kingdom of Sardinia, and the abstractions had won, in the way abstractions usually win over actual places: by being useful to people who were not in the actual places.

This is what makes La Maddalena something other than simply an interesting footnote in the biographies of famous men. The archipelago recurs. The same handful of kilometers of sheltered water appears in the story of the Napoleonic Wars, the story of the Crimean War, the story of the Risorgimento, the story of the Cold War, the story of the Russian military presence in the contemporary Mediterranean. Each time, the island is a location, a coordinate, a logistical fact. Each time, the people doing the fighting or the fleeing or the planning come from somewhere else and are on their way to somewhere else, and the island serves the gap between departure and arrival. The hinge. And each time, the actual inhabitants of the archipelago, the fishermen and smallholders and the families who have been burying their dead in the granite for two thousand years, continue their work in the background of the larger history, visible to no one who is passing through, waiting for no one in particular, not waiting at all.

Bakunin's three days on Caprera ended, as all visits to Caprera end, with a boat. He described the departure with the slightly elegiac tone that runs through the letter to Countess Salhias, the sense of leaving something uncomplicated for something

complicated, of re-entering the network of European revolutionary politics from a place that had briefly felt outside it. He crossed back to La Maddalena by water, rejoined the ferry routes that connected the archipelago to Genoa and Livorno and the mainland, and resumed the theoretical work he had ahead of him. He would outlive Garibaldi by two years, would spend those years in escalating conflict with Marx inside the International, would be expelled from the International in 1872 and die in Basel in 1876 without having seen the anarchist revolution he had spent his life describing. Garibaldi died in 1882, on Caprera, in the bed that is still in the house, with the clock on the wall that was stopped at the hour of his death.

What Bakunin took from the three days was a narrative of incorruptibility, which is one of the few things a revolutionary can export without customs paperwork. What he left behind was the same thing every visitor to the archipelago left: the impression of a passing, and then a silence.

The silence is worth sitting with for a moment. Mazzini in London, Marx in London, Herzen in Geneva, Lenin in Zurich: they passed through their respective islands of political space and left ideas behind. Nelson in La Maddalena: he passed through and left no permanent military consequence in the archipelago, only the detailed knowledge of its channels that informed his later planning. Bonaparte at Santo Stefano: he passed through and was repelled, and left nothing except the historical footnote. Bakunin on Caprera: he passed through and left a letter. The island absorbed all of them. It was already inhabited by people who had other things to do.

The Sardinian concept of this absorption does not have a theoretical name in the way that Hinge Island does in this book. It is more like a practiced indifference, learned across centuries of watching. You watch the fleet from the headland. You watch the soldiers march through. You watch the political exiles arrive with their papers and their theories and their urgent sense of their own moment. You go back to the garden. The garden is still there. The visitors, eventually, leave.

Today a low concrete bridge connects La Maddalena to Caprera. It is flat and undemonstrative, the kind of infrastructure that announces itself through its function rather than its design, and you drive across it in a few seconds without

quite registering the channel of water passing below. On the Caprera side, the road climbs through granite and scrub myrtle and rosemary toward the Compendio Garibaldino, the complex of museum buildings where Garibaldi's house has been maintained as it was: the rooms, the furniture, the red poncho on the chair, the clock on the wall stopped at the moment of his death on June 2, 1882. The white tomb in the garden holds him and his family, as he planned it.

The channel between the two islands is still there under the bridge, a few meters of salt water moving between rocks that have been here longer than any of the names assigned to them. The channel is the reason the hinge works. A hinge requires two surfaces and a pivot point. The channel is the pivot: narrow enough to cross in a rowboat, wide enough to make Caprera feel separate from the world, deep enough to hold the keel of a visiting anarchist's hired boat, shallow enough at the edges for a heron to stand in. A heron was standing in the shallows on the Caprera side on the morning I crossed. It did not move when the car passed overhead. It was not watching the history. It was watching the water.

The water does not know it is a hinge. The place does not know it is a hinge. The hinges are made by the people who pass through and by the people who never leave and by the distance between what the place is called and what the place is. That distance, in the case of the actual island of Sardinia, has been measured in the lives of men from Casale and Acqui who died of cholera in a war the island didn't choose, and in the three days of a Russian anarchist's visit to a garden where the most famous Italian alive was growing cabbages, and in the lease of a harbor on the Ligurian coast to the country those men had died fighting. None of these facts fit together the way historical facts are supposed to fit together. That is exactly why they fit together here.

Endnotes for this chapter are in Appendix D.

CHAPTER 8: THE LINGUISTIC FOSSIL

The decree arrived in the spring. The community had three months. Leave or convert: those were the choices, and the word “convert” was understood by everyone in the room to carry a specific technical meaning, which is to say it could be performed publicly while something else continued privately, behind closed shutters, in whispered Hebrew that the children were learning to say before they understood what they were saying. The building they prayed in would become a church. The street they lived on would receive a new name. Their neighbors, who had known them for generations, would watch the departure from upper-story windows and from the docks as the boats were loaded with whatever could be carried in the time remaining.

The city is not named in this paragraph. The community was broadly Sephardic, or more precisely Jewish and Aragonese-speaking, and the decree was the Alhambra Decree of March 31, 1492, issued by Ferdinand II of Aragon and Isabella I of Castile in the name of religious unity, enforced by the administrative machinery of a crown that had just completed the reconquest of Iberia and was now applying the same logic of unification to the people living within its borders who had not converted. Sardinia was part of the Crown of Aragon. It had been part of the Crown of Aragon since 1323. The communities in Cagliari’s Castello district and in Alghero’s medieval quarter and in the smaller settlement in Sassari all received the same notice under the same schedule. They had until the end of July.

Most left. Some converted. The building became a church. The street name changed. The community, which had been present on the island in documented form for at least fourteen hundred years, was gone within three months. And then something happened that the people who issued the decree had not planned and could not have prevented: the community left a word behind.

The scholar who has done the most systematic work to reconstruct what was in those buildings before the three months ran out is not a single person. The reconstruction has been distributed across generations and institutions, each researcher contributing a layer, and the result is something that looks less like a

discovery than like an archaeology of what was always there but never assembled in one place. David Noy at University College London produced the essential catalog, his *Jewish Inscriptions of Western Europe* (1993), which identified and described the red-painted menorahs and Latin epitaphs in the Jewish sector of the catacombs at Sant'Antioco, placing the Sardinian material in the context of the wider Mediterranean Jewish diaspora. The Medieval Archaeology department at the University of Sassari mapped the physical footprint of the Alghero quarter through the analysis of property records and street patterns, identifying which lanes and buildings had been part of the community before the expulsion. The Chenàbura Association in Cagliari, named for a word that encodes the community's memory in a way the community itself did not choose, maintains a small museum near the site of the former synagogue with lamps and ritual objects that illustrate the centuries of presence.

What these researchers have assembled is not a recovered community. The community is gone. What they have assembled is a portrait of a presence: who was here, for how long, under what conditions, and what they left behind when they were required to leave.

(My grandparents were Russian Jews who left the Soviet Union with their language intact and almost nothing else, and the double displacement this chapter traces, from Rome to Sardinia to the Ottoman harbors and then into a further European silence, is one I recognize in the architecture of their departure.)

The story does not begin in 1492. It begins in the first century of the Common Era, in the administrative convenience of an emperor who needed to solve two problems at once.

In 19 CE, the Roman Senate, acting under the pressure of Emperor Tiberius, ordered the expulsion of the Jewish community from the city of Rome. The primary sources disagree about the pretext, as they often do when the outcome is the same regardless of the stated reason. Tacitus, writing in his *Annals* (2.85), describes a Senate resolution ordering the deportation of four thousand freedmen “infected with those superstitions” to Sardinia, explicitly noting that if the unhealthy climate killed them the loss would be “cheap” (*vilis jactura*), a sentence that condenses an

entire theory of colonial labor into six Latin words. Suetonius, in his *Life of Tiberius* (36), focuses on the destruction of sacred vestments and the distribution of Jewish youth to provinces of poor climate under the pretext of military service. Josephus, in *Antiquities of the Jews* (18.3.5), provides a more narrative explanation: a financial scandal involving a Jewish confidence man and a Roman proselyte named Fulvia whose husband reported the crime to Tiberius, generating a general expulsion from a particular criminal case. Josephus was writing as a Jewish apologist; Tacitus and Suetonius were writing as Roman historians. The perspective shapes the account. The 4,000 exiles went to Sardinia either way. ^[1]

The stated purpose was practical. The Sardinian interior in the first century CE was controlled by indigenous tribes known as the *Sardi Pelliti*, who raided Roman agricultural settlements and resisted the administrative apparatus of the Roman province. The exiles were intended to serve as a buffer force in the southwest, in the Iglesiente region, while also providing labor for the lead and silver mines that made the territory economically valuable. The malaria that Tacitus mentions was endemic in the marshy coastal plains and the mining valleys. For the Roman state, the high mortality rate expected for these exiles was not a side effect of the policy but a feature of it: the island would perform attrition on a population the city no longer wanted.

Some of them survived. Some organized. The community at Sant'Antioco, the ancient Sulci of the Phoenician period, is the earliest archaeological evidence of a settled Jewish community on the island, and its presence is preserved in the volcanic rock beneath the Basilica of Sant'Antioco Martire, where both Jewish and Christian communities buried their dead in adjacent chambers of a catacomb complex that had originally been a Punic necropolis cut into the tufa between the fifth and third centuries BCE. The Jewish dead were placed in *loculi* and *arcosolia*, rectangular and arched niches for multi-generational burials, and the walls around them were decorated with the symbols that connected this community to the wider Mediterranean Jewish diaspora.

Noy's catalog identifies the most significant objects. The epitaph of a young woman named Beronice, JIWE I 170, is painted in red ochre on the wall of her arcosolium and includes Hebrew phrases including *Shalom*, the greeting that is also a prayer

and also a name. Another inscription in the corpus shows Hebrew letters written in the wrong direction, reversed by someone who knew the script was important but had lost the language that generated it. The community by the fourth century CE spoke Latin as its daily language, and Greek appears in some inscriptions as well; Hebrew had become ceremonial, a sign rather than a means of communication. The symbol still mattered. The content had thinned. ^[2]

Pope Gregory the Great, writing from Rome in July 599 CE, provides the most vivid single documentary trace of the community in the post-Roman period. Gregory wrote to Januarius, the Bishop of Cagliari, to resolve a specific dispute. A recently converted Jewish man named Peter had led a group of “undisciplined men” into the Cagliari synagogue on Easter Sunday and placed a cross, a Marian icon, and his own baptismal robe inside the building, apparently attempting to force its conversion to Christian use through symbolic occupation. Gregory ordered the bishop to remove the Christian objects and restore the building to the Jewish community. The Pope was not acting out of affection for Jewish practice; he was acting out of respect for Roman law, which he understood to protect existing Jewish institutions from violent conversion. The letter preserves, as a side effect of an administrative correction, the fact that there was a functioning synagogue in Cagliari in 599 CE with a community that was sufficiently established to require the Pope’s intervention on its behalf. ^[3]

The eight-century interval between the late antique catacombs and the Aragonese conquest of 1323 is not entirely blank, though the documents are thin enough that blank is the easier word for it. The Cairo Geniza, the enormous repository of documents from a synagogue storeroom in Old Cairo discovered by Solomon Schechter in 1896 and now distributed across archives in Cambridge, New York, and elsewhere, contains traces of a Jewish trading network in the western Mediterranean that included Sardinian products. Documents from the eleventh and twelfth centuries, analyzed at length by S.D. Goitein in his *A Mediterranean Society*, describe Jewish merchants moving silk, cotton, flax, salt, and metals through the hubs of Palermo, Mazara, and Cairo. Sardinia was a node in this network, smaller than Sicily but present. Whether Jewish physicians or moneylenders served the courts of the Sardinian *Giudicati*, the four independent kingdoms that ruled the

island from the ninth to the thirteenth centuries, is plausible but undocumented; the local archives of the Giudicati period are sparse, and what survives concerns land grants and ecclesiastical matters rather than mercantile communities. ^[4]

What the Aragonese period produced, beginning with the conquest of 1323, was documentation: names, property records, trial dossiers, royal decrees, and the physical evidence of buildings that can still be visited.

The Carcassona family financed King Peter IV of Aragon's siege of Alghero in 1354.

^[5] This fact, sitting quietly in the archival record of the Crown of Aragon in Barcelona, is the pivot of the entire Aragonese chapter of Sardinian Jewish history. The siege was the military operation by which the Crown consolidated its control over the last major Pisan-aligned city in Sardinia, and it required financing that the royal treasury could not provide in sufficient quantity on short notice. Jewish financiers in Cagliari and Alghero supplied it. The Carcassonas supplied enough of it that their name is still attached to a Catalan Gothic palace on what is now Via Príncipe Umberto in Alghero, a building that served as their family seat for the next century and a half and that was still their home in 1492 when the decree arrived.

In recognition of the 1354 financing, the Alghero Jewish community received privileges that stood outside the normal framework of Aragonese Jewish policy. They were granted judicial autonomy: the community's internal disputes would be adjudicated within the community rather than by royal courts. They were exempted from the requirement to wear the Jewish badge, the identifying mark that Jewish communities throughout Aragon, Catalonia, and Provence were required to display in public. They were permitted to affix the royal coat of arms to their synagogue, a gesture of integration so unusual in medieval Iberian context that later historians have repeatedly remarked on it. The community grew in the following decades, drawing immigrants from Catalonia, Majorca, Provence, and Sicily, and Alghero became the largest and most prosperous Jewish settlement on the island.

The physical record is still partially legible in the old town. The Torre dels Ebreus, now called the Porta Terra, the great tower at the entrance to the medieval walled city, was built in 1360 with construction costs paid entirely by the Jewish communities of Cagliari and Alghero as a gift to the Crown, a material

demonstration of loyalty and civic investment. The *Carrero dels hebreus*, the Street of the Jews, is still a narrow lane behind the Porta Mare, medieval in scale and compressed in dimension, bearing the intimate geometry of a quarter where houses and workshops and synagogue and community life were organized in close proximity. The synagogue was built in 1381 at what is now Piazza Santa Croce, expanded in 1438, and seized in 1492. In the diocesan archives of Alghero, a fourteenth-century Torah scroll that was apparently saved during the expulsion is still preserved, one of the very few liturgical objects to survive the dispersal intact.

In Cagliari's Castello district, the *juharia* ran along the spine of what is now Via Santa Croce, formerly the *Vico dei Giudei*, with the synagogue at the site of what became the Basilica of Santa Croce. The community was governed by a rabbinate and a board of elders and included physicians and merchants who served as intermediaries between the Sardinian population and the Aragonese administration. The Castello quarter sits on the highest ridge in Cagliari, commanding the harbor, the lagoons, and the southern plain. The community that lived there was not concealed. It was visible, privileged, and integral.

It had been present in Sardinia, in documented form, for nearly fourteen hundred years when the decree arrived.

The scale of that presence is worth holding. Fourteen hundred years is roughly the span between the Norman Conquest of England and the present day. It is more than twice the span between the American Revolution and now. The Jewish community of Sardinia was not a recent arrival; it was not a temporary settlement; it was not a community of transients who could be removed without disturbing the deep fabric of the island's life. It was, by 1492, as Sardinian as any other population on the island, woven into the commercial and medical and administrative life of every major city, present in the cuisine and the calendar and the architecture of the places it inhabited. The word *Chenàbura* had probably been in the Sardinian language for centuries before the expulsion. It had entered the language because the community was present and observable and integrated, not because someone decided to preserve it. No one decides to preserve these things. They preserve themselves, or they do not, and the difference is not in the intention but in the structure of the language that surrounds them.

The accumulating list of what happened elsewhere when communities received similar decrees clarifies, by contrast, what the Sardinian case is and is not.

The Marranos of Iberia, the *conversos* who remained in Spain and Portugal after 1492 and 1497 and performed Christianity publicly while maintaining Jewish practice privately, transmitted their identity through a system of household ritual and family knowledge that in some cases persisted for five hundred years.

Historians and anthropologists working in the twentieth century documented crypto-Jewish communities in rural New Mexico, descendants of Spanish colonists who had crossed the Atlantic in the sixteenth century and preserved, without fully understanding why, the habit of lighting candles on Friday evenings, of avoiding pork, of saying prayers whose Hebrew text had been lost but whose rhythm had survived. They did not identify themselves as Jewish. They knew they were different in a specific way that had been passed through grandmothers, and when scholars explained the etymology of their practices to them the recognition was the recognition of something that had been there all along without a name for it. ^[6]

The *kakure Kirishitan*, the hidden Christians of Tokugawa Japan, maintained a clandestine Christianity through two and a half centuries of persecution that began with the 1597 crucifixions in Nagasaki and ended only in 1873 with the formal lifting of the ban on Christianity. They preserved their faith by adapting it so thoroughly to the surrounding Buddhist and Shinto forms that the original content became nearly unrecognizable from outside. When Western missionaries established contact with these communities in the nineteenth century the negotiations about what they were and what they practiced and whether they were Christian in any recognizable sense were long and theologically complicated. The faith had survived by becoming something else. ^[7]

And then there is Ladino. The Jews expelled from Spain in 1492, who settled in the Ottoman Empire and in North Africa, carried their fifteenth-century Castilian Spanish with them as if it were property as sacred as the Torah scrolls. In Salonika, in Istanbul, in Sarajevo and Sofia and Izmir, they maintained Ladino, the Judeo-Spanish of the expulsion, for five hundred years as a living community language. If you speak modern Spanish and hear Ladino spoken, you hear your own language at

the age of five hundred: the vocabulary of Castile before the Atlantic expansion, the syntax before the simplifications of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, the pronunciation of a world that the peninsula itself has long since abandoned. The exiles carried the language out of Spain and preserved it in amber. Ladino is still spoken by perhaps one hundred thousand people today, a fossil of fifteenth-century Castile preserved in the mouths of people whose ancestors left before the language had time to change. ^[8]

The Jews who left Sardinia in 1492 did not carry their language out with them in the same way. They carried themselves, their Torah scrolls, their commercial skills and their connections to the Ottoman trading networks that absorbed them into Salonika and Istanbul. They rebuilt. In Salonika, alongside the other Sephardic refugees arriving from every corner of the former Aragonese sphere, they built one of the most remarkable Jewish communities in the Mediterranean world, a community that would survive until the German occupation of Greece in 1943 destroyed it in a matter of months, ending in Auschwitz what the expulsion of 1492 had transplanted from Sardinia to the Aegean.

What the Sardinian Jews left behind was not a language they carried. It was a word they forgot to take with them. Or more precisely: it was a word that had already left them, that had already entered the Sardinian language and become part of it, and that would stay there after they were gone because there was no mechanism for extracting a word from a language once a language has absorbed it.

The Sardinian word for Friday is *Chenàbura*. ^[9]

The etymology has been confirmed by scholars and is preserved in the name of the Chenàbura Association in Cagliari, which chose the word precisely because of what it encodes. *Chenàbura* derives from *Cena Pura*, the Jewish pre-Sabbath supper. Every Friday, for the centuries that the Jewish community was present in Sardinia, the Sardinian population who lived alongside that community observed, participated in, or simply witnessed the preparations for the *cena pura*, the pure supper that preceded the Sabbath: the cleaning of the house, the preparation of particular foods, the lighting of candles at sundown, the way the day had a different

quality from its neighbors. The name of the ritual entered the Sardinian vocabulary as the name of the day itself. When the community left, the name remained.

The mechanism is worth dwelling on. Languages do not preserve what they are told to preserve. They preserve what they use. The Sardinian word for Friday was not enshrined by an academy or a royal decree or a scholarly decision; it was preserved by the simple act of millions of people saying it on millions of consecutive Fridays across more than five centuries, not one of them aware they were maintaining a memory, not one of them aware that the community that generated the word had been erased from the island where the word was born. Language is democratic in this way, and also merciless: it keeps what it keeps without consulting anyone's preferences, including the preferences of the people whose history is embedded in it.

This is what the Linguistic Fossil is, as a named concept: the trace of a presence preserved not in stone or in archive but in the ordinary daily speech of people who did not know they were preserving anything. The Sant'Antioco catacombs are also a fossil, but they require expert interpretation: you have to be taken underground by a guide to see the red-painted menorahs, and even then you need to know enough to understand what you are looking at. The word *Chenàbura* requires nothing. It is in every mouth that speaks Sardinian. It is spoken at the market and in arguments and in the ordinary negotiation of a day's schedule. It is the most democratic form of memory that exists, which is to say it is completely invisible to the people who hold it.

This is the Linguistic Fossil. Not a preserved practice, like the New Mexico *conversos* lighting candles without knowing why. Not a preserved faith in disguised form, like the kakure Kirishitan. Not a preserved language, like the Ladino speakers of Salonika. A single word, embedded in the Sardinian language, carrying the memory of a community that generated it and departed and has been absent for more than five hundred years.

Every Sardinian who has ever said "Friday" in their own language has been saying a version of *Cena Pura*. Most of them have not known this. The linguistic fossil is invisible to the people who carry it, in the same way that the shape of an organism is invisible to the sediment that surrounds it, visible only when someone comes

along with the right training and the right light and looks at the shape the absence has left.

The Carcassona family did not leave in 1492. They converted. The head of the family in that year presented himself for baptism, retained the Catalan Gothic palace on Via Príncipe Umberto, and accepted the social and legal position of a New Christian, meaning he had formally abandoned Judaism and accepted Christianity and was now subject to the particular variety of surveillance that the Inquisition reserved for people whose conversion was viewed with skepticism. The Inquisition tribunal in Cagliari, established to monitor the sincerity of *conversos* throughout the island, brought members of the Carcassona family to trial in 1533 and again in 1586. Both trials concerned the same alleged offense: inviting foreign Jews as guests into their house in Alghero. The foreign Jews in question were merchants from North Africa or the Ottoman Empire who traveled with safe-conduct passes from the Crown, and their presence in a *converso* household was treated by the Inquisition as evidence of continued Jewish sympathy, of a failure to make the conversion complete.

Antonio Angelo Carcassona, born in 1515, studied at the universities of Bologna and Rome and became a doctor of both civil and canon law, achieving through European education a social status that his ancestral identity could not provide on its own. He was a figure of the Renaissance in the fullest sense: trilingual, legally trained, intellectually sophisticated, connected to the wider Italian and Spanish academic world. He was also the great-grandson of the men who had financed Peter IV's conquest and been rewarded with privileges that the Inquisition had spent four generations trying to qualify. His life is the biography of the Sardinian *converso* class at its most accomplished: a man of genuine European intellectual formation who remained, despite that formation, a subject of institutional suspicion because the institutional memory was longer than the conversion.

The Carcassona trials illustrate something about the Inquisition that is easy to miss when the institution is described in purely theological terms. The Inquisition was also an instrument of social control over wealth and status. The Carcassona family's crime, to the extent the word applies, was not theological but social: they were too prosperous, too well-connected, and too obviously descended from people whose

success had been tied to a Jewish identity that conversion was supposed to erase. The tribunal's interest in them was proportional to their visibility. A poor converso in a village who lit candles on Friday was unlikely to attract official attention. A family in a Gothic palace with Aragonese royal arms on their former synagogue was another matter entirely. The Inquisition was suppressed in the eighteenth century as Sardinia passed from Spanish to Savoyard rule. The Carcassonas had outlasted it. The community they came from had not outlasted the expulsion.

What the expulsion left behind, in addition to the single word, was a series of buildings wearing new identities. The synagogue of Cagliari became the Church of Santa Croce; the Jesuit Baroque facade visible today from Via Santa Croce sits over a fourteenth-century footprint that was once the religious heart of the *juharia*. The synagogue of Alghero became the Church of Santa Creu, which no longer stands, having been lost to subsequent urban changes. The Jewish cemetery near Porta Palabanda in Cagliari: gone. The Jewish cemetery near Sant'Agostino in Alghero: gone. The street names were changed from *Vico dei Giudei* to Via Santa Croce, from *Carrero dels hebreus* to the unnamed lanes in the contemporary tourist map of the old town. The buildings absorbed their new identities. The cemeteries disappeared under later construction. The street names changed.

The word did not change. It was not a building that could be repurposed or a cemetery that could be built over or a street whose name a city council could vote to replace. It was inside the Sardinian language, which is to say inside the mouths of everyone who spoke Sardinian, which is to say inside a territory that no administrative decree has ever successfully reached. Languages carry what their speakers carry, and their speakers do not always know what they are carrying.

What was lost in 1492 on the island goes beyond the synagogues and cemeteries. The community's records were not preserved: no rabbinical responsa survive from Cagliari, no communal account books from Alghero, no merchant correspondence from Sassari. The Aragonese royal archives in Barcelona contain references to the Sardinian Jewish communities from the outside, the royal perspective, the taxpayer perspective, the occasionally criminal-suspect perspective; the community's own internal documentation did not survive the departure or the subsequent five

centuries of administrative reorganization. What we know about the community's internal life comes almost entirely from the physical traces: the catacombs, the architecture of the quarters, the Torah scroll in Alghero's diocesan archive, the objects in the Chenàbura Association's small museum. The archive is the absence of an archive.

The recovery of this history is recent. For most of the four centuries between the expulsion and the present, the Jewish history of Sardinia was not a subject of systematic scholarly attention. Individual archaeologists noted the Sant'Antioco catacombs; individual historians found references to the Alghero community in the Aragonese archives in Barcelona; individual philologists noted the *Cena Pura* etymology of *Chenàbura*. The assembly of these fragments into a coherent narrative, the recognition that Sardinia had been home to a substantial and documented Jewish community for nearly fourteen hundred years, gained momentum in the late twentieth century with the maturation of the field of Sephardic studies and the growing international interest in recovering what had been deliberately erased.

In 2013, the city of Alghero formalized this recognition. The mayor issued an official apology for the 1492 expulsion and renamed a central square in the old town *Plaça de la Juharia*, the Jewish Quarter Square. The ceremony brought together the Medieval Archaeology department of the University of Sassari, the Union of Italian Jewish Communities, civic officials, and representatives of organizations dedicated to the recovery of Sephardic heritage across the former Aragonese sphere. The square received a trilingual plaque in Italian, Catalan, and Hebrew.

There is no functioning synagogue in Alghero today. There is no Jewish community. The apology was accepted by representatives of communities that are descended from the communities that left, not by the communities themselves, which is a logical impossibility that the ceremony managed by proceeding with dignity in the face of it. The plaque is real. The square is real. The word *Chenàbura* is real. The community that generated all three of these things is five hundred and thirty years gone.

The Plaça de la Juharia is in the oldest part of Alghero's walled town, where the medieval street pattern compresses into lanes narrow enough to touch both sides simultaneously if you extend your arms. The square is not large: a widening in the lane rather than a formal piazza, the kind of space that a medieval city creates not by planning but by the accumulated decisions of centuries of construction and demolition and reconstruction. The plaque is on the wall to the left as you enter from the Porta Mare side, and the trilingual inscription moves across the stone in three directions: Italian reads left to right, Catalan reads left to right, Hebrew reads right to left.

I stood there in the late afternoon, reading the inscription in the order it was given. The cat was sleeping at the base of the plaque, which cats have been doing at the bases of important stones for approximately as long as there have been important stones. The light was coming in from the west, from the direction of Spain, low and angled in the way that late-afternoon light in the western Mediterranean works the angles of old stone.

The word they left behind was luckier. The word was in a language whose speakers were not going anywhere.

The word for Friday in Sardinian is *Chenàbura*. It will be spoken tomorrow in the market in Sassari, in a conversation in a bar in Nuoro, by a grandmother explaining something to a grandchild in a village in the Barbagia. The community that made the word has been absent for more than five hundred years. Tomorrow a grandmother in the Barbagia will say *Chenàbura* to a grandchild, and neither of them will hear the Hebrew in it.

Endnotes for this chapter are in Appendix D.

CHAPTER 9: THE ONES WHO STAYED

The chart on the screen showed a cluster of dots. Each dot was a population. Modern French people, northern Europeans, southern Europeans, Anatolians, populations sampled from across the continent and from the Near East, all of them scattered across a two-dimensional space defined by the principal components of their genetic variation. The dots arranged themselves as dots in these analyses always do: in rough geographic order, a mirror of the map, populations that lived near each other clustering near each other in the genetic space. Most of the picture was exactly what you would expect from a mid-size European genome-wide dataset in the late 2010s.

One cluster was not where it was supposed to be.

A single population sat in a part of the diagram that no modern European population occupied. Not at the periphery of the European cloud, not slightly outside the mainstream cluster. Off by itself, in a region of the genetic space that the modern populations of continental Europe, from Portugal to the Urals, did not approach. The dot was close, instead, to the ancient DNA samples drawn from Neolithic European farmers, the skeletal remains of people who had been dead for five or six thousand years. Not close to the modern Europeans who lived in the same geographic neighborhood as those ancient bones. Close to the ancient bones themselves.

The population represented by that outlying cluster was the people of Sardinia.

Paolo Francalacci was, at the time this research program was generating its most significant results, a professor of genetics at the University of Sassari, in the same northern Sardinia where some of his study populations lived. He had been working on Sardinian population genetics since the 1990s, and his contributions to the field included early studies of Y-chromosome variation in the island's population that established, for anyone looking carefully, what the later genome-wide analyses would confirm at a much larger scale. ^[1]

The genome-wide confirmation came with the work of a team led by Johannes Krause at the Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology in Leipzig, with

contributions from Francalacci and from researchers including Johannes Haak, Wolfgang Haak, and David Reich, whose laboratory at Harvard has done more than any other single institution to transform the ancient DNA field into a discipline capable of tracking human population movements in real time across the Holocene. The paper, “Genetic history from the Middle Neolithic to present on the Mediterranean island of Sardinia,” was published in Nature Communications in 2020, with Jens Blöcher, Cosimo Posth, and Johannes Marcus among the listed authors; Marcus is often cited as lead author.^[2]

The finding that paper produced, and that the cluster on the chart represents, requires a specific framing to make sense. It is not that the Sardinians are somehow different from other Europeans in a mysterious or unexplained way. The finding has a very specific explanation, and the explanation is what makes it remarkable.

The story of European population genetics, as it has emerged from a decade of ancient DNA work, is the story of three waves.

The first wave was the Mesolithic hunter-gatherers, the people who occupied Europe after the glaciers retreated and before farming arrived, living in small bands across a landscape of forest and river and coastal plain. Their genetic signature has been documented in skeletal material from sites across the continent, from Iberia to Russia, and it is distinct from what followed.

The second wave was the Neolithic farmers, who arrived from Anatolia beginning around 9,000 years ago, moving west across the continent through a process that geneticists can now track almost year by year, expanding into territories previously held by the hunter-gatherers, replacing some populations and interbreeding with others, spreading the agricultural package: wheat, barley, cattle, sheep, settled villages, and the social complexity that settled villages enable. By around 6,000 years ago, Neolithic farmers occupied most of western and central Europe. Their genetic signature, the Anatolian Neolithic farmer profile, was the dominant component of the European genome in this period.

The third wave, the one that changed everything, came from the east. Between approximately 3,000 and 2,500 BCE, populations connected to the Yamnaya culture

of the Pontic-Caspian steppe began moving into Europe in numbers large enough to register as a population replacement in the skeletal record. The steppe people brought with them a genetic profile distinct from both the Mesolithic hunter-gatherers and the Neolithic farmers, one that includes what geneticists call the “steppe ancestry” component: a mix of Eastern European hunter-gatherer ancestry and Caucasus hunter-gatherer ancestry, associated with the domesticated horse, the wheel, the proto-Indo-European languages that would eventually diversify into most of the language families of modern Europe. The speed and scale of the movement were extraordinary. Within a few centuries, the genetic profile of the European continent shifted dramatically. The Anatolian Neolithic farmer ancestry that had dominated for three thousand years was diluted, in some northern and central European populations, to a small fraction of the total ancestry.

The modern populations of Europe carry all three layers in different proportions. Northern Europeans carry more steppe ancestry. Southern Europeans carry more Neolithic farmer ancestry. The gradient runs from northwest to southeast, with variations that map onto ancient archaeological cultures and modern language distributions in ways that have generated a decade of scholarly papers.

The Sardinians are an outlier in this system because the third wave, the steppe expansion, did not reach them in the same way it reached continental Europe.

Before the molecular evidence arrived to confirm it, the archaeological record had already registered the isolation in a different medium. The four monument classes that define nuragic Sardinia as a material culture each tell the same story of forms that evolved in place and were reproduced nowhere else in their developed configurations.

The nuraghe, a truncated conical tower of dry-stone masonry with internal corbel-vaulted chambers rising to multiple stories, has attracted comparison since the nineteenth century. Corsican torri share the turriform plan; Balearic talayots share the conical silhouette and Cyclopean construction. Neither reproduces the Sardinian form. The torri lack the multi-story corbel-vaulted complexity that defines the classic nuraghe; the talayots are later, simpler, and structurally distinct. The sacred well, the pozzo sacro, with its descending trapezoid staircase, tholos-vaulted chamber, and astronomically calibrated aperture, has a single known structural

parallel, the Garlo pit in Bulgaria, whose chronology and direction of influence remain unresolved. The tomba dei giganti, the collective gallery grave fronted by a curved exedra and a central stele with its false door, shares its gallery-grave ancestry with Menorcan navetas and Atlantic court tombs, all of which are Neolithic in origin and predate the nuragic versions by a millennium or more. The bronzetto, the small cast-bronze figurine produced in nuragic sanctuaries by the hundreds, has no named parallel tradition anywhere in the contemporary Mediterranean.

The western Mediterranean produced cousin tower-and-tomb traditions. None of them reproduces the Sardinian repertoire. The four forms evolved on the island from local antecedents, over roughly a thousand years of continuous development, in a population whose genetic profile was diverging from the continental norm for the same reason the architecture was: the water held, and what developed behind it developed in place.^[6]

To understand what the outlier position means, it helps to understand what population replacement in the genetic record actually looks like, and how confident researchers have become that it happened.

The steppe expansion's genetic signature was first clearly documented in ancient DNA from Corded Ware culture burials in Germany and Scandinavia, dated roughly to 2800-2200 BCE. Corded Ware was an archaeological complex that spread rapidly across northern and central Europe at exactly the period when the Yamnaya steppe expansion was reaching the continent's edges. The ancient DNA from Corded Ware individuals showed, when compared to the ancient DNA from earlier Neolithic farmer populations buried in the same geographic regions, a dramatic and rapid shift: the Anatolian Neolithic farmer component that had dominated those regions was present at reduced levels, and a new ancestry component, the steppe profile, was present at levels ranging from 40 to 75 percent of the total. ^[5]

The tempo was important. These were not samples from across many thousands of years, averaged together into a smooth gradient. These were samples from adjacent centuries, sometimes from adjacent valleys, and the shift between them was sharp. The Neolithic farmer populations of central Europe did not slowly admix with steppe populations over many generations and arrive gradually at their

modern profiles. There was a before and an after, with a relatively brief transition between them.

The inference is statistical and carries genuine uncertainty, as the researchers who produced the original analyses were careful to say. Population replacement does not leave a DNA sample that says “we were replaced.” It leaves a population of dead people whose ancestry profile shifts dramatically within a few centuries, and the interpretation requires ruling out alternative explanations: drift, selection, model misspecification. The researchers publishing in this area have engaged with those alternatives seriously. The current scholarly consensus, which is not unanimous but is robust, is that the scale and speed of the shift are most parsimoniously explained by a large-scale movement of people, not by selection operating on existing variation.

The scale matters. A steppe component that accounts for fifty percent of the ancestry of a population is not a story about a few traders who arrived, intermarried, and left their genes in small proportions across the local gene pool. It is a story about a lot of people, moving in a direction, over a period of centuries, in numbers large enough to constitute a majority of the ancestors of the people who came after. The populations of Neolithic Europe were not exterminated. They were not, as far as anyone can tell, the objects of organized violence on the scale that would produce the observed genetic outcome by massacre alone. What they were was demographically overwhelmed: outcompeted, absorbed, marginated, and eventually reduced to a minority ancestry fraction in a population that had been substantially theirs within living memory. How you understand that process depends on what theoretical framework you bring to demography. What you cannot do, looking at the ancient DNA record, is pretend it did not happen.

The island sits in the middle of the western Mediterranean, and water is an obstacle. The steppe expansion that covered the European continent in the centuries around 2500 BCE moved overland, following river valleys and grassland corridors. It crossed mountain ranges and transformed densely forested landscapes. What it did not do, with anything like the same efficiency, was cross open water to a large and mountainous island that had no particular attraction for a pastoral

economy oriented around horses and cattle. The Sardinians were not completely isolated from what was happening on the continent. The Bell Beaker phenomenon, the network of prestige objects, funerary customs, and possibly mobile pastoralist groups that spread across western Europe in the late third millennium BCE, left material traces on Sardinia: pottery, metal objects, burial associations that carry the characteristic Bell Beaker assemblage. ^[3]

But material traces and population replacement are different things. The Bell Beaker phenomenon in Sardinia, as Gary Webster and Maud Webster document carefully in their 2017 study, shows up as a thin cultural layer, a pattern of imported objects and adapted burial customs, imposed on a population that continued to occupy its settlements and maintain its agricultural practices largely without disruption. The Bell Beaker people were on Sardinia. The Sardinian people were not replaced by them. The aDNA work that followed Webster and Webster's analysis made the archaeological inference molecular: when the skeletal samples from Bronze Age Sardinia are analyzed for their genetic composition, the steppe ancestry component that accounts for a substantial fraction of most modern European genomes is present in Sardinian material only as a trace. The population that was farming the island's interior valleys in 2500 BCE was still farming them in 1500 BCE. And that population was descended, in unbroken biological line, from the Neolithic farmers who had arrived from Anatolia millennia earlier and built a world on the island before any other outside force had the means or the interest to reach them.

The word "outlier" does not quite capture what the Sardinian position on the genetic chart means. To understand it properly, you need to sit with what the alternative would look like.

In Britain, the ancient DNA samples from Neolithic populations, the builders of Stonehenge and the tomb complexes of the Orkney Islands and the long barrows of Wiltshire, show an Anatolian Neolithic farmer profile. The modern population of Britain shows a very different genetic profile: substantially steppe-shifted, reflecting the Bronze Age replacement that swept across northern Europe. The people who built Stonehenge, who arranged the great trilithons in their precise astronomical alignments and who deposited the cremated remains of several hundred individuals

in the ditch around the monument, share more DNA with modern Sardinians than they do with modern Britons. The descendants of the builders of Stonehenge are not in Wiltshire. They are on a Mediterranean island.

This is not a mystical statement. It is a demographic one. The steppe expansion was real, and its genetic consequences on the British Isles were severe: the Neolithic farmer ancestry that dominated Britain in 2500 BCE had been reduced to a small minority fraction within a few centuries. Whatever the specific social mechanisms were, whether primarily violent, or primarily involving differential reproductive success, or some combination of processes that archaeology and genetics are still working to untangle, the genetic discontinuity is not in question.

In Sardinia, the discontinuity is not there. The Neolithic farmer profile that shows up in the ancient DNA from Bronze Age Sardinian burials shows up again, at very similar frequencies, in the blood samples of the modern population. The water held. The steppe did not cross it.

What this means for specific individuals, living and dead, requires a lateral step across the full range of what the genetic research has done to the map of European population history.

Consider the Basques of northern Spain and southern France. They are another population with an anomalous genetic position relative to their continental neighbors, one that geneticists have tracked in ancient DNA samples from the Basque Country across several millennia. The Basque genome shows a relatively high proportion of Neolithic farmer ancestry compared to surrounding Iberian and French populations, which had undergone more thorough admixture with the steppe population. Where modern Iberians outside the Basque Country carry steppe ancestry at proportions ranging from roughly 20 to 35 percent, the Basque profile places steppe ancestry at the lower end of that range and Neolithic farmer ancestry at proportionally higher levels, a difference detectable in population genetic comparisons and traceable in ancient samples from before and after the Bronze Age transition. The Basques also speak a language that is demonstrably not Indo-European, not related to any other living language family, a structure that reaches back to before the Indo-European expansion that accompanied the steppe

movement. The genetic signature and the linguistic signature point in the same direction: a population that received the steppe wave at reduced intensity, that maintained a degree of genetic and linguistic continuity that the surrounding populations did not. ^[4]

The Sami of northern Scandinavia represent a different kind of outlier: a population whose genetic profile is distinct from their Scandinavian neighbors in both directions, carrying more ancient hunter-gatherer ancestry than the farming populations to their south, maintaining a language family (Finno-Ugric) that is separate from the Indo-European languages spoken by most of their continental neighbors. The Sami case is complicated in a way that the Sardinian case is not: the Sami are not primarily Neolithic farmer survivors; they are primarily descended from populations that predate the Neolithic farmer expansion as well as the steppe expansion, and their genetic distinctiveness reflects a different kind of continuity, the persistence of a hunter-gatherer population at the northern margins of both the farming frontier and the steppe frontier. They are a living record of a demographic history that the dominant populations of Scandinavia had largely overwritten by a different route. The geography that protected them was not water but latitude and cold.

The Welsh of Britain, like the Basques, speak a Celtic language that survived the Anglo-Saxon and Norse arrivals in the British Isles partly because they were pushed to the geographic margins, to Wales and Cornwall and the Scottish Highlands, where the new arrivals' administrative and agricultural interest was insufficient to drive them out entirely. The Welsh genome shows the same post-steppe admixture profile as any other British population, because the Celtic arrivals were themselves part of the Bronze Age steppe-related movement. But the Celtic linguistic survival in Wales is a parallel to the Sardinian case in one respect: the geographic features of the peninsula, the mountains of Snowdonia, the poor agricultural land of the western coast, the distance from the lowland zones that Anglo-Saxon settlers preferred, preserved something that would otherwise have been absorbed. The mechanism was the same mechanism that operated in Sardinia: the less attractive the terrain, the less thoroughgoing the replacement.

The Ainu of northern Japan are the furthest analogue on this list: the indigenous population of the Japanese archipelago, now living primarily in Hokkaido, whose

genetic profile differs substantially from the mainland Japanese population in ways that reflect a different prehistory, one that includes more genetic continuity with the ancient populations of the Pacific Rim before the agricultural expansion of the Yayoi people from the Korean peninsula transformed the islands' demographics roughly two thousand years ago. Ancient DNA work on human remains from sites in Hokkaido and from pre-Yayoi sites in Honshu has confirmed that the pre-Yayoi "Jomon" population of Japan shares genetic affinity with the modern Ainu in ways that the modern mainland Japanese population does not, reflecting the incomplete reach of the agricultural demographic expansion to the island's northern periphery. The Ainu language is unrelated to Japanese or to any other language family currently spoken in East Asia.

The list is not decoration. It is the accumulating structure of a single observation: that geography, and specifically the geography of islands and mountain refugia and oceanic margins, tends to preserve demographic profiles that continental populations have overwritten. The same force that drove the steppe expansion overland, efficiently and at scale, across the plains and river valleys of Europe, was slowed or stopped at the water's edge. What was preserved on the other side of the water was not special. It was simply continuous. The specialness is what everything on the continental side lost.

FrancaLacci, when his team's results were being compared against the continental samples in Leipzig, was in Sassari, looking at a screen.

The specific mechanics of how Johannes Marcus and his colleagues extracted the ancient DNA and turned it into the cluster on the chart are worth dwelling on, because the method is as interesting as the result.

Ancient DNA is not like modern DNA. It is degraded: broken into short fragments by millennia of bacterial activity, chemical hydrolysis, and the simple passage of time. The degree of degradation depends on burial conditions, soil chemistry, temperature, and the specific tissue being sampled. Bones from a cool, dry cave preserve DNA better than bones from a warm, wet coastal site. Teeth, because of the dense hydroxyapatite matrix of the enamel, preserve better than cortical bone in many environments. The sequencing libraries built from ancient DNA samples

contain many short reads, often under 50 base pairs in length, interspersed with reads from soil bacteria and fungi and other environmental sources that contaminated the bone over centuries. The research teams that produce ancient DNA results spend substantial effort distinguishing the human reads from the environmental noise and establishing that the DNA they are analyzing actually came from the ancient individual rather than from the researchers who handled the sample or the soil that surrounded it.

For the Marcus et al. 2020 paper, the 70 ancient individuals came from 23 archaeological sites across Sardinia, spanning the Neolithic, Chalcolithic, Copper Age, and Bronze Age, as well as later periods through the medieval. The samples represented the full geographic range of the island, from the northern highlands to the southern Campidano plain, from the Sinis peninsula on the west coast to the Nuoro province in the interior. The range of sites meant that the researchers were not looking at one local population and extrapolating; they were looking at what the population structure of the island looked like across its entire inhabited territory, across four thousand years of human occupation.

When those samples were plotted against modern reference populations and against other ancient European samples, the picture that emerged was stable in a way that continentally sampled ancient DNA almost never is. The Neolithic Sardinian samples, the people who buried their dead in the Ozieri culture rock-cut tombs in the fourth millennium BCE, clustered tightly with other contemporary western Mediterranean Neolithic farmer populations. The Bronze Age Sardinian samples, from the period of the nuraghi, clustered with them too. The later samples showed some drift and some admixture, as expected, but the core ancestry profile persisted. The people who built the earliest nuraghi and the people who built the last nuraghi and the people buried in the cemeteries of Phoenician-period Tharros had a continuous biological thread running through them that no comparable geographic region in continental Europe can show.

The molecular record confirms what Lilliu argued from material culture alone.

The confirmation has a specific shape. The Marcus et al. 2020 paper analyzed 70 ancient Sardinian individuals spanning a period from roughly 6,000 BCE to 1300 CE,

and compared their genetic profiles to each other and to modern Sardinian populations. The picture that emerged was one of extraordinary stability: the Neolithic and Chalcolithic populations of the island showed a profile closely related to other contemporary Neolithic farmer populations across the western Mediterranean, and that profile persisted, with only modest shifts, through the Bronze Age, the Iron Age, the Phoenician and Roman periods, the medieval centuries, and into the modern era.

The “modest shifts” matter and are worth being honest about. The island was not hermetically sealed. There is detectable ancient North African ancestry in some coastal populations, presumably arriving with the Phoenician and Punic presence that built cities on the western coast from the eighth century BCE onward. There is detectable steppe ancestry, probably arriving with Bronze Age contact rather than being entirely absent. The genetic picture is not of perfect isolation; it is of a population that absorbed influences without being replaced by them, that maintained a core of Neolithic farmer ancestry through every historical period that has left material evidence in the ground.

The steppe ancestry that accounts for roughly half the ancestry of many northern and central European modern populations accounts for a substantially smaller fraction in Sardinia. The Neolithic farmer ancestry that continental Europeans reduced to a minority component is, in Sardinia, still the majority. The modern Sardinian is more closely related, genetically, to the person who built the earliest nuraghi than any other modern European population is to any comparable ancient sample from its own territory.

There is a shepherd in Orune today. Orune is a village in the Nuoro province, in the highlands of central Sardinia that the Romans called the Barbagia, the terrain that neither Carthage nor Rome nor any subsequent empire fully administered. The shepherd’s family has been in these hills for as long as anyone in the village can trace. He farms the same land, speaks the same Logudorese Sardinian that his grandparents spoke, attends the same feast days at the same sanctuaries his grandparents attended.

A kilometer and a half down a trail from the village, at the bottom of a valley where a spring runs year-round, the stone walls of Su Tempiesu still hold the water they were built to hold three thousand years ago.

The shepherd and the mason who built Su Tempiesu share more DNA with each other than a modern Roman shares with Augustus. This is not a poetic approximation. It is a genetic fact, checkable, based on the actual ancient DNA of ancient Roman populations analyzed and compared to modern Italian populations, whose steppe ancestry component is substantially higher than what the ancient Italian samples show, and against the ancient and modern Sardinian samples, which show the Neolithic continuity that the other populations have diluted. The Roman Empire moved through Sardinia and left its road network and its administrative centers and its amphitheatres and its provincial nomenclature. What it did not do is replace the population that was already there.

The period between the mason at Su Tempiesu and the shepherd in Orune spans roughly three thousand years. It contains the entire history of classical antiquity, the rise and fall of Carthage and Rome, the Vandal invasions, the Byzantine reconquest, the Arab raids that depopulated the coasts in the ninth century, the medieval period of the Giudicati kingdoms when Sardinia was divided into four autonomous territories, the long centuries of Pisan and Genoese commercial domination, the Aragonese conquest and the Spanish centuries, the transfer to the House of Savoy in 1718, and the integration of Sardinia into the unified Italian state after 1861. These are not small events. They involved armies, administrators, tax collectors, colonists from mainland powers, clergy, traders, and settlers who came to the island with their own languages, laws, and genetic profiles. The island absorbed them. They came to the coasts and the port cities. The interior remained.

What the genetics show is that the process of absorption was real but incomplete in the direction that matters for this argument. The Marcus et al. 2020 paper's analysis of the later period samples, including medieval individuals, shows the accumulation of admixture from incoming populations over the centuries. North African ancestry from the Punic period. Some Roman-period continental ancestry. The patterns of admixture that you would expect from an island that participated, against its will

and on its own terms, in the larger history of the Mediterranean. None of this admixture was sufficient to shift the population’s genetic center of gravity away from the Neolithic farmer baseline that had characterized the island since the fourth millennium BCE. The center held. The Neolithic thread is still the dominant signal.

The Mont’e Prama Giants, in the gallery at Cabras since March 2026, face whoever enters the room. They are taller than you are. Their eyes are large concentric circles in sandstone faces that have no other features, and they are pointed toward the door. They were made in the ninth or eighth century BCE, in the last generations of the nuragic civilization, by people who were the biological descendants of the Neolithic farmers who first cultivated the Campidano plain, who were the ancestors, in an unbroken biological line, of the shepherd in Orune today.

The Cabras museum room is high-ceilinged and lit from above, and the light falls on twenty-five sandstone faces with large concentric circles for eyes.

They are still here.

Endnotes for this chapter are in Appendix D.

APPENDIX A: AN EIGHT-DAY ROUTE

Dates: Monday 29 June 2026 to Tuesday 7 July 2026. Arrival at Olbia Costa Smeralda Airport (OLB) Monday morning, departure Tuesday morning. Eight nights on the ground.

Overview: The route runs counterclockwise from the northeast corner of the island (Gallura and the Maddalena archipelago), south along the western coast through Alghero and the Sassari plain, across the SS131 corridor to the Sinis peninsula, and south to Cagliari, with a final northern spike to the Barbagia highlands on the last full day. Nine calendar days, two of which are partial (arrival and departure). Seven effective site-visit days.

Booking priorities: Hotels for all eight nights are capacity-critical in late June and early July. Book immediately. The Cabras night in particular has limited hotel stock. Monte d'Accoddi requires a guided tour slot booked in advance (closed Mondays, so Friday 3 July is the target). Su Tempiesu requires prior contact with Cooperativa L.A.R.Co. for English-language guide service. The Maddalena boat tour should be reserved before peak season fills operators.

Day 1 (Arrival): Monday 29 June

Base: Arzachena, Costa Smeralda area.

The Delta 216 from JFK lands at OLB at approximately 9:00 AM. Rental car pickup at the airport takes 60 to 90 minutes with documentation and vehicle inspection; allow until 10:30 AM before departure. The drive from the airport to Arzachena is approximately 30 minutes.

After check-in and lunch, the afternoon is long enough for two of the must-have sites on the trip.

- **Coddu Vecchiu** (tomba dei giganti, Arzachena). 1 hour. Open Monday through Sunday 9:00 to 20:00. The 4.4-meter granite central stele is the best-preserved and largest of its type in the Gallura region. Ticket office is shared with La Prisgiona (next stop). Single entry €4, combined ticket with La Prisgiona and Albucciu available up to €20 via GE.SE.CO. Arzachena.

Cross-reference: Chapter 3 (The False Door), which uses Coddù Vecchiù as its central case for the architecture of the false door across ancient cultures.

- **Nuraghe La Prisgiona** (Arzachena). 1.5 hours. Open Monday through Sunday 9:00 to 20:00. The best-fitted nuraghe in the Gallura cluster: polylobate plan, intact corbel-vaulted main chamber, two side towers, curtain walls, and an active well in the courtyard, with approximately 100 hut foundations surrounding the complex. *Cross-reference: Chapter 1 (The 25), for context on the nuragic civilization that produced both the towers and the statues.*
- **Nuraghe Albucciu** (Arzachena, optional, standby). 1 hour. Open Monday through Sunday 9:00 to 20:00. A corridor-type nuraghe atypical in plan, worth adding if the afternoon remains. Can also fold into Day 1 if time runs short today.

Driving today: approximately 1 hour total.

Evening: Dinner in Arzachena.

Risk flag: Arrival fatigue is real after a transatlantic overnight flight. Keep Day 1 light. La Prisgiona and Coddù Vecchiù are both within a kilometer of each other; no long drives required.

Day 2: Tuesday 1 July, Maddalena Archipelago Boat Day

Base: Arzachena (same).

The Maddalena archipelago sits off the northeastern coast of Sardinia, accessible by ferry from the port of Palau, 25 minutes from Arzachena. A full-day boat tour of the archipelago is the day's anchor.

- **Drive to Palau.** Depart by 8:30 AM. Park at Via Fonte Vecchia (approximately €2/hour, coins only). Arrive at port 30 minutes before departure time.

- **Maddalena archipelago boat tour.** Full day, 9:30 AM to approximately 17:30 to 18:00 PM. Operators include Lady Luna 2, Elena Tour, Sea Star, Consorzio Bocche di Bonifacio, and NaTour Sardinia. Price varies by operator and boat size (approximately €60 to €120 per person for a full-day tour with lunch). Park landing fee: €5/person cash on the day. Book in advance for July high season. *Cross-reference: Chapter 7 (The Hinge Island), which traces the historical geography of the Maddalena archipelago from Napoleon Bonaparte's 1793 bombardment of La Maddalena from the heights of Santo Stefano to Nelson's 1803 to 1805 waiting base to the Garibaldi farm on Caprera where Bakunin arrived in 1864.*
- **Nuraghe Albucciu** (if not visited Day 1). 1 hour. Open 9:00 to 20:00.

Driving today: approximately 1 hour round-trip to Palau.

Evening: Dinner in Arzachena. Rest in advance of a long drive day tomorrow.

Day 3: Wednesday 2 July, Transit Arzachena to Alghero

Base: Alghero, Catalan old town.

Hotel checkout from Arzachena. The drive from Arzachena to Alghero is approximately 2 hours via the SS125 and SS292.

- **Nuraghe Palmavera** (10 km from Alghero, optional standby). 1 hour. Open May through September daily 9:00 to 19:00. Bilobate tower with a “meeting hut” and a baetyl (sacred stone). Combined ticket with Anghelu Ruju €8. *Cross-reference: Chapter 1, for the broader nuragic context.*
- **Alghero old town walk.** 2 to 3 hours. No ticket required for the town itself. The Catalan ramparts overlooking the sea, the Piazza Cívica, Palazzo d’Albis where Charles V reportedly stayed in 1541, San Francesco cloister with its medieval-Renaissance column mixture, the Cattedrale, and the Torre dels Ebreus (the Jews’ Tower, 1360). *Cross-reference: Chapter 8 (The Linguistic Fossil), which covers the Alghero Jewish community, the Carcassona family,*

the synagogue site at what is now the church of Santa Creu, and the 2013 renaming of Plaça de la Juharia in the medieval town. The Torre dels Ebreus was financed by the Jewish communities of Cagliari and Alghero as a gift to the Aragonese Crown.

Driving today: approximately 2 hours.

Evening: Dinner in Alghero old town. Alghero specialty: lobster (*aragosta*).

Day 4: Thursday 3 July, Alghero Base, Castelsardo Loop

Base: Alghero (same).

- **Necropoli di Anghelu Ruju** (15 minutes from Alghero airport, optional). 1.5 hours. Open May through September daily 9:00 to 19:00. 38 rock-cut hypogea (domus de janas), fourth to third millennium BCE, the most extensive necropolis of its type on the island. Bring a flashlight or phone torch for the interior chambers. Combined ticket with Palmavera €8. *Cross-reference: Chapter 3, for the broader context of pre-nuragic funerary architecture.*
- **Drive to Castelsardo.** Approximately 50 minutes from Alghero via the SS291.
- **Castelsardo old quarter.** The hilltop town has a medieval castle now housing the Museo dell'Intreccio Mediterraneo (basketwork museum; open July daily 9:00 to 24:00), the Cattedrale di Sant'Antonio Abate, and views extending to the Gulf of Asinara and, on clear days, Corsica. Budget 1.5 hours for the old town. *Cross-reference: Chapter 6 (The Walking Inland), for the broader context of medieval Sardinian settlement patterns on defensible heights.*
- **Lunch in Castelsardo.** Seafood specialty: lobster.
- **Roccia dell'Elefante** (5 km southeast of Castelsardo on the SP134). Free outdoor monument. A trachyte monolith shaped by erosion into a

recognizable elephant profile, with two pre-nuragic domus de janas cut into its base and a bull-horn relief carved into the right-hand chamber wall. 30 minutes. *Cross-reference: Chapter 3, for the domus de janas tradition and its relationship to the Giants' Tomb form.*

- **Nuraghe Paddaggiu** (1 km east of Roccia, optional). Free. 30 minutes. A late-phase nuraghe easily folded into the Roccia stop.
- **Drive back to Alghero.** Approximately 50 minutes.

Driving today: approximately 2.5 hours.

Evening: Dinner Alghero.

Day 5: Friday 4 July, Transit Alghero to Cabras (Heaviest Stonework Day)

Base: Cabras, Sinis peninsula.

Hotel checkout. This is the longest driving day and the densest concentration of monumental architecture on the route.

- **Drive to Monte d'Accoddi.** Approximately 30 minutes from Alghero via the SP41.
- **Monte d'Accoddi** (near Sassari). 1 hour on guided tour. Open Tuesday through Sunday 10:00 to 18:00 (last entry 17:00); **CLOSED MONDAYS**. First tour at 10:00, then every 90 minutes. €4. Book a slot in advance via +39 079 2008072 or infosassari@comune.sassari.it; verify English-language availability for the 10:00 AM tour on Friday 4 July. *Cross-reference: Chapter 2 (The Stepped Form), which opens on Monte d'Accoddi and uses it to develop the argument about the Mesopotamian origins of the two-platform ziggurat form, the Red Temple, the red-painted plaster, and the albino construction sequence that has no parallel in western Mediterranean Neolithic tradition.*
- **Drive to Torralba.** Approximately 1 hour via the SS131.

- **Nuraghe Santu Antine** (Torralba). 1.5 hours. Open daily 9:00 to 20:00 in summer. Approximately €10 with the downloadable audio-guide app (free app, ticket purchased on site). “Sa domu de su re” (the house of the king): a trilobate nuraghe with a central tower originally approximately 25 meters high, intact tholos chambers stacked vertically, and isodomic basalt masonry that represents the finest dressed-stone work in the nuraghe typology. Often uncrowded. *Cross-reference: Chapter 4 (The Lead Joint), for isodomic opus construction and its Bronze Age Mediterranean context; Chapter 2, for the nuragic architectural tradition in which Santu Antine represents the mature stage.*
- **Lunch.** Snack bar on site or in Torralba.
- **Drive to Abbasanta.** Approximately 30 minutes via the SS131.
- **Nuraghe Losa** (Abbasanta). 1.5 hours. Open daily 8:30 to 1 hour before sunset. €6. Trilobate, polished basalt, intact corbel-vaulted main chamber, internal spiral staircase to the top of the central tower. The basalt at Losa has a darker surface and tighter joint work than the limestone nuraghi of the Gallura. *Cross-reference: Chapter 4, for the Bronze Age architectural context.*
- **Drive to Cabras.** Approximately 30 minutes via the SS131.

Driving today: approximately 3 hours total. Long but manageable if the Monte d’Accoddi 10:00 AM tour is booked.

Evening: Dinner in Cabras. Cabras specialty: bottarga di muggine (mullet roe).

Risk flag: Monte d’Accoddi is closed Mondays. Friday 4 July is correct as planned.

Any reschedule that shifts this day to a Monday requires moving Monte d’Accoddi or dropping it.

Day 6: Saturday 5 July, Sinis Day, then Drive to Cagliari

Base: Cagliari.

Hotel checkout (or late checkout if available). This day contains the single most technically refined site on the route.

- **Drive to Pozzo Sacro Santa Cristina.** Approximately 25 minutes from Cabras.
- **Pozzo Sacro Santa Cristina** (Paulilatino). 2 hours. Open daily 8:30 to sunset. €9 full price, €5 reduced. Hourly guided tours included in the ticket price. The best-preserved nuragic sacred well: isodomic masonry of millimetric precision, 25 trapezoidal steps descending to a circular pool inside a corbelled tholos dome, T-shaped anchor blocks, friction-bound joints without mortar. Aligned to the equinox sunrise and, once every 18.61 years, to the major lunar standstill. The site also includes a small nuraghe, several menhirs, and the medieval muristenes (stone communal houses) where the June novenary families still sleep during the nine-day gathering. *Cross-reference: Chapter 5 (The Closed Cult), which uses Santa Cristina as the central case for the concept of a sacred site that outlives its religion because the people who built it stayed. The lunar standstill alignment and the continuing novenary are both treated at length.*
- **Drive to Tharros.** Approximately 30 minutes.
- **Tharros** (Sinis peninsula). 2 hours. Open June to July daily 9:00 to 19:00. Combined ticket with Cabras Museum recommended: €15 for museum plus Tharros plus Torre Spagnola, €18 with the Mont'e Prama excavation site. Book via Coopculture online or +39 0783 290 636. The Phoenician, Punic, and Roman city on the tip of the peninsula: the decumanus and cardo road grid still walkable, two Corinthian columns from a first-century CE temple still standing, the tophet precinct on the northern hill, the Spanish watchtower at Capo San Marco above it all. *Cross-reference: Chapter 6 (The Walking Inland), which traces Tharros from its Phoenician foundation around 750 BCE through its Roman transformation to its eleventh-century abandonment and the community's move to Oristano.*
- **Lunch.** Bars and trattorias clustered near the church of San Giovanni di Sinis.

- **Museo Civico Giovanni Marongiu, Cabras** (Cabras Museum). 2.5 hours. Open June 1 through September 30 daily 10:00 to 20:00, last entry 19:15. €6.50 standalone, or included in the combined Tharros ticket. All 25 Mont'e Prama Giants in the Sala del Paesaggio, plus 15 nuraghe models, a baetyl, and 40 total objects. Indoor air conditioning: useful as a midday heat shelter. *Cross-reference: Chapter 1 (The 25), which opens in this room on 4 March 2026 with the assembled statues, traces the discovery in 1974, the excavation history, the 25-year institutional delay, and the 2011 installation. Chapter 9 (The Ones Who Stayed) closes in this room.*
- **Mont'e Prama excavation site** (optional, 30 minutes). Included in the combined ticket if the €18 version was purchased. Open-air dig, occasional guided access via the museum or the Mont'e Prama Foundation. A kilometer from the museum, visible from the road.
- **Drive Cabras to Cagliari.** Approximately 1.5 hours via the SS131.

Driving today: approximately 3 hours.

Evening: Dinner in Cagliari Marina or Castello district.

Day 7: Sunday 6 July, Cagliari Full Day

Base: Cagliari (same).

Note: Sunday 6 July 2026 is the first Sunday of July. **MANC is free entry that day** (first Sunday of the month). Expect crowds. Arrive at 8:30 AM opening.

- **MANC (Museo Archeologico Nazionale di Cagliari).** 2.5 to 3 hours. Open daily 8:30 to 19:30, ticket office closes 18:45. Free on first Sunday of month; standard ticket €10 combined, €7 archaeological section only. The national archaeological collection: the bronzetti gallery (over 200 bronze figurines representing warriors, priests, archers, boats, and animals), the Phoenician and Punic galleries with jewelry from Tharros and Sant'Antioco, the prehistoric and Roman material. The bronzetti are the portable counterpart to the Mont'e Prama Giants: the same tradition of nuragic figurative metalwork, at one-tenth the scale, rendered in bronze rather

than sandstone. *Cross-reference: Chapter 1 (The 25), for the nuragic sculptural tradition; Chapter 4 (The Lead Joint), for the nuragic metallurgical context.*

- **Castello district walk.** Budget 2 to 3 hours for the medieval hilltop quarter. Points of interest:
 - Cagliari Cathedral (Cattedrale di Santa Maria): Romanesque original with Baroque overlay, partially accessible interior.
 - Bastione San Remy and Terrazza Umberto I: the panoramic terrace above the city, accessible via an internal staircase, with views over the lagoons, the Gulf of Cagliari, and the Campidano plain. Free.
 - Torre dell'Elefante: climbable medieval tower, open May through September daily 10:00 to 19:00, €3. Views over the Castello walls.
 - Jewish layer: Via Santa Croce (formerly Vico dei Giudei), the church of Santa Croce on the site of the fourteenth-century synagogue, Via de la Fontana. *Cross-reference: Chapter 8 (The Linguistic Fossil), which treats the Cagliari Jewish community, the juharia, the 1492 expulsion, and the word Chenàbura. The Castellum Jewish quarter is the setting for part of that chapter's institutional history.*
- **Lunch** in Castello or Marina.
- **Tuvixeddu Punic necropolis.** 1.5 hours. Free park access 6:00 to 24:00 in summer; guided tours Friday through Sunday 9:00 to 18:00. The largest Punic necropolis in the Mediterranean, hundreds of shaft tombs cut into a limestone ridge northwest of the Castello. Sunday is a guided-tour day. *Cross-reference: Chapter 6 (The Walking Inland), for the Punic presence on Sardinia and its material culture.*
- **Roman Amphitheatre.** 30 minutes. Open April 28 through September 30 daily 10:00 to 13:00 and 15:00 to 19:00 (siesta closure 13:00 to 15:00). A second-century CE rock-cut amphitheatre, partially restored, with arena access.

- **Orto Botanico** (optional). 1 hour. Open daily 9:00 to 18:00 (April through October). Roman cisterns and tunnels embedded in the botanical garden. A cool midday option.
- **Villa di Tigellio** (optional). 30 minutes. Open May through September daily 10:00 to 14:00 and 15:00 to 19:00. Roman residential complex with mosaic floors.

Driving today: zero. All sites within walking distance in the Castello and adjacent districts.

Evening: Sunset from Bastione San Remy, dinner.

Risk flag: The Roman Amphitheatre has a siesta closure 13:00 to 15:00. MANC on a free-entry Sunday will be crowded: arrive at 8:30 AM.

Day 8: Monday 7 July, Cagliari to Su Tempiesu to Olbia

Base: Olbia airport area (24-hour reception preferred, late arrival possible).

The final full day is the longest drive of the trip, bookended by a descent into a mountain valley.

- **Optional Cagliari overflow.** Hotel checkout at 9:00 AM. If anything was left unfinished from Day 6 (MANC, Tuvixeddu, Orto Botanico, Roman Amphitheatre), this morning offers a 2-hour window before the drive north.
- **Drive Cagliari to Su Tempiesu (Orune).** Approximately 2.5 hours via the SS131 north to Macomer, then the SS131dcn east toward Nuoro, turning north to Orune.
- **Lunch en route.** Macomer or Nuoro.
- **Arrive at Su Tempiesu Centro Servizi** (L.A.R.Co. service center, Orune). Park here.

- **Trail descent.** 800 meters, approximately 15 minutes down. Bring water. The descent is steep in sections.
- **Pozzo Sacro Su Tempiesu** (Orune). 1.5 to 2 hours on site. Open April through September daily 9:00 to 19:00, last entry approximately 18:00. €3. The only intact covered well-temple structure in Sardinia, with its original double-pitched volcanic rock roof still in place. Opus isodomum trachyte and basalt blocks transported from 40 kilometers away, joined with lead clamps that are still in the joints, still holding the water in the pool. Sedimentation basin still functioning. Twenty bronze votive swords found in the masonry on excavation. *Cross-reference: Chapter 4 (The Lead Joint), which is substantially built around this site: the lead joint technology, the isodomonic construction, the Bronze Age trade network that brought the technique from Egypt to this mountain valley, the Cypriot copper isotopes and Iberian tin in the alloy of the votive swords.*

Contact Cooperativa L.A.R.Co. in advance for English-language guide service: +39 328 7565148, cooplarco@gmail.com.

- **Trail ascent.** 800 meters, approximately 40 minutes up. Steeper than it looks going down. The 40 minutes is thermal rather than purely physical: the rock face above the path radiates stored heat by mid-afternoon.
- **Drive Orune to Olbia airport area.** Approximately 1.5 hours.

Driving today: approximately 4 hours total.

Evening: Dinner near Olbia. Early rest.

Risk flag: Su Tempiesu requires 1.6 km round-trip on a trail with significant elevation change. Start the descent no later than 15:00 to allow 2 hours at the site and a comfortable ascent before sunset and the drive north. The Olbia airport hotel should have 24-hour reception; late arrival is possible.

Departure: Tuesday 8 July

Hotel checkout and breakfast. Drive to OLB (short). Rental car return by 9:30 AM; allow buffer. Delta 217 departs OLB at 11:20 AM, lands JFK at 3:00 PM.

Practical Summary

Day	Base	Key Sites	Chapter Cross-Reference
Day 1 (arrival)	Arzachena	Coddu Vecchiu, La Prigiona	Ch. 3, Ch. 1
Day 2	Arzachena	Maddalena boat day	Ch. 7
Day 3 (transit)	Alghero	Alghero old town, Palmavera optional	Ch. 8
Day 4	Alghero	Anghelu Ruju optional, Castelsardo, Roccia dell’Elefante	Ch. 3, Ch. 6
Day 5 (transit)	Cabras	Monte d’Accoddi, Santu Antine, Losa	Ch. 2, Ch. 4
Day 6 (transit)	Cagliari	Santa Cristina, Tharros, Cabras Museum	Ch. 5, Ch. 6, Ch. 1, Ch. 9
Day 7	Cagliari	MANC, Castello, Tuvixeddu, Amphitheatre	Ch. 1, Ch. 4, Ch. 8, Ch. 6

Day	Base	Key Sites	Chapter Cross-Reference
Day 8 (transit)	Olbia area	Su Tempiesu	Ch. 4

Contacts at a glance: - GE.SE.CO. Arzachena (Coddu Vecchiu, La Prigiona, Albucciu): +39 0789 83401 / 82106 - Cooperativa L.A.R.Co. (Su Tempiesu): +39 328 7565148, cooplarco@gmail.com - Coopculture (Cabras Museum and Tharros): +39 0783 290 636, prenotazioni@penisoladelsinis.it - Monte d'Accoddi (Sassari municipality): +39 079 2008072, infosassari@comune.sassari.it - MANC Cagliari: +39 070 655911, manca.informazioni@cultura.gov.it

APPENDIX B: SITE DIRECTORY

This directory provides a card-format entry for each major site on or near the eight-day route. Entries are arranged in the order they appear on the counterclockwise loop: Gallura, Sassari/Alghero coast, SS131 corridor, Sinis peninsula, Cagliari, and the Barbagia return. Museum entries follow the archaeological sites.

Practical information reflects the most current available data as of early 2026. Hours and ticket prices are subject to seasonal adjustment; verify before arrival.

Tomba dei Giganti Coddu Vecchiu

Location: Luogosanto road, Arzachena, Sassari province. Approximately 10 km south of Arzachena town center.

Period: Middle Bronze Age, approximately 1800 to 1300 BCE; exedra and stele in the developed nuragic phase.

The best-preserved Giants' Tomb in the Gallura region, with its central stele and long burial gallery largely intact. The central stele stands 4.4 meters tall, cut from local pink granite with carved cornices framing the small rectangular opening at its base. Six flanking stones on each side form the curved exedra, their slight inward curve gathering the forecourt space into a defined ceremonial zone. The long burial gallery extends 27 meters behind the stele; roofing stones are still in place.

Commingle skeletal remains of dozens of individuals were found inside. The real entrance to the burial chamber is a low lateral passage in the flanking wall, not the monumental central opening.

Practical notes: Open Monday through Sunday 9:00 to 20:00. Ticket office shared with La Prisgiona. Single entry €4; combined ticket with La Prisgiona and Albucciu available up to €20 via GE.SE.CO. Arzachena (+39 0789 83401 / 82106). No advance booking required.

Cross-reference: Chapter 3 (The False Door). Coddu Vecchiu is the chapter's primary architectural case for the false-door grammar: the monumental threshold that stops the living and addresses the dead.

Nuraghe La Prisgiona

Location: Locality Prisgiona, Arzachena, Sassari province. Approximately 2 km from Coddù Vecchiu.

Period: Middle to Late Bronze Age, approximately 1600 to 900 BCE.

The best-fitted nuraghe in the Gallura cluster. The main tower is polylobate (multiple connecting towers) with an intact corbel-vaulted central chamber. Two side towers are connected by curtain walls, and the interior courtyard contains a functioning well, one of the few nuragic wells still holding water. Approximately 100 hut foundations of the surrounding village are visible. The stone quality and fitting precision are high for the Gallura geological context, which works primarily in granite rather than basalt.

Practical notes: Open Monday through Sunday 9:00 to 20:00. Ticket shared with Coddù Vecchiu (see above).

Cross-reference: Chapter 1 (The 25), for the nuragic civilizational context; Chapter 2 (The Stepped Form), for the broader nuragic architectural tradition.

Nuraghe Albucciu and Tomba Moru

Location: Locality Albucciu, Arzachena, Sassari province. Approximately 3 km from the SS125 junction east of Arzachena.

Period: Middle Bronze Age, approximately 1600 to 1200 BCE.

Albucciu is a corridor-type nuraghe, an atypical form in the nuragic repertoire. Where most classic nuraghi are circular towers built around a central tholos chamber, corridor nuraghi are elongated structures with interior passages rather than a central dome. Albucciu's plan is distinctly elliptical, built against a large natural granite outcrop that forms one wall of the structure. The adjacent Tomba Moru is a domus de janas (pre-nuragic rock-cut tomb) that predates the nuraghe by at least a millennium.

Practical notes: Open Monday through Sunday 9:00 to 20:00. Included in the GE.SE.CO. combined ticket with Coddù Vecchiù and La Prigiona.

Cross-reference: Appendix A (standby site, Day 1 or Day 2 depending on time).

La Maddalena Archipelago Boat Day

Location: Palau port (departure), with sites across the La Maddalena National Marine Park. Palau is approximately 25 km from Arzachena.

Period: Active nuragic-era coastline; historically documented from the Roman period onward; primary modern significance from the Napoleonic era through the Cold War.

The La Maddalena National Marine Park comprises approximately 60 islands and islets in the Strait of Bonifacio between Sardinia and Corsica. A full-day boat tour circles the major islands, with swimming stops in protected coves and landings on La Maddalena town and occasionally Caprera. The landscape is granite, pink and orange-gray, sculpted by the same sea that sculpted every Sardinian coastal formation. The water is clear in shades not found on most other Italian coastlines. Caprera, the island where Giuseppe Garibaldi built his farm and lived from the late 1850s until his death in 1882, is accessible by road from La Maddalena via a short causeway; the Compendio Garibaldino museum preserves his house largely as it was.

Practical notes: Boat tours depart from Palau port. Operators include Lady Luna 2, Elena Tour, Sea Star, Consorzio Bocche di Bonifacio, and NaTour Sardinia. Full-day price approximately €60 to €120 per person including lunch. Park landing fee: €5 per person cash. Reserve in advance for July high season. La Maddalena town ferry (separate from tour operators): 15-minute crossing, frequent service, €3 per person.

Cross-reference: Chapter 7 (The Hinge Island). The archipelago is the chapter's setting for the Napoleonic bombardment of 1793 (Bonaparte at Santo Stefano), Nelson's waiting base 1803 to 1805, the Garibaldi-Bakunin meeting 1864, and the structural argument about the Maddalena geography as a Mediterranean "hinge."

Nuraghe Palmavera

Location: Locality Palmavera, Alghero, Sassari province. Approximately 10 km from Alghero on the SP55 toward Capo Caccia.

Period: Middle to Late Bronze Age, approximately 1500 to 900 BCE.

Palmavera is a bilobate nuraghe (two-tower complex) in limestone, the dominant stone of the Alghero plain. Its distinguishing feature is the “meeting hut”: a circular structure in the village area with a central baetyl (sacred standing stone) and a low stone bench running around the inside wall, interpreted as a gathering space for community assembly or ceremony. The baetyl inside the meeting hut is one of the clearest surviving examples of the sacred stone tradition that pre-dates and coexists with the nuragic tower building.

Practical notes: Open May through September daily 9:00 to 19:00. Combined ticket with Anghelu Ruju €8.

Cross-reference: Chapter 2 (The Stepped Form), for the sacred stone tradition at Monte d’Accoddi.

Necropoli di Anghelu Ruju

Location: Near Alghero airport, Alghero, Sassari province. Approximately 15 minutes from Alghero town center.

Period: Fourth to third millennium BCE (Ozieri and sub-Ozieri culture); pre-nuragic.

The most extensive domus de janas necropolis in Sardinia: 38 rock-cut hypogea carved into the calcareous rock, some with multiple chambers and carved architectural features (bull-horn reliefs, false-door framing, painted surfaces). The site was used continuously from approximately 3500 BCE into the Bronze Age, with some chambers showing evidence of secondary use. Several tombs are internally decorated with carved corniform (bull-horn) symbols associated with the Ozieri ritual tradition. Bring a flashlight; the deeper chambers are dark.

Practical notes: Open May through September daily 9:00 to 19:00. Combined ticket with Palmavera €8. No advance booking required.

Cross-reference: Chapter 3 (The False Door), for the domus de janus tradition and its architectural relationship to the later Giants' Tombs.

Castelsardo

Location: Province of Sassari, Anglona coast. Approximately 50 km northeast of Alghero, 35 km west of Sassari.

Period: Medieval foundation (twelfth century CE), with prehistoric occupation in the surrounding territory.

The town itself is medieval, built on a conical promontory above the Anglona coast and founded by the Doria family of Genoa around 1102 CE. The castle at the summit now houses the Museo dell'Intreccio Mediterraneo, dedicated to the basketwork tradition for which the town is still known. The Cattedrale di Sant'Antonio Abate contains an important fifteenth-century polyptych. Views from the castle walls extend to Asinara Island, to Corsica on clear days, and down the Anglona coast.

Practical notes: Museo dell'Intreccio: open July daily 9:00 to 24:00; hours vary by season. Entrance fee approximately €3. The old town lanes are free to walk. Parking below the promontory; steep walk up.

Cross-reference: Chapter 6 (The Walking Inland), for the pattern of hilltop medieval settlement as a response to coastal insecurity.

Roccia dell'Elefante

Location: SP134, approximately 5 km southeast of Castelsardo, Sassari province. Clearly signposted.

Period: Pre-nuragic domus de janus cut, approximately 3500 to 2500 BCE; trachyte monolith itself is a natural geological formation.

A large trachyte (volcanic rock) monolith rising from the Anglona scrubland in a shape resembling an elephant's profile when seen from the south. At the base of the monolith, two domus de janus (rock-cut tombs) are cut into the rock. The right-hand chamber has a carved bull-horn relief above its entrance opening, one of the

clearest surviving examples of the corniform symbol in Sardinian rock-cut funerary art. The natural form of the rock was clearly a factor in the choice of this location for funerary cutting.

Practical notes: Free, open-access outdoor site. No hours restriction. Road pullout on the SP134; short walk to the monolith.

Cross-reference: Chapter 3 (The False Door), for the pre-nuragic domus de janas tradition.

Nuraghe Paddagiu

Location: Approximately 1 km east of Roccia dell'Elefante, SP134, Sassari province.

Period: Late Bronze Age, approximately 1200 to 800 BCE.

A late-phase nuraghe of modest scale but well-preserved lower courses. The Anglona plateau has several such structures; Paddagiu is the most accessible on the Castelsardo day-loop and requires no entrance fee.

Practical notes: Free, open-access outdoor site. Short walk from the road.

Cross-reference: Appendix A (optional stop on Day 3).

Monte d'Accoddi

Location: SP41, approximately 11 km north of Sassari, Sassari province.

Period: Late Neolithic to Early Bronze Age, approximately 4000 to 2400 BCE; two construction phases.

The only ziggurat-form monument in Europe, standing on the calcareous plain of the Sassari lowlands. The visible structure consists of two stepped platforms, the smaller set on top of the larger, with a long ramp ascending from the south. The lower platform measures 35 by 31 meters at the base; the total height is 9 meters. The first construction phase (the "Red Temple") dates to approximately 3800 to 3500 BCE and was plastered and painted red inside and out; an earlier menhir-shrine phase is documented beneath it. The second platform, enclosing and

expanding the first, was built approximately 2800 BCE. Both phases use a construction method, specifically the layering of rubble fill in an alternating sequence including locally quarried albino limestone, that has specific parallels in Mesopotamian cult-platform construction documented at Eridu, Uqair, and Ur. A large limestone menhir and a stone altar are preserved near the base of the ramp.

Practical notes: Open Tuesday through Sunday 10:00 to 18:00, last entry 17:00.

CLOSED MONDAYS. Guided tours only; first tour at 10:00, then every 90 minutes.

€4. Book in advance: +39 079 2008072 or infosassari@comune.sassari.it. Verify English-language availability.

Cross-reference: Chapter 2 (The Stepped Form). Monte d'Accoddi is the chapter's primary subject: the Mesopotamian parallels in construction method, the Red Temple and the second platform, the debate between Sub-Ozieri and Filigosa-Abealzu dating, and Webster and Webster's conclusion that the monument represents "the manifestation of a migration event initiated from the Near East."

Nuraghe Santu Antine

Location: Near Torralba, Sassari province, immediately east of the SS131 highway. About 60 km from Sassari and 40 km from Oristano.

Period: Middle to Late Bronze Age, approximately 1600 to 900 BCE.

Locally called "Sa domu de su re" (the house of the king). The central tower of Santu Antine originally stood approximately 25 meters high and remains the most impressive single tower in the nuragic repertoire still standing to significant height. Three outer towers are connected to the central tower by curtain walls, forming a trilobate complex with an interior courtyard and a well. The basalt masonry is isodomic: coursed horizontal blocks trimmed to consistent height, producing a regular, almost architectural facing that distinguishes the Campidano-region nuraghi from the rougher granite work of the north. Intramural corbelled corridors connect the tower levels; these can be entered and walked through. An internal spiral staircase still reaches the upper chambers.

Practical notes: Open daily 9:00 to 20:00 in summer. Approximately €10 with the downloadable audio-guide app (app free, ticket purchased on site). Often uncrowded except on summer weekends. The site is directly visible from the SS131.

Cross-reference: Chapter 4 (The Lead Joint), for isodomic construction and its Bronze Age technical context; Chapter 2, for the architectural tradition.

Nuraghe Losa

Location: Near Abbasanta, Oristano province. Immediately west of the SS131, about 25 km south of Santu Antine.

Period: Middle to Late Bronze Age, approximately 1600 to 900 BCE.

A trilobate nuraghe built entirely in polished dark basalt, Losa has a visual quality distinct from the limestone nuraghi of the north and the granite nuraghi of the Gallura: the basalt weathers to a near-black surface that emphasizes the coursing and the joint lines. The corbel-vaulted main chamber is intact. An internal spiral staircase reaches the summit of the central tower, and the view from the top over the Abbasanta plateau is unobstructed in three directions. The lower complex of outer towers and connecting walls is partially reconstructed but allows the spatial logic of the trilobate plan to be understood three-dimensionally.

Practical notes: Open daily 8:30 to 1 hour before sunset. €6. Internal staircase access included in the ticket.

Cross-reference: Chapter 4 (The Lead Joint), for the isodomic basalt construction context.

Pozzo Sacro di Santa Cristina

Location: Near Paulilatino, Oristano province. Approximately 30 km east of Cabras on the SP56.

Period: Late Bronze Age, approximately 1000 to 900 BCE.

The best-preserved nuragic sacred well, with its trapezoidal staircase, corbelled tholos dome, and astronomical alignments fully intact. The well consists of a trapezoidal staircase of 25 steps descending into the earth, flanked by walls narrowing as they approach the bottom, with a T-keyhole plan visible from above. The steps are cut from individual stone blocks with a precision that has not degraded in three millennia; their centers are worn smooth by foot traffic across that span. The staircase ends at a circular pool inside a corbelled tholos dome; the dome has a circular hole at the apex open to the sky. The entire structure is aligned so that the rising sun illuminates the pool on the equinoxes, and so that moonlight illuminates the full interior wall of the dome during the major lunar standstill, a cycle of 18.61 years. The site complex also includes a small nuraghe, several prehistoric menhirs, and the muristenes, medieval stone communal houses still used by the families who attend the annual nine-day novenary in late June.

Practical notes: Open daily 8:30 to sunset. €9 full price, €5 reduced. Hourly guided tours included in the ticket price. The well staircase itself is descend-able; the air at the bottom is noticeably cooler than the surface.

Cross-reference: Chapter 5 (The Closed Cult). Santa Cristina is the chapter's central subject: the astronomical precision of the alignments, the novenary as a continuing practice reaching back before Christianity, and the concept of a sacred site that outlives its religion because the people who built it stayed.

Tharros

Location: Capo San Marco, Sinis peninsula, Oristano province. Approximately 20 km west of Oristano.

Period: Founded approximately 750 BCE (Phoenician); continued through Punic and Roman periods to approximately 1070 CE.

A deeply layered archaeological site with nearly 1,800 years of continuous occupation in a single location. The Phoenician settlement was established on the hill of Su Muru Mannu, which overlies an earlier nuragic village. The Punic and Roman city extended along the peninsula below, its street grid (decumanus and cardo) still walkable on the basalt paving stones that have been partly restored. Two

Corinthian columns from a Roman temple of the first century CE stand on the highest accessible point of the site. The tophet (Phoenician open-air sanctuary) on the hill, the Roman baths, and the Spanish watchtower at Capo San Marco stand in vertical sequence. The southern necropolis, excavated by Anna Chiara Fariselli of the University of Bologna since 2015, shows continuous burial use from the seventh century BCE through the fourth century CE.

Practical notes: Open June through July daily 9:00 to 19:00. Combined ticket with Cabras Museum strongly recommended: €15 for museum plus Tharros plus Torre Spagnola, €18 with the Mont'e Prama excavation site. Coopculture: +39 0783 290 636, prenotazioni@penisoladelsinis.it.

Cross-reference: Chapter 6 (The Walking Inland). Tharros is the chapter's central case, tracing the Phoenician foundation, the entanglement with the nuragic population, the Roman transformation, and the eleventh-century abandonment to Oristano as the paradigmatic example of the Walking Inland strategy.

Mont'e Prama Excavation Site

Location: Cabras, Oristano province. Approximately 2 km from the Cabras Museum, accessible by road and on foot.

Period: Ninth to eighth century BCE (final nuragic period).

The low hill on the Sinis peninsula where the five thousand fragments of the Mont'e Prama statues were found in the campaigns of 1975 to 1979 and in resumed excavations from 2014 onward. From the road, the site is partly visible; occasional guided tours are offered through the Cabras Museum or the Mont'e Prama Foundation. The excavation continues, with new fragments and extended site boundaries being documented in each season.

Practical notes: Open-air site; accessible by combined ticket from the Cabras Museum (€18 combined ticket includes the museum, Tharros, Torre Spagnola, and the excavation site). Guided access only for the excavation areas.

Cross-reference: Chapter 1 (The 25), which reconstructs the 1974 discovery, the excavation history, and the 2011 installation of the first 25 figures.

Museo Civico Giovanni Marongiu, Cabras

Location: Piazza S. Francesco 6, Cabras, Oristano province.

Period: Museum collections span Neolithic through nuragic periods; housed in a purpose-built facility opened for the 2011 installation.

As of 4 March 2026, all 25 reassembled Mont'e Prama Giants are displayed in the Sala del Paesaggio, alongside 15 nuraghe models, a baetyl, and a total of 40 objects in the installation. The figures are arranged at intervals that allow 360-degree viewing; the ceiling is high enough that the two-meter statues do not feel compressed. Lighting falls from above to pick up the carved sandstone surfaces and the concentric-circle eyes. Conservation joins are clearly visible, distinguishing original stone from restoration fill. A separate display area covers the Tharros material and the Sinis peninsula archaeology.

Practical notes: Open June 1 through September 30 daily 10:00 to 20:00, last entry 19:15. €6.50 standalone; included in the combined ticket with Tharros (€15 or €18). Indoor air conditioning available. Book combined ticket via Coopculture: +39 0783 290 636, prenotazioni@penisoladelsinis.it.

Cross-reference: Chapter 1 (The 25). The museum room is the book's second frame image: introduced in full in Chapter 1, closed in Chapter 9. The room, the statues, and the concentric-circle eyes are described at length in Chapter 1.

Museo Archeologico Nazionale di Cagliari (MANC)

Location: Piazza Arsenale 1, Cagliari, Sardinia.

Period: Collections span the Paleolithic through the medieval period; strongest for Neolithic, nuragic, Phoenician, and Roman material.

The national archaeological collection for Sardinia. The bronzetti gallery is the primary draw for this itinerary: over 200 bronze votive figurines representing the full range of nuragic figurative metalwork, from warriors in horned helmets and archers in full-draw position to boxers with circular shields, nursing mothers,

priests, ships with animal prows, and animals. These are the portable counterpart to the Mont'e Prama Giants, made in the same tradition at one-tenth the scale, and their density and variety make the nuragic sculptural tradition immediately legible. The Phoenician and Punic galleries carry jewelry from Tharros, figurines from Sant'Antioco, and the Nora Stone (the oldest written attestation of the island's name, dated to the ninth or eighth century BCE). The prehistoric galleries cover the Neolithic through the Bronze Age with representative ceramics, metalwork, and architectural models.

Practical notes: Open daily 8:30 to 19:30, ticket office closes 18:45. €10 combined ticket, €7 for the archaeological section only. Free on the first Sunday of each month. Expected to be busy on Sunday 6 July 2026 (free entry day): arrive at 8:30 AM. Contact: +39 070 655911, man-ca.informazioni@cultura.gov.it. Book via the Musei Italiani app or portale.museiitaliani.it.

Cross-reference: Chapter 1 (The 25), for the nuragic sculptural and metalworking tradition; Chapter 4 (The Lead Joint), for nuragic metallurgy and trade connections.

Cagliari Cathedral (Cattedrale di Santa Maria)

Location: Piazza Palazzo, Castello district, Cagliari.

Period: Original Romanesque core, thirteenth century CE; extensive Baroque modification, seventeenth century; twentieth-century partial restoration to Romanesque elements.

The cathedral occupies the highest point of the Castello district on a site that the archaeological record indicates was used for religious purposes in the Punic and Roman periods. The current building has a Romanesque façade and Baroque interior, with the original Pisan pulpit from the twelfth century divided between the cathedral and the Museo del Duomo. The royal tombs in the crypt include members of the House of Savoy. The exterior terrace gives views over the Castello walls to the lagoon and the gulf.

Practical notes: Open for worship and visitors; check for Mass times. Free entry. Combined with the Castello walk described in Appendix A.

Cross-reference: Chapter 6 (The Walking Inland), for the Cagliari urban history in the Punic and Roman periods.

Bastione San Remy and Terrazza Umberto I

Location: Piazza Costituzione, Castello district, Cagliari.

Period: Construction 1895 to 1902 CE.

The neoclassical bastion and its panoramic terrace sit at the southeast edge of the Castello, built over the older Spanish-era walls. The terrace is one of the most commanding viewpoints in the city, with a 270-degree panorama taking in the Stagno di Cagliari (lagoon), the Gulf of Cagliari, the Campidano plain, and the Castello streets below. The internal staircase through the bastion structure is the primary access point from the Piazza Costituzione below. At sunset, the light over the lagoon and the shipping lanes of the gulf is exceptional.

Practical notes: Free. Open daily without set hours; the terrace is accessible throughout daylight.

Cross-reference: Chapter 6, for the Cagliari geographical context.

Torre dell'Elefante

Location: Via Università, Castello district, Cagliari.

Period: Built 1307 CE by the Pisans.

One of two surviving medieval towers in the Castello walls, built by the Republic of Pisa in 1307 as part of the defensive circuit. The tower is named for the carved elephant relief on one face, an emblem of the Pisan Elephant family that administered the city. Climbable to the summit with views over the Castello and the lower city. The open cage at the top of the tower was used in the medieval and Aragonese periods for the public display of the severed heads of executed criminals.

Practical notes: Open May through September daily 10:00 to 19:00. €3.

Cross-reference: Chapter 6 (The Walking Inland), for the broader history of Pisan and Genoese presence on Sardinia.

Tuvixeddu Punic Necropolis

Location: Via Is Maglias, Cagliari (northwest of the Castello, approximately 2 km).

Period: Punic period, approximately sixth century BCE through second century BCE; some Roman-era reuse.

The largest Punic necropolis in the Mediterranean, carved into a limestone ridge northwest of the Castello. Hundreds of shaft tombs are cut vertically into the rock, with individual chambers at the bottom of each shaft. The site has been the subject of legal and development disputes for decades; the park as currently accessible represents a portion of the original necropolis. Guided tours available Friday through Sunday.

Practical notes: Free park access 6:00 to 24:00 in summer. Guided tours Friday through Sunday 9:00 to 18:00.

Cross-reference: Chapter 6 (The Walking Inland), for the Punic material culture of Sardinia.

Roman Amphitheatre, Cagliari

Location: Viale Fra Ignazio, Cagliari. Near the Orto Botanico, below the Castello.

Period: Second century CE.

A rock-cut amphitheatre built into the limestone hillside below the Castello, one of the best-preserved Roman amphitheatres in Sardinia. The arena, seating banks, and drainage channels are partly accessible. The structure is integrated into the hillside rather than freestanding, in the manner of several provincial Roman amphitheatres in the western Mediterranean.

Practical notes: Open April 28 through September 30 daily 10:00 to 13:00 and 15:00 to 19:00 (siesta closure 13:00 to 15:00). Ticket fee; or included in the combined Cagliari monuments ticket (7-day validity, purchased on arrival). Buy on arrival.

Cross-reference: Chapter 6, for the Roman administrative presence in Sardinia.

Orto Botanico, Cagliari

Location: Viale Fra Ignazio 11, Cagliari. Adjacent to the Roman Amphitheatre.

Period: Botanical garden established 1866; Roman cisterns and tunnels embedded in the site, approximately first century CE.

The university botanical garden occupies a valley below the Castello with approximately 500 species of plants, including a significant collection of Sardinian endemic flora. The Roman cisterns and tunnel system cut into the limestone beneath the garden are accessible and provide a cool refuge in the heat of the afternoon.

Practical notes: Open daily 9:00 to 18:00 (April through October). Ticket fee approximately €2.

Cross-reference: Appendix A (optional stop on Day 6).

Villa di Tigellio, Cagliari

Location: Viale Tigellio, Cagliari.

Period: First century CE (Roman Imperial period).

A Roman residential complex in the Stampace district, partially excavated and open to visitors, with well-preserved mosaic floors and the remains of three house units from the early Imperial period. The mosaics include geometric patterns and figural work characteristic of the provincial Roman tradition.

Practical notes: Open May through September daily 10:00 to 14:00 and 15:00 to 19:00. Ticket fee.

Cross-reference: Chapter 6, for the Roman settlement of Cagliari.

Pozzo Sacro Su Tempiesu

Location: Near Orune, Nuoro province. Centro Servizi on the road above the site; the well is 800 meters down a trail.

Period: Late Bronze Age, approximately 1200 to 1000 BCE.

The only intact covered sacred well-temple in Sardinia, with its original double-pitched volcanic rock roof still in place. The main structure consists of a small vestibule, a descending corridor, and the well chamber below: the entire sequence is roofed in fitted trachyte and basalt blocks, the most technically demanding roofing problem in the nuragic architectural repertoire. The wall blocks are isodomic basalt and trachyte transported from quarries approximately 40 kilometers away. Between specific courses, molten lead was poured into the gaps to seal the watertight basin: the lead is still in the joints. The sediment trap cut into the pool floor is still collecting silt. Twenty bronze votive swords were found inserted into the masonry on excavation. The spring that the well temple was built around has not stopped flowing.

Practical notes: Open April through September daily 9:00 to 19:00, last entry approximately 18:00. €3. Parking at the Centro Servizi on the road above; 800 m trail descent with significant elevation change. Bring water and start by 15:00 to allow time at the site and the ascent before sunset. English-language guide service via Cooperativa L.A.R.Co.: +39 328 7565148, cooplarco@gmail.com. Book a few days in advance.

Cross-reference: Chapter 4 (The Lead Joint). Su Tempiesu is the chapter's primary subject: the lead joint as evidence of a networked Bronze Age Mediterranean, the isodomic construction, the Cypriot copper trace in the metalwork found at the site, and the trade network that brought the lead-sealing technique from Egypt to this mountain valley.

Plaça de la Juharia, Alghero

Location: Old town of Alghero, near Porta Mare. Accessible on foot from the Bastione della Maddalena.

Period: Medieval Jewish quarter, fourteenth to fifteenth century CE; square officially named in 2013.

The Plaça de la Juharia is a widening in the old-town lane system that preserves the spatial memory of the medieval Jewish quarter. The surrounding buildings and street pattern still carry the compressed geometry of a fourteenth-century commercial and residential district organized around a community institution (the synagogue, which stood at what is now the nearby church of Santa Creu). The trilingual plaque (Italian, Catalan, Hebrew) on the square's wall was installed in 2013 when the City of Alghero issued a formal apology for the 1492 expulsion and renamed the square. The Torre dels Ebreus (Jews' Tower), built in 1360 at community expense as a gift to the Aragonese Crown, is 200 meters away at the main gate of the old town.

Practical notes: Free, open at all hours. The square is a widening in a lane, not a formal piazza. Best reached from the Porta Mare side of the old town. The trilingual plaque is on the left as you enter from that direction.

Cross-reference: Chapter 8 (The Linguistic Fossil). The Alghero Jewish quarter, the Carcassona family, the synagogue, the 1492 expulsion, and the chapter's closing scene at the plaque are all treated at length.

APPENDIX C: COMPARANDA MAP

This appendix indexes the non-Sardinian sites and cultures referenced in the book's lateral case studies. The purpose is practical: if a comparandum mentioned in a chapter interests you enough to visit, this is where to find the essential details and the chapter reference. Entries are organized by the chapter in which they appear.

Each entry gives location, period, and the connection to Sardinia stated in neutral comparative terms. The book does not argue for direct architectural transmission in most of these cases; where the comparison is convergent rather than contact-derived, the entry says so. For the scholarly debate on specific connections, consult the sources listed in Appendix D.

Chapter 1: The 25 (Antikythera, Sutton Hoo, Troy)

These case studies are not architectural comparanda. They are structural comparanda: each illustrates the pattern of a find that exceeded prior scholarly expectations and sat in interpretive limbo before being absorbed into the record. No travel planning is implied by these comparisons; they are intellectual parallels, not architectural ones. Brief notes follow for completeness.

Antikythera Mechanism (find location)

Location: National Archaeological Museum, Athens, Greece (object currently displayed); shipwreck site off Antikythera island, Aegean Sea, Greece.

Period: Object manufactured approximately 87 BCE; recovered 1900 CE.

The astronomical computing device recovered from a Roman-era shipwreck in the channel between Crete and the Peloponnese. Seventy-two interlocking bronze gears capable of predicting solar and lunar eclipses and tracking planetary positions. The mechanism sat in approximate interpretive limbo for fifty years before Derek de Solla Price's 1951 radiographic analysis. The connection to Chapter 1 is structural: a find that exceeded the prior expected capability of its civilization.

Museum access: National Archaeological Museum, Athens. Patission 44, Athens.
Open Tuesday through Sunday 8:00 to 20:00. Ticket approximately €12.

Sutton Hoo (find location)

Location: Sutton Hoo Estate, Woodbridge, Suffolk, England. Now managed by the National Trust.

Period: Burial approximately 625 CE; excavated 1939.

The ship burial of what is likely Raedwald of East Anglia, containing a gold and garnet helmet, Byzantine silverware, Frankish coins, Celtic bowl, and a sword of extraordinary quality. The deposit demonstrated that seventh-century England was embedded in a continent-wide exchange network, not the isolated barbarian culture of earlier historiography. Connection to Chapter 1: a find that permanently revised the scholarly understanding of its civilization's capacity.

Site access: Sutton Hoo site and museum (National Trust). Open daily; hours vary by season. Admission approximately £15. The British Museum in London also holds a replica of the helmet (and the originals in storage/rotation).

Hissarlik / Troy (archaeological site)

Location: Hissarlik, Çanakkale Province, northwestern Turkey. Approximately 30 km from Çanakkale town.

Period: Occupation layers from approximately 3000 BCE to 500 CE; Troy VIIa (the likely Homeric-era layer) dated to approximately 1180 BCE.

Schliemann began excavating in 1871 on the conviction that Homer described a real city. He found multiple cities stratified over thousands of years, demonstrated that Hissarlik had been a significant Bronze Age settlement, and was right about the central claim while wrong about method and layer identification. Connection to Chapter 1: the structure of discovery against prevailing scholarly prior probability.

Site access: Troy Archaeological Site, Çanakkale Province, Turkey. Open daily 8:00 to 17:00 (winter), to 19:00 (summer). Admission approximately 200 Turkish lira. The on-site museum opened 2018 and displays material from all excavation periods.

Chapter 2: The Stepped Form (Göbekli Tepe, Cahokia)

Göbekli Tepe

Location: Sanliurfa Province, southeastern Turkey. Approximately 15 km northeast of Sanliurfa (Urfa) city.

Period: Approximately 9600 to 8000 BCE; Pre-Pottery Neolithic A and B.

A series of circular enclosures ringed with T-shaped limestone pillars up to 5.5 meters tall and weighing up to 20 tons, carved in low relief with animal and abstract imagery. The oldest monumental architecture in the world, predating the agricultural settlements of the Fertile Crescent by approximately a millennium.

Klaus Schmidt's twenty-year excavation beginning in 1994 overturned the assumption that monuments require settled agricultural societies to produce them.

Connection to Chapter 2: demonstrates that monumental architecture precedes farming rather than following it, and therefore that Monte d'Accoddi's monument need not have required generations of prior agricultural accumulation to be built.

The companion site of Karahan Tepe, approximately 46 km from Göbekli, was identified in the 1990s and systematic excavation began in 2019; it shows similar pillar forms and may be contemporary.

Site access: Göbekli Tepe, Örencik village road, Sanliurfa. Open daily 8:00 to 17:00. Admission approximately 200 Turkish lira. Museum at Sanliurfa (Haleplibahçe Mosaic Museum and Sanliurfa Archaeological Museum) holds excavated material.

Cahokia

Location: Collinsville, Madison County, Illinois, USA. Approximately 13 km east of St. Louis, Missouri.

Period: Active approximately 900 to 1350 CE; peak approximately 1050 to 1200 CE.

A pre-Columbian city of ten to twenty thousand people at its height, the largest settlement in North America north of Mexico before European contact. More than one hundred earthen mounds; the largest (Monks Mound) rises to 30 meters in four stepped platforms and covers more ground at its base than the Great Pyramid at Giza. The city was abandoned in the fourteenth century for reasons still debated: climate shift, soil exhaustion, political collapse. No oral tradition connected the living nations of the region to the Cahokia builders when French explorers arrived in the seventeenth century. Connection to Chapter 2: a parallel case of a monument whose meaning was severed from its builders by institutional collapse, leaving the form without the grammar that would explain it.

Site access: Cahokia Mounds State Historic Site and UNESCO World Heritage Site. 30 Ramey Street, Collinsville, Illinois. Open Wednesday through Sunday 9:00 to 17:00. Free admission to the site; interpretive center admission approximately \$7. Monks Mound is climbable.

Chapter 3: The False Door (Egypt, Etruria, Mycenae, Newgrange)

Egyptian Mastaba Tombs with False Doors

Location: Saqqara Necropolis, Giza Governorate, Egypt. Approximately 30 km south of Cairo.

Period: Old Kingdom, Fourth Dynasty onward, approximately 2600 BCE.

The mastaba (flat-topped rectangular tomb) of Old Kingdom nobles and officials regularly includes a carved false door in the western face: an elaborately framed stone surface through which the deceased's *ka* (life-force double) was understood to pass between the worlds of the living and dead. The false door was the functional

core of the entire mortuary monument. The comparison to Sardinian Giants' Tombs is structural: both encode the same architectural grammar of a threshold elaborated beyond functional necessity, distinguishing what may pass from what may not. Connection to Chapter 3: the Egyptian mastaba false door is the oldest example in the chapter's accumulating list of five false-door instances across ancient Mediterranean cultures.

Site access: Saqqara Archaeological Site, part of the Memphis World Heritage Area. Open daily 8:00 to 17:00. Combined ticket for Saqqara and Memphis approximately 450 Egyptian pounds. A major false door is visible in the Tomb of Kagemni (Old Kingdom official) and others in the excavated mastaba field.

Etruscan Painted Tombs with False Doors

Location: Necropolis of Monterozzi (Banditaccia Necropolis also relevant), Tarquinia, Lazio, Italy. Approximately 90 km northwest of Rome.

Period: Seventh to third century BCE.

The Etruscan chamber tombs cut into the tufa cliffs of Tarquinia include painted interiors with false doors in the rear walls, flanked by painted pillars and architraves, creating the illusion of a threshold leading into a space that does not physically exist. The deceased rests before this painted threshold in a perpetual liminal position. Connection to Chapter 3: the Etruscan false door represents an independent working-out of the same architectural grammar as the Egyptian and Sardinian traditions, without documented direct transmission.

Site access: Tarquinia Necropolis, Via Ripagretta, Tarquinia. Open Tuesday through Sunday, hours vary by season (typically 8:30 to 19:30 summer). Admission approximately €10. A rotating selection of decorated tombs is accessible; the Tomba dei Leopardi and Tomba delle Bighe are usually open. Combine with the Museo Nazionale Tarquinese in the town center.

Mycenaean Tholos Tombs (Treasury of Atreus)

Location: Mycenae Archaeological Site, Argolid, Peloponnese, Greece.

Approximately 90 km southwest of Athens.

Period: Approximately 1350 to 1250 BCE (Treasury of Atreus); Mycenaean tholos tombs generally 1600 to 1100 BCE.

The great beehive tombs of mainland Greece, approached through a long dromos (open-cut corridor in the hillside) leading to a monumental corbelled doorway. The dromos is architecturally excessive: far more impressive than functional entry requires. It is a path of performance, converting approach into ceremony. The Treasury of Atreus has a 36-meter dromos and a corbelled vault rising 13.5 meters, the largest pre-classical dome in Greece. The architectural organization around thresholds (dromos, monumental doorway, main chamber, side chambers) parallels the spatial logic of Sardinian Giants' Tombs. Connection to Chapter 3: the Mycenaean tholos is the third case in the accumulating list; corbelled vault technology appears to have developed independently in Sardinia rather than arriving from Mycenae.

Site access: Mycenae Archaeological Site, near Mykines village, Argolid. Open daily; hours vary by season (typically 8:00 to 20:00 summer). Combined ticket for the site and the on-site museum approximately €12. Treasury of Atreus is accessible without entering the main site; separate turnstile at the dromos entrance.

Newgrange Passage Tomb

Location: Brú na Bóinne World Heritage Site, County Meath, Ireland. Approximately 50 km north of Dublin.

Period: Approximately 3200 BCE (Neolithic).

A large passage tomb under a circular mound approximately 76 meters in diameter. The entrance is guarded by a carved entrance stone covered in spiral and lozenge relief work. A specially constructed roof-box above the entrance allows the winter solstice sunrise to travel 19 meters down the passage and illuminate the rear chamber for approximately 17 minutes on December 21. The architecture encodes a distinction between what may enter and what may not: the living visit but do not complete the passage; the winter solstice sun does. Connection to Chapter 3: the

earliest example in the accumulating list; demonstrates that the false-door grammar of distinguishing permitted from forbidden passage appears in monumental form more than a millennium before the Egyptian mastaba tradition.

Site access: Brú na Bóinne Visitor Centre, Donore, Co. Meath. Access to the Newgrange chamber by tour from the visitor centre only; advance booking essential. Open daily, hours vary by season. Admission approximately €11 for Newgrange tour. A limited lottery operates for the winter solstice chamber experience (December 21); applications open each autumn via the visitor centre website.

Chapter 4: The Lead Joint (Mycenae, Parthenon, Karnak, Tiwanaku, Puma Punku)

Valley Temple of Khafre and Funerary Complexes at Giza

Location: Giza Plateau, Giza Governorate, Egypt.

Period: Fourth Dynasty, approximately 2520 BCE.

The Valley Temple of Khafre uses granite blocks of extraordinary size, some weighing up to 150 tons, in a structure whose construction involved metal clamps documented among the earliest instances of the technique in the archaeological record. Connection to Chapter 4: the Saqqara/Giza complex is the oldest end of the chapter's accumulating list of metal-clamped sites.

Site access: Giza Plateau archaeological complex. Open daily 8:00 to 17:00.

Combined ticket approximately 450 Egyptian pounds. The Valley Temple is on the east side of the Khafre pyramid, accessible within the complex.

Parthenon and Acropolis, Athens

Location: Acropolis, Athens, Greece.

Period: Parthenon constructed 447 to 438 BCE.

The Parthenon used iron clamps with a thin lead sheathing to protect the iron from oxidation, documented in the surviving Athenian building accounts (the *logistai* records, preserved in inscription). The construction accounts are the most detailed cost and materials records for any ancient Greek building project. Connection to Chapter 4: the Parthenon is the best-documented instance of the lead-clamped metal-clamp technique in the accumulating list, with the added quality that the documentation is epigraphic rather than inferred.

Site access: Acropolis Archaeological Site, Athens. Open daily 8:00 to 20:00 (summer). Admission approximately €20 (includes access to six sites including the Acropolis Museum). Extended sunset hours in summer.

Karnak Temple Complex

Location: East bank of the Nile, Luxor, Egypt.

Period: Main construction phases approximately 1550 to 1070 BCE (New Kingdom), with additions across multiple dynasties.

Wooden clamps found at Karnak gave radiocarbon dates of approximately 1000 BCE and approximately 200 BCE, documenting the technique across two millennia of construction phases. The complex is the largest religious building ever constructed in any period. Connection to Chapter 4: Karnak represents the persistence of the clamping technique across multiple construction phases and demonstrates how the dating uncertainty inherent in a long-running site affects the apparent transmission timeline.

Site access: Karnak Temple Complex, Luxor. Open daily 6:00 to 17:30 (winter), to 18:00 (summer). Admission approximately 320 Egyptian pounds. The sound and light show runs evenings at additional cost.

Tiwanaku and Puma Punku

Location: Tiwanaku, La Paz Department, Bolivia. Approximately 72 km west of La Paz, near Lake Titicaca.

Period: Formally dated approximately 500 to 1000 CE; dating has undergone three major revisions and the debate continues.

Tiwanaku features “near-isodomic” masonry using red sandstone and gray andesite, with T-shaped and I-shaped metal-clamp sockets cut into the block faces to receive bronze structural ties. Puma Punku, within the Tiwanaku complex, has andesite blocks cut to geometric precision that has generated considerable scholarly and popular debate. The clamping sockets are the form cited in Chapter 4’s accumulating list as the outer edge of the distribution, where the dating is most contested and the mechanism of transmission (if there is one) is most unclear. The mainstream position is that the Andean tradition developed independently; the fringe position treats the clamp form as evidence of a lost global tradition.

Site access: Tiwanaku Archaeological Site, La Paz Department, Bolivia. Open Tuesday through Sunday 9:00 to 17:00. Admission approximately 100 Bolivianos. On-site museum. Site is at approximately 3850 meters altitude; allow acclimatization time.

Chapter 5: The Closed Cult (Newgrange, Kaaba, Glastonbury, Diana-to-Mary churches)

Newgrange is listed above under Chapter 3.

Kaaba, Masjid al-Haram, Mecca

Location: Mecca, Makkah Province, Saudi Arabia.

Period: Pre-Islamic sacred site; current structure repeatedly rebuilt; primary Islamic significance from 622 CE onward.

The Kaaba is a cubic structure at the center of the Grand Mosque, the physical focus of Islamic prayer and pilgrimage. Arab sources document it as a pre-Islamic sacred site attended by pilgrims from across Arabia before Muhammad’s revelation reorganized the pilgrimage’s theological framework. The sacred geography was not replaced but reframed. Connection to Chapter 5: the Kaaba is one of the four

comparanda in the chapter's accumulating list for the Closed Cult concept, illustrating the principle that religious geography outlasts the religion that occupies it.

Access for non-Muslims: The city of Mecca is closed to non-Muslims; the site is not visitable by most readers of this book. It is included here for completeness as a conceptual comparandum.

Glastonbury Abbey and Tor

Location: Glastonbury, Somerset, England.

Period: Abbey founded approximately seventh century CE; pre-Christian sacred geography documented from the Iron Age.

Glastonbury Abbey was one of the most significant pilgrimage destinations in medieval Christendom, built on a site associated in pre-Christian tradition with the Isle of Avalon and documented by archaeological survey as a ritual landscape in the Iron Age. The post-medieval ruins of the abbey are open to visitors; the Tor, an isolated hill above the town, has been a landmark and a place of gathering for several thousand years. Connection to Chapter 5: Glastonbury exemplifies the palimpsest pattern of sacred site continuity, where successive religious traditions occupy the same geography without erasing it.

Site access: Glastonbury Abbey, Magdalene Street, Glastonbury. Open daily; hours vary by season. Admission approximately £9. The Tor (National Trust): free access, open at all hours.

Church of Santa Maria sopra Minerva, Rome (example of Diana-to-Mary substitution)

Location: Piazza della Minerva, Rome, Italy.

Period: Church constructed thirteenth century CE; Minerva temple on the same site, first century CE.

One of the clearest surviving examples in Italy of the pattern described in Chapter 5: a Christian church built directly over the foundations of a classical temple, in this case the Temple of Minerva (the Roman equivalent of Athena), with the Gothic church preserving the ancient site's sacred geography through the theological substitution of the Virgin Mary for the goddess. The Dominican church also contains Michelangelo's *Christ the Redeemer* and the tomb of Fra Angelico. Connection to Chapter 5: a tangible example of the fourth case in the chapter's accumulating list (churches on Diana and Minerva sites).

Site access: Santa Maria sopra Minerva, Piazza della Minerva 42, Rome. Open daily; free entry.

Chapter 6: The Walking Inland (Carthage, Tyre, Constantinople)

Carthage (ruins)

Location: Tunis Governorate, Tunisia. Approximately 15 km northeast of Tunis city center.

Period: Founded approximately 814 BCE; destroyed 146 BCE; Roman reconstruction from 44 BCE.

After the Third Punic War, the Roman Senate authorized the destruction of Carthage, which was carried out by Scipio Aemilianus in 146 BCE over a period of seventeen days. What remains is almost entirely from the Roman reconstruction: the Antonine Baths, the Roman forum, the amphitheatre, the residential Byrsa Hill excavations showing the Roman street grid over Punic foundations. The Tophet sanctuary, where the Phoenician and Punic communities made votive deposits, is the most significant surviving element of the pre-Roman Punic city. The Bardo National Museum in Tunis holds the major Punic and Roman material from the site. Connection to Chapter 6: one of the three "imperial endings" against which the chapter contrasts Tharros's quiet abandonment.

Site access: Carthage Archaeological Site (several dispersed zones), Tunis suburb. Combined ticket for the main zones approximately 10 Tunisian dinars. The Bardo National Museum: Rue Mongi Slim, Tunis; open Tuesday through Sunday 9:00 to 17:00, admission approximately 11 dinars.

Tyre (Sur), Lebanon

Location: Sur (Tyre), South Governorate, Lebanon. Approximately 83 km south of Beirut.

Period: Phoenician city from approximately 2750 BCE; Alexander's siege 332 BCE; current city built over ancient remains.

The causeway Alexander built to reach the offshore island city of Tyre silted up over the following centuries and became the peninsula that modern Tyre occupies. The ancient city lies partly under the modern one and partly under the sea; the submerged foundations of the original island are visible at low tide in the harbor area. A Roman-era colonnade street, triumphal arch, and hippodrome are preserved in the Al Bass Archaeological Site south of the modern town. Connection to Chapter 6: one of the three "imperial endings."

Site access: Al Bass Archaeological Site, Sur (Tyre). Open daily 8:00 to sunset.

Admission approximately \$5. The city of Tyre remains inhabited; the archaeological site is south of the modern center. Current security conditions in Lebanon should be verified before travel.

Istanbul (Constantinople), Turkey

Location: Istanbul, Turkey; the historic center (Sultanahmet) contains the majority of Byzantine-era monuments.

Period: Founded as Byzantium approximately 657 BCE; Constantinople from 330 CE; Ottoman Istanbul from 1453 CE.

Hagia Sophia, the great church of the Byzantine Empire, was converted to a mosque within a week of the Ottoman conquest of May 29, 1453, and has been a mosque

again since 2020. The Theodosian Walls, which protected the city for over a millennium, are partly preserved along the western edge of the peninsula. Connection to Chapter 6: the fall of Constantinople to Mehmed II is the third of the chapter's "imperial endings," the most recent and the one whose political consequences most directly shaped the Mediterranean world the modern reader inherited.

Site access: Hagia Sophia (Ayasofya-i Kebir Camii), Sultanahmet, Istanbul. Open daily except during prayer times; free entry for the mosque. The Theodosian Walls stretch approximately 6.5 km; accessible on foot, free.

Chapter 7: The Hinge Island (Mazzini, Marx, Herzen, Lenin, Nelson, Bonaparte, Crimea)

The Chapter 7 comparanda are primarily political and biographical rather than architectural. No physical visit to a specific ruin is implied. Key locations are noted for completeness.

Nelson's Mediterranean base: The harbors of La Maddalena and Palau are the relevant geography (covered in Appendix B under the Maddalena boat day entry). The anchorage Nelson used is now a marina.

Garibaldi's house, Caprera: Compendio Garibaldino, Caprera island, accessible by road from La Maddalena. Museum: open Tuesday through Sunday 9:00 to 18:00. Admission approximately €4.

Bakunin and Marx in London: No physical site specific to Chapter 7's argument. Historical plaques in Clerkenwell and Soho (Mazzini's residences) and Hampstead (Marx's grave in Highgate Cemetery) are accessible.

Villefranche-sur-Mer (Russian naval lease 1858): The harbor of Villefranche-sur-Mer, now a tourist and yachting destination east of Nice, France. The Russian lease is commemorated by a small plaque at the harbor; no architectural survival from the lease period.

Chapter 8: The Linguistic Fossil (Marranos, crypto-Jews in New Mexico, hidden Christians in Japan, Ladino in Salonika)

The Chapter 8 comparanda are cultural and linguistic communities, not physical sites. Brief orientation entries follow for readers with specific interest.

Salonika / Thessaloniki, Greece: The city where the majority of Sardinian Jewish exiles from 1492 eventually settled, alongside Sephardic refugees from across the Aragonese sphere. The Jewish cemetery, the largest in Europe until the German occupation of 1943, no longer exists; the Aristotle University was built on the site after the war. The Jewish Museum of Thessaloniki (Syngrou 13) documents the community's history. Open Monday through Friday 11:00 to 14:00 and Tuesday, Thursday, Friday 17:00 to 20:00. Free entry.

Sant'Antioco (ancient Sulci), Sardinia: The catacomb complex beneath the Basilica di Sant'Antioco Martire, the oldest evidence of Jewish settlement on the island (first century CE). The Jewish catacombs are adjacent to the Paleo-Christian catacombs and can be visited with a guide via the Antiquarium Turritano. Ticket approximately €5.

Alghero old town: The Plaça de la Juharia, Torre dels Ebreus, and the site of the former synagogue (now the church of Santa Creu) are treated in Appendix B.

Chapter 9: The Ones Who Stayed (Basques, Sami, Welsh, Ainu)

The Chapter 9 comparanda are genetic and linguistic communities with archaeological contexts. No specific ruin visit is implied by the comparison; brief orientation entries follow.

Basque Country: The Basque-speaking communities of the Pyrenean borderland (Euskadi region of Spain and Pyrénées-Atlantiques department of France) are accessible tourist destinations. The Bilbao Archaeological Museum (Bilbao, Spain) covers Basque prehistoric and historical material. The Basque genetic anomaly is discussed in Olalde et al. (2019) in *Science*; see Appendix D.

Sápmi (Sami homeland): The traditional territory of the Sami people extends across northern Norway, Sweden, Finland, and the Kola Peninsula of Russia. The Ájtte museum (Swedish Mountain and Sami Museum) in Jokkmokk, Sweden, is the principal ethnographic museum for Sami culture. Jokkmokk's winter market in February is a traditional gathering point.

Wales: Snowdonia and the western coastal areas retain the strongest Welsh-language communities. The National Museum Cardiff covers Welsh prehistory and has the best display of Bronze Age material culture in Britain.

Hokkaido, Japan (Ainu): The Upopoy National Ainu Museum opened in Shiraoi, Hokkaido, in 2020. The museum is the first national institution dedicated to Ainu culture and history. Open Tuesday through Sunday 9:00 to 18:00 (summer). Admission approximately 1,200 yen.

APPENDIX D: ENDNOTES AND SOURCES

Superscript numbers in square brackets refer to the per-chapter endnotes below.

Citations are given in author-date format for academic sources and in descriptive form for primary sources. Book titles are in italics. Journal titles are in italics. No URLs are included except where a stable DOI exists for a peer-reviewed source. The “Further Reading” section at the end groups recommended titles by topic.

Foreword

The Foreword contains no inline citation markers. Its sources are the accumulated scholarship on Sardinian prehistory, the architectural analysis of the tomba dei giganti form, and the author’s synthesis.

Chapter 1: The 25

1. Battista Meli and Sisinnio Meli discover the stone foot, October 1974: Tronchetti, C., Barreca, F., and Lilliu, G., “Mont’e Prama (Cabras, OR): relazione degli scavi 1975,” *Rivista di Studi Fenici* 5, suppl. (1977): 89–107. The discovery date and the names of the discoverers are established in the preliminary excavation literature and confirmed in subsequent publications.
2. Excavations of 1975 to 1979 recover approximately 5,000 fragments: Tronchetti, C., “I Giganti di Mont’e Prama,” in *Giganti di pietra: Mont’e Prama, l’heroon che cambia la storia della Sardegna e del Mediterraneo*, ed. R. Zucca (Cagliari: Scuola Sarda, 2012). Fragment count of approximately 5,000 is the standard figure in the restoration literature.
3. Dating of Mont’e Prama statues to the ninth and eighth centuries BCE; nuragic period context; Phoenician arrival on Sardinian coasts: Tronchetti, C. and Van Dommelen, P., “Entangled Objects and Hybrid Practices: Colonial Contacts and Elite Connections at Mont’e Prama, Sardinia,” *Journal of Mediterranean Archaeology* 18.2 (2005): 183–208. DOI: 10.1558/jmea.v18i2.183.

4. Conservation at Li Punti; 2005 reinitiation of restoration; 2011 installation of 25 figures at Museo Civico Giovanni Marongiu: Minoja, M. and Usai, A., eds., *Le sculture di Mont'e Prama: contesto, scavi e materiali* (Rome: Gangemi, 2014). The 2011 date for the Cabras museum installation is confirmed in museum documentation.
5. Derek J. de Solla Price and the Antikythera Mechanism: Price, D. J. de Solla, *Gears from the Greeks: The Antikythera Mechanism, a Calendar Computer from ca. 80 B.C.* (New York: Science History Publications, 1974).
6. Tony Freeth, Alexander Jones, and the 2000s tomography of the Antikythera Mechanism: Freeth, T., Jones, A., et al., "Decoding the ancient Greek astronomical calculator known as the Antikythera Mechanism," *Nature* 444 (2006): 587–591. DOI: 10.1038/nature05357. Freeth, T. et al., "A Model of the Cosmos in the Ancient Greek Antikythera Mechanism," *Scientific Reports* 11, 5821 (2021). DOI: 10.1038/s41598-021-84310-w.
7. Edith Pretty, Basil Brown, and the Sutton Hoo excavation: Bruce-Mitford, R., *The Sutton Hoo Ship-Burial*, 3 vols. (London: British Museum, 1975–1983). Carver, M., *Sutton Hoo: Burial Ground of Kings?* (London: British Museum Press, 1998).
8. Heinrich Schliemann at Hissarlik: Schliemann, H., *Ilios: The City and Country of the Trojans* (London: John Murray, 1880). Modern assessment: Easton, D.F., "Schliemann's Discovery of 'Priam's Treasure': Two Enigmas," *Antiquity* 55 (1981): 179–183.
9. Resumed Mont'e Prama excavations from 2014: Minoja, M., Usai, A., and Fonzo, O., eds., *Le sculture di Mont'e Prama: la mostra* (Rome: Gangemi, 2015). New campaigns announced through the Soprintendenza Archeologia, Belle Arti e Paesaggio of Cagliari.
10. Shardana in Egyptian records; horned helmets at Medinet Habu: Ugas, G., *Shardana e Sardegna: i popoli del mare, gli alleati del Nordafrica e del Vicino Oriente e la fine del mondo miceneo* (Cagliari: Edizioni della Torre, 2016). The Medinet Habu reliefs of Ramses III document the Sea Peoples assault; the Shardana identification is outlined in Stager, L., "The Impact of

the Sea Peoples in Canaan (1185–1050 BCE),” in *The Archaeology of Society in the Holy Land*, ed. T. Levy (London: Leicester University Press, 1995).

11. Stone attribute objects at Mont’e Prama (stone bows, shields, swords): Tronchetti, C. and Van Dommelen, P. (2005), cited above. The attribute objects as “declarations” whose material is wrong for function and right for meaning: synthesis by the author.
-

Chapter 2: The Stepped Form

1. Webster, G. and Webster, M., *Punctuated Insularity: The Archaeology of 4th and 3rd Millennium Sardinia* (Oxford: Archaeopress, 2017). The term “ziggurat” applied to Monte d’Accoddi appears in this volume and in prior excavation literature.
2. Ercole Contu and the 1952 Monte d’Accoddi excavation: Contu, E., “L’altare preistorico di Monte d’Accoddi,” *Sardinia: Nuovi scavi e scoperte* (1957). The landowner Antonio Segni’s later presidency: mentioned in multiple Italian cultural-heritage sources; Segni served as President of the Italian Republic 1962–1964.
3. First platform: 24 by 27 m at base, 5.4 m height, red plaster, 25 m ramp at 21 degrees: Webster and Webster 2017, Chapter 5 (“Monte d’Accoddi”), pp. 135–155. Contu’s original measurements confirmed by subsequent survey.
4. Red Temple: approximately 13 by 7 m summit structure, stuccoed floor and walls, painted ceiling with three colors: Webster and Webster 2017, pp. 140–143.
5. Layered platform fill method paralleling Mesopotamian practice at Eridu, Uqair, Ur: Webster and Webster 2017, pp. 144–148. Leonard Woolley’s work at Ur: Woolley, C.L., *Ur of the Chaldees* (London: Ernest Benn, 1929); *Excavations at Ur* (London: Ernest Benn, 1954).

6. Copper tools in foundation deposits at Monte d'Accoddi: Webster and Webster 2017, p. 151.
7. Webster and Webster's migration-event conclusion: Webster and Webster 2017, p. 154. Quoted text: "does not represent an indigenous cultural expression but rather the manifestation of a migration event initiated from the Near East sometime in the early 4th millennium BC."
8. Klaus Schmidt and Göbekli Tepe: Schmidt, K., "Göbekli Tepe, Southeastern Turkey: A Preliminary Report on the 1995–1999 Excavations," *Paléorient* 26.1 (2000): 45–54. Schmidt, K., *Sie bauten die ersten Tempel* (Munich: C.H. Beck, 2006); English edition: *We Are the First. Origin of Civilization* (Heidelberg: Heidelberg University Press, 2020, posthumous translation).
9. Giovanni Lilliu, biography and career: Lilliu, G., *La civiltà dei Sardi* (Turin: ERI Edizioni RAI, 1963; revised eds. 1967, 1988). Obituary and career summary: Sanna, P., *Giovanni Lilliu: il padre dell'archeologia sarda* (Nuoro: Ilisso, 2013).
10. Lilliu's excavation of Su Nuraxi di Barumini beginning 1949: Lilliu, G. and Zucca, R., *Su Nuraxi di Barumini* (Sassari: Carlo Delfino, 2005). UNESCO World Heritage designation: 1997.
11. *La civiltà dei Sardi* as foundational text: Lilliu, G., op. cit. Publication history: 1963 first edition; revised 1967; third edition 1988.
12. Second platform at Monte d'Accoddi: expanded to 35 by 31 m, 9 m height; ramp extended to 42 m: Webster and Webster 2017, pp. 153–155. The menhir razed in construction fill: *ibid.*, p. 153.
13. Melis (Sub-Ozieri attribution) versus consensus Filigosa-Abealzu attribution for second platform: Webster and Webster 2017, pp. 155–159. Melis, M.G., "Il sito prenuragico di Monte d'Accoddi" (doctoral thesis, University of Cagliari, 1998).
14. Radiocarbon date 3386 to 3008 cal. BCE; Webster and Webster's summation of the ongoing debate: Webster and Webster 2017, p. 158. Quoted text: "given the complex site stratigraphy, evincing much cultural

mixing, in conjunction with the limited excavation coverage and its incomplete publication, and with continuing disagreements on basic issues of ceramic typology, this debate is likely to persist.”

15. Cahokia: population estimate ten to twenty thousand at height; larger than contemporary London; abandonment by fourteenth century: Pauketat, T., *Cahokia: Ancient America's Great City on the Mississippi* (New York: Viking Penguin, 2009). For the site's extent and the Monks Mound comparison to the Great Pyramid: Milner, G.R., *The Cahokia Chiefdom: The Archaeology of a Mississippian Society* (Washington: Smithsonian Institution Press, 1998).
 16. Summit of Monte d'Accoddi; rubble-filled caissons documented by Traverso: Webster and Webster 2017, pp. 152–153.
 17. 2009 archaeo-astronomical analysis of Monte d'Accoddi orientation: Mereu, L. and Marini, M., “Archaeoastronomical analysis of Monte d'Accoddi (Sassari, Sardinia),” in *Lights and Shadows in Cultural Astronomy*, ed. Zedda, M.P. and Belmonte, J.A. (Dolianova: Grafica del Parteolla, 2009).
-

Chapter 3: The False Door

1. Coddu Vecchiu: central slab 4.4 m, six stones each side (thirteen total), small opening with carved cornices, sloping terrain: Kulig, M., “Stone Riddles 2: Archaeological Treasures of Sardinia, Part 2 of 3” (YouTube documentary, 2023). Site survey data consistent with Namirski 2020.
2. Collective burial practice in Giants' Tombs, commingled skeletal remains, multiple generations: Namirski, C., *Nuragic Settlement Dynamics: The East Coast of Sardinia* (Oxford: Archaeopress, 2020), pp. 52–53.
3. Giants' Tombs count (500 to 800): Namirski 2020 and Kulig 2023 (“according to the last census carried out in 2003, there are 800”).
4. Side-entrance structure; central opening not a functional entry: Namirski 2020; Kulig 2023: “small Doorway to the mortuary would represent the

doorway to this world and this would explain the small size which in some cases is only large enough to allow a child to pass through.”

5. Balmuth, M.S., ed., *Studies in Sardinian Archaeology III: Nuragic Sardinia and the Mycenaean World* (Oxford: BAR International Series S387, 1987).
6. Pre-nuragic origins of the Giants’ Tomb form (c. 1800 BCE), carried forward into the nuragic period: Kulig 2023: “according to official archaeology, the Giants’ Graves date back to pre-Nuragic cultures, to 1800 BC, later taken over and modified by the Nuragics during the Bronze Age.”
7. Egyptian mastaba false doors: Fourth Dynasty, approximately 2600 BCE; *ka* theology: Robins, G., *The Art of Ancient Egypt* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1997), pp. 57–62. Spencer, A.J., *Death in Ancient Egypt* (Harmondsworth: Penguin, 1982), Chapter 3.
8. Etruscan chamber tombs with false doors at Tarquinia and Cerveteri: seventh century BCE onward. Steingraber, S., *Abundance of Life: Etruscan Wall Painting* (Los Angeles: Getty Publications, 2006).
9. Mycenaean tholos tombs; Treasury of Atreus, c. 1250 BCE; the dromos as ceremonial approach: Wace, A.J.B., *Chamber Tombs at Mycenae* (Oxford: Society of Antiquaries, 1932). For the parallel development of corbelling rather than diffusion from Mycenae: Balmuth 1987, Part Two (“The True Domes in Mycenaean and Nuragic Architecture,” Barbro Santillo Frizzell).
10. Newgrange passage tomb, c. 3200 BCE, winter solstice alignment: O’Kelly, M.J., *Newgrange: Archaeology, Art and Legend* (London: Thames and Hudson, 1982). Stout, G., *Newgrange and the Bend of the Boyne* (Cork: Cork University Press, 2002).
11. Persistence of Giants’ Tombs through the nuragic and into the early Iron Age: Namirski 2020, pp. 52–53 and site catalog.
12. Collective burial as social memory mechanism: interpretation synthesized from Namirski 2020 and from Whittle, A., *The Harmony of Symbols: The Windmill Hill Causewayed Enclosure* (Oxford: Oxbow, 1999) for comparative approaches to communal burial.

13. Curved forecourt wings at Coddu Vecchiu; dolmen-type versus row-type Giants' Tombs: Kulig 2023: "one can see that the alignment is not along a straight line but makes a slight curve"; "the two types... the first type with a front composed of large vertically placed slabs is called the dolmen type."
-

Chapter 4: The Lead Joint

1. Su Tempiesu setting; spring flows year-round: Kulig, M., "Stone Riddles 2: Archaeological Treasures of Sardinia, Part 2 of 3" (YouTube documentary, 2023). Site location: near Orune, Nuoro province.
2. Basalt blocks not locally sourced; quarried approximately 40 km north: Kulig 2023: "these boulders are made of basalt, a stone that is not found in the area and must therefore have been brought from a quarry presumably 40 kilometers away."
3. Opus isodomum construction at Su Tempiesu: Namirski 2020. Gemini report, *Comparative Lithic Architecture* (project file), Feature Comparison Matrix.
4. Lead poured between basalt blocks; sediment trap; sub-surface water inlet: Kulig 2023: "in order to make the pool water tight lead was poured between the boulders...in the pool is a ditch presumably designed to accumulate silt and keep the rest of the tank clean. The water inflow is via a hole below the water level."
5. Discovery in 1950s during aqueduct construction; twenty votive bronze swords inserted into masonry: Namirski 2020, p. 14 ("In fonte sacra Su Tempiesu (Orune) 20 votive swords were found inserted into the masonry of the spring, while many other bronze offerings...").
6. Dedunking survey methodology: Dedunking, "Complete Megalithic Metal Mason Clamps: 35 Sites" (YouTube documentary, n.d.).
7. Accumulating list of clamped sites with dates and confidence levels: Dedunking survey, op. cit. Parthenon construction 447 to 438 BCE: IG I³

436–451 (Athenian building accounts preserved in epigraphy). Karnak wooden clamp carbon dates c. 1000 BCE and c. 200 BCE: Dedunking survey. Tiwanaku/Puma Punku: Dedunking: “no less than three major revisions have occurred in my lifetime on this site alone.”

8. Sklyarov, A., *Metally: Dar Nebesnykh Bogov* (Moscow: Laboratory of Alternative History, 2006). Not peer-reviewed; cited as an instance of fringe interpretation of the evidence base.
9. Puma Punku dating ambiguity; mainstream assessment: Vranich, A., “Interpreting the New Architectural Data from Pumapunku, Tiwanaku, Bolivia,” in *Advances in Titicaca Basin Archaeology*, ed. Stanish, C., Cohen, A., and Aldenderfer, M. (Los Angeles: Cotsen Institute, 2005).
10. Sardinian copper and lead deposits (Iglesiente/Sulcis region); nuragic bronze tradition including *bronzetti*: Lo Schiavo, F., Stech, T., Maddin, R., and Muhly, J.D., “Nuragic Metallurgy: Briefs on the Bronze Finds,” in Balmuth 1987, Chapter 8.
11. Stos-Gale, N.H. and Stos-Gale, Z.A., “Oxhide Ingots from Sardinia, Crete and Cyprus and the Bronze Age Copper Trade: New Scientific Evidence,” in Balmuth 1987, Chapter 7. The Apliki-region Cypriot provenance of Sardinian ingots: *ibid.*, pp. 107–115.
12. Mycenaean pottery on Sardinia; nuragic pottery on Crete, Cyprus, and Sicily: Jones, R.E. and Day, P., “Aegean-type Pottery on Sardinia: Identification of Imports and Local Imitations by Chemical Analysis,” in Balmuth 1987, Chapter 14.
13. Tin imports for nuragic bronze from Iberian Peninsula and possibly Cornwall: Gemini report, *Comparative Lithic Architecture*, Section 5.1.
14. Bronze Age collapse c. 1200 BCE; *Srdn* in Egyptian records at Medinet Habu; Ugas, G., *Shardana e Sardegna* (Cagliari: Edizioni della Torre, 2016). The relevant Egyptian primary sources are the Medinet Habu inscriptions and the Papyrus Harris I, both from the reign of Ramses III (c. 1183 to 1152 BCE).

15. Post-collapse continuity and development of Sardinian material culture; sacred wells as a post-collapse architectural flowering: Gemini report, *Comparative Lithic Architecture*, Chronology Table; Namirski 2020, pp. 12–15.
-

Chapter 5: The Closed Cult

1. Pozzo sacro di Santa Cristina: near Paulilatino, Oristano province; Bronze Age construction c. 1000 to 900 BCE. Kulig, M., op. cit.
2. Equinox illumination of the pool at Santa Cristina: Zedda, M.P. and Belmonte, J.A., “On the Astronomical Orientation of the Nuragic Sacred Wells,” *Journal for the History of Astronomy* 37 (2006): 293–311.
3. Lunar standstill cycle of 18.6 years; Santa Cristina alignment: Zedda and Belmonte 2006. Kulig 2023: “every 18.6 years a time that corresponds to the cycle of the lunar nodes, moonlight enters through the hole at the top of the well and illuminates the entire wall down to the surface of the water.”
4. Newgrange passage tomb, c. 3200 BCE, County Meath, Ireland: O’Kelly 1982 (cited above under Ch. 3, note 10). Winter solstice entry lottery: administered by the Office of Public Works, Ireland.
5. Kaaba pre-Islamic sacred status: Peters, F.E., *Muhammad and the Origins of Islam* (Albany: SUNY Press, 1994), pp. 3–11. Primary Islamic sources: Ibn Ishaq / Ibn Hisham, *Sirat Rasul Allah*.
6. Glastonbury: Rahtz, P. and Watts, L., *Glastonbury: Myth and Archaeology* (Stroud: Tempus, 2003).
7. Churches on Diana and Minerva temple sites: Salzman, M.R., *On Roman Time: The Codex-Calendar of 354 and the Rhythms of Urban Life in Late Antiquity* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1990). MacMullen, R., *Christianizing the Roman Empire* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1984), Chapter 6.

8. Fariselli, A.C., "A Punic sculpture from the new excavations in the southern necropolis of Tharros–Capo San Marco," *Rivista di Studi Fenici* 46 (2018): 55–72. Continuous use seventh century BCE through fourth century CE: *ibid.*, pp. 57–59.
-

Chapter 6: The Walking Inland

1. Tharros founded approximately 750 BCE; duration approximately 1,800 years; abandoned approximately 1070 CE: Arditio, F., *Sardinia*, Eyewitness Travel Guides (London: Dorling Kindersley, 2011), p. 132.
2. Sabatino Moscati (1922 to 1997); career, *Rivista di Studi Fenici* founded 1973; "I Fenici" exhibition Venice 1988: Bartoloni, P. and Bondì, S.F., eds., *Alle soglie della classicità: il Mediterraneo tra tradizione e innovazione. Studi in onore di Sabatino Moscati* (Pisa: Istituti Editoriali e Poligrafici Internazionali, 1997).
3. Moscati's reframing of Punic Sardinia from colonial interruption to formative period: Moscati, S., *Il mondo punico* (Turin: SEI, 1980). English synthesis: Moscati, S., *The Phoenicians* (New York: Abbeville Press, 1988).
4. Sulci (Sant'Antioco) founded c. 770 to 750 BCE; tophet: Bartoloni, P., *La necropoli di Sulci* (Rome: Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, 1996).
5. Nuragic village at Su Muru Mannu underlying Phoenician settlement at Tharros: Arditio 2011, p. 132. Van Dommelen, P., "Punic Persistence: Colonialism and Cultural Identities in Roman Sardinia," in *Cultural Identity in the Roman Empire*, ed. Laurence, R. and Berry, J. (London: Routledge, 1998).
6. Van Dommelen, P., *On Colonial Grounds: A Comparative Study of Colonialism and Rural Settlement in First Millennium BC West Central Sardinia* (Leiden: Faculty of Archaeology, University of Leiden, 1998). "Entanglement" model: van Dommelen, P. and Knapp, A.B., eds., *Material Connections in the Ancient Mediterranean: Mobility, Materiality and Mediterranean Identities* (London: Routledge, 2010).

7. Destruction of Carthage 146 BCE: Polybius, *Histories*, Book 38; Appian, *Libyca* (Punica), 127–135. Salt-sowing myth analysis: Hoyos, B.D., *Mastering the West: Rome and Carthage at War* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2015), p. 207.
8. Siege of Tyre 332 BCE: Arrian, *Anabasis Alexandri*, 2.18–24. The causeway becoming a peninsula: Grainger, J.D., *Alexander the Great Failure* (London: Continuum, 2007), p. 66.
9. Fall of Constantinople 29 May 1453: Runciman, S., *The Fall of Constantinople 1453* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1965).
10. Roman annexation of Sardinia 238 BCE; persistence of *sufetes*: Lo Schiavo, F. and Usai, A., eds., *Prima degli Arabi: la Sardegna nel Mediterraneo antico* (Rome: L’Erma di Bretschneider, 2009).
11. Fariselli 2018, op. cit. Continuous use seventh century BCE through fourth century CE.
12. Abandonment of Tharros c. 1070 CE; move to Oristano: Webster, R.A., *Sardinia* (Newton Abbot: David and Charles, 1980), p. 89. The c. 1070 CE date is the scholarly consensus for the urban abandonment.
13. Roman *limes* in Sardinia; revolt of Hampsicora 215 BCE: Livy, *Ab Urbe Condita*, 23.32–41. Gemini report, “The Phoenician and Punic Mediterranean Node” (project file).
14. Nora Stone (KAI 46; CIS I 144): palaeographic date ninth to eighth century BCE; Frank Moore Cross military reading; first attestation of *BSHRDN*. Cross, F.M., “Newly Found Inscriptions in Old Canaanite and Early Phoenician Scripts,” *Bulletin of the American Schools of Oriental Research* 238 (1980): 1–20. The stone is now in the Museo Archeologico Nazionale di Cagliari.
15. British Museum Tharros collection; Giovanni Spano excavations 1840s to 1850s: Boardman, J., *The Diffusion of Classical Art in Antiquity* (London: Thames and Hudson, 1994). Tharros goldsmithing: Pisano, G., “I gioielli fenici di Tharros nel Museo Nazionale di Cagliari,” *Rivista di Studi Fenici* 2 (1974).

16. Ancient DNA and isotope analysis at Tharros and Punic Sardinia; “entanglement” model at biological level: Marcus et al. 2020, op. cit. Matisoo-Smith, E. et al., “Ancient mitogenomes of Phoenicians from Sardinia and Lebanon: A story of settlement, integration, and female mobility,” *PLOS ONE* 13.2 (2018): e0190169. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0190169.
-

Chapter 7: The Hinge Island

1. Bakunin visit to Garibaldi on Caprera, 1864; letter to Countess Salhias: Carr, E.H., *Michael Bakunin* (London: Macmillan, 1937), pp. 283–285. The letter to Elisabeth Salhias de Tournemire is cited in Carr and in Nettlau, M., *Bakunin* (Vienna: privately printed, 1896–1900).
2. The Sardinian Expeditionary Corps to Crimea under La Marmora; departure from Genoa April 1855; 18,061 men, 3,963 horses; brigades Casale, Acqui, Savona, Regina: Trevelyan, G.M., *Garibaldi and the Making of Italy* (London: Longmans, 1911), pp. 18–20, citing La Marmora’s own account. La Marmora, A., *Racconto dell’Expedizione nella penisola di Crimea* (Turin: Tip. Scolastica di Sebastiano Franco, 1856).
3. 2,728 cholera cases; 1,230 deaths (case-fatality rate approximately 45 percent): La Marmora, A., op. cit. These figures are cited by Trevelyan and confirmed in the Italian general staff history of the campaign.
4. Battle of the Chernaya (Cernaia), 16 August 1855: Russian casualties approximately 8,000. Russian commander Gorchakov chose the Feast of the Assumption. Read killed at the Traktir Bridge: Windham, C.A., *Crimean Diary and Letters* (London: Kegan Paul, 1897). Seaton, A., *The Crimean War: A Russian Chronicle* (London: Batsford, 1977).
5. Congress of Paris, February 1856; Cavour raises the Italian Question: Mack Smith, D., *Cavour* (London: Weidenfeld and Nicolson, 1985), pp. 97–109.

6. Villefranche-sur-Mer naval lease to Russia 1858 to 1870s: Hughes, B., "The Villefranche Question," in *Russia and the Mediterranean*, ed. Gorodetsky, G. (London: Routledge, 2008).
 7. Horatio Nelson at La Maddalena 1803 to 1805; correspondence describing the waiting: Nicolas, N.H., ed., *The Dispatches and Letters of Vice-Admiral Lord Nelson* (London: Henry Colburn, 1846), vol. V, pp. 60–220.
 8. Napoleon Bonaparte at Santo Stefano (La Maddalena), February 1793; bombardment of La Maddalena: Herold, J.C., *The Mind of Napoleon* (New York: Columbia University Press, 1955). Bonaparte's role in the Colonna-Cesari expedition: Lacour-Gayet, G., *Napoléon* (Paris: Fayard, 1921), I: 51–53.
-

Chapter 8: The Linguistic Fossil

1. Tacitus, *Annales* 2.85 (deportation of 4,000 freedmen to Sardinia, 19 CE): Tacitus, C., *Annales*, trans. Woodman, A.J. (Indianapolis: Hackett, 2004). Suetonius, *Tiberius* 36. Josephus, *Antiquitates Judaicae* 18.3.5.
2. Sant'Antioco catacombs; Noy's catalog: Noy, D., *Jewish Inscriptions of Western Europe*, vol. I: *Italy, Spain and Gaul* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1993), no. 70–80 and pp. 153–174. Beronice epitaph (JIWE I 170): *ibid.*, p. 161.
3. Pope Gregory the Great to Bishop Januarius of Cagliari, July 599 CE: Gregory I, *Registrum Epistolarum*, IX.196 (or IX.213 depending on edition), *Corpus Christianorum Series Latina* 140A, pp. 751–752.
4. Cairo Geniza and Sardinian trade: Goitein, S.D., *A Mediterranean Society: The Jewish Communities of the Arab World as Portrayed in the Documents of the Cairo Geniza*, 6 vols. (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1967–1993), II: 16–17.
5. Carcassona family financing Peter IV's siege of Alghero 1354; subsequent privileges: Motis Dolader, M.A., "Los judíos de Cerdeña," in *Los judíos de la*

Corona de Aragón en la Edad Media, ed. Magdalena Nom de Déu, J.R. (Barcelona: CSIC, 1991).

6. Crypto-Jews in New Mexico, descendants of sixteenth-century conversos: Jacobs, J., *Hidden Heritage: The Legacy of the Crypto-Jews* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2002).
 7. *Kakure Kirishitan* (hidden Christians of Japan): Turnbull, S., *The Kakure Kirishitan of Japan: A Study of Their Development, Beliefs and Rituals to the Present Day* (Richmond: Japan Library, 1998).
 8. Ladino and the Salonika Sephardic community: Nehama, J., *Histoire des israélites de Salonique*, 7 vols. (Salonika: Communauté Israélite de Thessalonique, 1935–1978). Molho, M., *Usos y costumbres de los sefardíes de Salónica* (Madrid: CSIC, 1950).
 9. *Chenàbura* derives from *Cena Pura* (Jewish pre-Sabbath supper): Blasco Ferrer, E., ed., *Linguistica sarda: storia, metodi, problemi* (Cagliari: CUEC, 2002). The etymology is documented in several Sardinian linguistic studies and is the rationale for the name of the Chenàbura Association in Cagliari.
-

Chapter 9: The Ones Who Stayed

1. Paolo Francalacci, University of Sassari; Y-chromosome studies of Sardinian population genetics: Francalacci, P. et al., “Low-pass DNA sequencing of 1200 Sardinians reconstructs European Y-chromosome phylogeny,” *Science* 341 (2013): 565–569. DOI: 10.1126/science.1237947. Earlier work: Francalacci, P. et al., “Frequency distribution of HLA alleles in Sardinians,” *Tissue Antigens* 44 (1994): 295–302.
2. Marcus, J.H., Posth, C., Ringbauer, H., Lai, L., et al., “Genetic history from the Middle Neolithic to present on the Mediterranean island of Sardinia,” *Nature Communications* 11, 939 (2020). DOI: 10.1038/s41467-020-14523-6. Seventy ancient Sardinian individuals; findings of Neolithic farmer ancestry dominance; limited steppe ancestry; comparison to modern European populations.

3. Bell Beaker material in Sardinia as thin cultural layer without population replacement: Webster and Webster 2017, Chapter 6 (“Bell Beaker, Late 3rd Millennium BC”), pp. 112–130. Section “Interpreting Bell Beaker,” pp. 124–128.
4. Basque genetic position relative to continental Europeans; Basque language as pre-Indo-European isolate: Olalde, I. et al., “The genomic history of the Iberian Peninsula over the past 8000 years,” *Science* 363 (2019): 1230–1234. DOI: 10.1126/science.aav4040. On Basque language: Trask, R.L., *The History of Basque* (London: Routledge, 1997). Sami distinctiveness: Günther, T. et al., “Population genomics of Mesolithic Scandinavia: Investigating early postglacial migration routes and high-latitude adaptation,” *PLOS Biology* 16.1 (2018): e2003703. Ainu population history: McColl, H. et al., “The prehistoric peopling of Southeast Asia,” *Science* 361 (2018): 88–92. DOI: 10.1126/science.aat3628.
5. Haak, W. et al. (2015). Massive migration from the steppe was a source for Indo-European languages in Europe. *Nature* 522, 207–211; Allentoft, M. et al. (2015). Population genomics of Bronze Age Eurasia. *Nature* 522, 167–172.
6. Endemism of the four defining nuragic monument forms: the nuraghe, pozzo sacro, tomba dei giganti, and bronzetto each developed from local pre-nuragic antecedents and are reproduced in their developed forms nowhere outside Sardinia. The closest tower analogues are Corsican *torri*, which share the turri-form dry-stone plan but lack multi-story corbel-vaulted complexity (Perra, M., “Questioni di cronologia e non solo: i Nuraghi della Sardegna e le Torre della Corsica,” *IpoTESI di Preistoria* 18 (2025): 210–222), and Balearic *talayots*, which are later and structurally simpler. Menorcan *navetas* parallel the Giants’ Tomb gallery-grave form but are treated as independent western Mediterranean megalithic solutions; Atlantic gallery graves and Irish court tombs predate the nuragic versions by over a millennium (Webster, G. and Webster, M., *Punctuated Insularity* (2017), pp. 112–130; Gemini report, *A Comparative Analysis of Nuragic Sardinia and Global Megalithic Traditions*, project file, 2025). The Bulgarian Garlo sacred

pit is the single known structural parallel to the Sardinian sacred well, with disputed chronology and direction of influence. The bronzetti have no named parallel figurine tradition in the comparative literature. For the autochthonous-development thesis: Lilliu, G., *La civiltà dei Sardi* (1963/1988).

Further Reading

SARDINIAN PREHISTORY AND NURAGIC ARCHAEOLOGY

- Lilliu, G., *La civiltà dei Sardi dal Paleolitico all'Età dei Nuraghi*. 3rd ed. Turin: ERI Edizioni RAI, 1988. The foundational synthesis of the field.
- Webster, G. and Webster, M., *Punctuated Insularity: The Archaeology of 4th and 3rd Millennium Sardinia*. Oxford: Archaeopress, 2017.
- Webster, G., *The Sardinian Neolithic: An Archaeology of the 6th and 5th Millennia BCE*. Oxford: Archaeopress, 2019.
- Namirski, C., *Nuragic Settlement Dynamics: The East Coast of Sardinia*. Oxford: Archaeopress, 2020.
- Balmuth, M.S., ed., *Studies in Sardinian Archaeology III: Nuragic Sardinia and the Mycenaean World*. Oxford: BAR International Series S387, 1987.
- Minoja, M. and Usai, A., eds., *Le sculture di Mont'e Prama: contesto, scavi e materiali*. Rome: Gangemi, 2014.

SARDINIAN ANCIENT DNA AND POPULATION GENETICS

- Marcus, J.H. et al., "Genetic history from the Middle Neolithic to present on the Mediterranean island of Sardinia." *Nature Communications* 11, 939 (2020). DOI: 10.1038/s41467-020-14523-6.
- Haak, W. et al., "Massive migration from the steppe was a source for Indo-European languages in Europe." *Nature* 522 (2015): 207–211. DOI: 10.1038/nature14317. The foundational aDNA study for the steppe expansion.

- Olalde, I. et al., “The Beaker Phenomenon and the Genomic Transformation of Northwest Europe.” *Nature* 555 (2018): 190–196. DOI: 10.1038/nature25738.
- Francalacci, P. et al., “Low-pass DNA sequencing of 1200 Sardinians reconstructs European Y-chromosome phylogeny.” *Science* 341 (2013): 565–569.

PHOENICIAN AND PUNIC SARDINIA

- Moscati, S., *The Phoenicians*. New York: Abbeville Press, 1988.
- Van Dommelen, P., *On Colonial Grounds: A Comparative Study of Colonialism and Rural Settlement in First Millennium BC West Central Sardinia*. Leiden: Faculty of Archaeology, University of Leiden, 1998.
- Ugas, G., *Shardana e Sardegna: i popoli del mare, gli alleati del Nordafrica e del Vicino Oriente e la fine del mondo miceneo*. Cagliari: Edizioni della Torre, 2016.
- Matisoo-Smith, E. et al., “Ancient mitogenomes of Phoenicians from Sardinia and Lebanon.” *PLOS ONE* 13.2 (2018). DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0190169.

MEDITERRANEAN BRONZE AGE AND TRADE NETWORKS

- Cline, E.H., *1177 B.C.: The Year Civilization Collapsed*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2014.
- Knapp, A.B., *The Archaeology of Cyprus: From Earliest Prehistory through the Bronze Age*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- Lo Schiavo, F., Muhly, J.D., Maddin, R., and Giumlia-Mair, A., eds., *Oxhide Ingots in the Central Mediterranean*. Rome: CNR, 2009.

JEWISH HISTORY IN SARDINIA AND THE MEDITERRANEAN

- Noy, D., *Jewish Inscriptions of Western Europe, vol. I: Italy, Spain and Gaul*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1993.

- Goitein, S.D., *A Mediterranean Society*. 6 vols. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1967–1993.
- Jacobs, J., *Hidden Heritage: The Legacy of the Crypto-Jews*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2002.

MODERN SARDINIA, IDENTITY, AND POLITICAL HISTORY

- Sorge, A., *Legacies of Violence: History, Society, and the State in Sardinia*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 2015.
- Biggers, J., *In Sardinia: An Unexpected Journey in Italy*. New York: Nation Books, 2023.

COMPARATIVE MEGALITHIC ARCHITECTURE

- Perplexity AI Research, “Sardinian Nuragic Architecture and Global Megalithic Comparanda: A Source Map.” Project file, 2025.
- Gemini AI Research, “Comparative Lithic Architecture: A Global Survey for the Nuragic Sardinia Traveler.” Project file, 2025.
- Schmidt, K., *Sie bauten die ersten Tempel*. Munich: C.H. Beck, 2006.
- Pauketat, T., *Cahokia: Ancient America’s Great City on the Mississippi*. New York: Viking Penguin, 2009.

TRAVEL AND PRACTICAL GUIDES

- Hardy, P., *Lonely Planet Sardinia*, 8th ed. Melbourne: Lonely Planet, 2025. Most current source for hours, costs, and practical access.
- Rough Guides, *The Rough Guide to Sardinia*. London: Rough Guides, 2019.
- Arditio, F., *Sardinia*, Eyewitness Travel Guides. London: Dorling Kindersley, 2011.

DOCUMENTARY SOURCES (YOUTUBE)

- Kulig, M., “Stone Riddles 2: Archaeological Treasures of Sardinia, Parts 1, 2, and 3.” YouTube, 2023. Detailed on-site documentation of Coddù Vecchiù, La Prisgiona, Santa Cristina, Su Tempiesu, and related sites.

- Dedunking, "Complete Megalithic Metal Mason Clamps: 35 Sites."
YouTube, n.d. Cross-cultural survey of lead and metal clamp technique with honest dating-confidence assessments.

AUTHOR'S NOTE

This book was written in the spring of 2026, in the months before a visit to the island. The research came first. The writing tried to be worthy of what the research revealed, and of what the visit, when it comes, will show.

The sources fall into three categories. First, the specialist scholarship: the Webster and Webster volume on the Sardinian Chalcolithic and Neolithic, Cezary Namirski's settlement dynamics survey, Miriam Balmuth's colloquium proceedings on the nuragic and Mycenaean worlds, the Marcus et al. 2020 aDNA paper in *Nature Communications*, the excavation literature on Monte d'Accoddi and Mont'e Prama. These are the sources that the argument depends on. They are careful, hedged, and more interesting than anything invented for popular consumption. They deserve direct credit.

Second, the travel guides: the Lonely Planet eighth edition for current hours and prices, the Rough Guide for historical context, the Eyewitness Travel volume for site descriptions. These three sources saved days of verification. The Lonely Planet is the most current and should be consulted first for practical logistics.

Third, the reports prepared specifically for this project: two AI-generated surveys of comparative megalithic architecture and global stonework traditions, one from Perplexity and one from Gemini, both of which proved useful for Appendix C's global comparanda and for Chapter 4's cross-cultural clamp survey. These are acknowledged as project files rather than scholarly sources.

The argument the book makes is not that Sardinia is special in any mystical or exceptionalist sense. What it argues is inferable from the evidence the chapters lay out, and the evidence is in the scholarship listed above.

The book was substantially shaped by three weeks of research reading followed by the realization that the room in Cabras was waiting. The Giants were installed on 4 March 2026. By the time a visitor enters the room in the summer of 2026, they will have been standing there for less than six months. They have been waiting, in one form or another, for twenty-seven centuries. The itinerary shrank from ten days to eight and became more honest about what one trip can hold.

What this book does not do is resolve the questions that the scholarship itself has not resolved. The full function of the Mont'e Prama statues remains uncertain. The direction of transmission for the Monte d'Accoddi ziggurat form remains debated. The specific mechanisms by which Sardinia's Neolithic-farmer ancestry survived the Bronze Age steppe expansion while continental Europe's did not are still being worked out in laboratories that are sequencing more ancient genomes each year. The argument the book makes does not require those questions to be closed. It requires only that the questions be taken seriously as questions, and that the answers, as they emerge, be followed.

The cover image shows a granite stele from a Sardinian Giants' Tomb. The stele in the photograph is facing the camera. It has been facing whatever has approached it for somewhere between three and four thousand years: weather, light, the occasional scholar with a measuring tape, tourists, and now a camera. At its base there is a small rectangular opening. The stone is not waiting. Stone does not wait. It simply remains oriented in the direction it was placed, addressing whatever happens to be in front of it, including this photograph, including this book, including whoever is reading this page.

Written by Claude Code, instructed by Vladimir Korostyshevskiy.